

## Euclidean domain:

Defn: Let  $R$  be a commutative ring without zero divisors.  $R$  is called an "Euclidean domain" or an "Euclidean ring" if for every non-zero element  $a \in R$ , there is defined a non-negative integer  $d(a)$  satisfying the following conditions:

(i) For any two non-zero elements  $a, b \in R$ ,  $d(a) \leq d(ab)$ .

(ii) For any two non-zero elements  $a, b \in R$ ,  $\exists q, r \in R$  such that  $a = qb + r$  where either  $r = 0$  or  $d(r) < d(b)$ .

Theorem: 4.36. Let  $R$  be an euclid-domain &  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$ . Then  $\exists$  an element  $a \in I$  such that  $I = aR$ . (i.e.) Every ideal of an euclid domain is a principal ideal.

Prf: If  $I = \{0\}$ , then we take  $a = 0$ . Hence we assume that  $I \neq \{0\}$ .

Let  $a \in I$  be a non-zero element such that  $d(a)$  is minimum. (This is possible since  $d$  takes only non-negative integer values).

Now, we claim that  $I = aR$ .

Let  $x \in I$ . Then  $\exists q, r \in R$  such that  $x = qa + r$  where  $r = 0$  or  $d(r) < d(a)$

$\therefore$  Now,  $a \in I \Rightarrow qa \in I$  (since  $I$  is an ideal). (2)

Also  $x \in I$ . Hence  $r = x - qa \in I$ .

Now, suppose  $r \neq 0$ . Then  $d(r) < d(a)$ .

$\therefore r$  is an element of  $I$  such that  $d(r) < d(a)$  which is a contradiction to the choice of  $a$  & hence  $r = 0$ .

$\therefore x = qa$  & hence  $I = aR$ .

Theorem:

Any Euclidean domain  $R$  has an identity element.

Pf: Since  $R$  is an ideal of  $R$ ,  $\exists c \in R$  such that  $R = cR$ .

$\therefore$  Every element of  $R$  is a multiple of

In particular  $c = ec$  for some  $e \in R$ .

Now, let  $x \in R$ . Then  $x = cy$  for some  $y$ .

$$\therefore ex = e(cy) = (ec)y = cy = x.$$

$\therefore e$  is the required identity element.

## unique factorization domain (U.F.D)

Defn: Let  $R$  be a commut ring. Let  $a, b \in R$  &  $a \neq 0$ .  $a$  divides  $b$  and  $a|b$  if  $\exists$  an element  $c \in R$  such that  $b = ac$ . If  $a|b$  we say that  $a$  is a "divisor" or a "factor" of  $b$ .

Ex: In a field  $F$  every non-zero element is a unit & hence every non-zero element divides every element of  $F$ .

Defn: Let  $R$  be a commut ring. Let  $a, b$  be two non-zero elements of  $R$ . Then  $a$  &  $b$  are said to be "associates" if  $a|b$  and  $b|a$ .

Defn: Let  $R$  be a commut ring with identity. Let  $a \in R$  &  $a \neq 0$ . ' $a$ ' is called a "prime" or an "irreducible element" if  $a$  is not a unit & its only divisors are units in  $R$  & associates of  $a$ .

Defn: An integral domain  $R$  is said to be unique factorization domain (U.F.D) if

i) any non-zero element in  $R$  which is not a unit can be expressed as the product of a finite number of prime elements.

ii) the factorization in (i) is unique up to the order & associates of the prime elements

ie) If  $a = p_1 p_2 \dots p_r = q_1 q_2 \dots q_s$  where the  $p_i$ 's &  $q_j$ 's are pr. elements, then  $r = s$  & each  $p_i$  is an associate of some  $q_j$ .

## unique factorization domain (U.F.D) (3)

Defn: Let  $R$  be a commut ring. Let  $a, b \in R$  &  $a \neq 0$ .  $a$  divides  $b$  and  $a|b$  if  $\exists$  an element  $c \in R$  such that  $b = ac$ . If  $a|b$  we say that  $a$  is a "divisor" or a "factor" of  $b$ .

(12)

Ex: In a field  $F$  every non-zero element is a unit & hence every non-zero element divides every element of  $F$ .

Defn: Let  $R$  be a commut ring. Let  $a, b$  be two non-zero elements of  $R$ . Then  $a$  &  $b$  are said to be "associates" if  $a|b$  and  $b|a$ .

Defn: Let  $R$  be a commut ring with identity. Let  $a \in R$  &  $a \neq 0$ . ' $a$ ' is called a "prime" or an "irreducible element" if  $a$  is not a unit & its only divisors are units in  $R$  & associates of  $a$ .

Defn: An integral domain  $R$  is said to be unique factorisation domain (U.F.D) if

i) any non-zero element in  $R$  which is not a unit can be expressed as the product of a finite number of prime elements.

ii) the factorization in (i) is unique up to the order & associates of the prime elements

ie) If  $a = p_1 p_2 \dots p_r = q_1 q_2 \dots q_s$  where the  $p_i$ 's &  $q_j$ 's are pr-elements, then  $r = s$  & each  $p_i$  is an associate of some  $q_j$ .

$\therefore a = da, \& b = db, \text{ for some } a, b \in R$

$\therefore d|a \& d|b$

Now suppose  $l \in R \& l|a \& l|b$

Then  $l|(ra+sb)$  so that  $l|d$ .

$\therefore d$  is the required g.c.d of  $a \& b$ .

Defn: Two elements  $a \& b$  of a Euclidean domain  $R$  are said to be "relatively prime" if their g.c.d is a unit in  $R$ .

Theorem: 4.43. Let  $R$  be an Euclidean domain. Let  $a, b, c \in R$ . Then  $a|bc \& (a, b) = 1 \Rightarrow a|c$

Prf since  $(a, b) = 1, \exists x, y \in R \ni ax + by = 1$ .

$\therefore acx + bcy = c$ .

Now,  $a|acx$ . Also  $a|bc \Rightarrow a|bcy$ .

$\therefore a|(acx + bcy)$ .

Hence  $a|c$ .

Theorem: 4.45

Any Euclidean domain  $R$  is a U.F.D.

Prf: first we shall pr. th any element  $a$  in  $R$  is either a unit or can be expressed as the product of a finite number of prime elements of  $R$ .

we pr. this by induction on  $a$ .  
If  $d(a) = d(1)$  then 'a' is a unit in  $R$  (by theorem 4.40).

Hence the assertion is true. Now, we assume that the result is true  $\forall x \in R$   $\exists d(x) > d(a)$  & pr. th the result is true for 'a'.

If 'a' is a prime there is nothing to prove.

If not,  $a = bc$  where neither  $b$  nor  $c$  is a unit in  $R$ .

$\therefore d(b) < d(a)$  &  $d(c) < d(a)$   $\therefore$  by theorem 4.39.

Now, by induction hypothesis  $b$  &  $c$  can be written as the product of finite number of prime elements.

Hence 'a' can be expressed as a product of finite no. of prime elements.

We now prove the uniqueness.

Let  $a = p_1 p_2 \dots p_r = q_1 q_2 \dots q_s$  where  $p_i$ 's &  $q_j$ 's are prime elements of  $R$ .

$\therefore p_1 | q_1 q_2 \dots q_s$

2

$p_i | a_i$  for some  $i$ . without loss of generality. ~~we~~ we assume that  $p_1 | a_1$ .  
Since  $p_1$  &  $a_1$  are both prime elements of  $R$ .  
 $p_1$  &  $a_1$  must be associates.

$\therefore a_1 = u_1 p_1$  where  $u_1$  is a unit in  $R$

$\therefore p_1 p_2 \dots p_r = u_1 p_1 a_2 a_3 \dots a_s$

$\therefore p_2 p_3 \dots p_r = u_1 p_2 a_3 \dots a_s$

Now, if  $r < s$ , repeating the above argument  $s$  times the left side becomes 1 & the right side contains a product of some prime elements which is impossible.

(17) Hence  $r \geq s$ .

W<sup>4</sup>,  $s \geq r$  & hence  $r = s$ .

Further we have shown that every  $p_i$  is an associate of some  $a_j$  & conversely.

Hence the theorem.