# business tools for decision making

*B.Com., IV- SEMESTER*

**Dr. P.RAMAR**

BHARATHIDASAN UNIVERSITY CONSTITUENT COLLEGE, NAGAPATTINAM

# **Module 1**

# **INTRODUCTION**

The term statistics seems to have been derived from the Latin word *'status'* or Italian word *'statista'* or the German word *'statistic,* each of which means *political state.*

The word 'Statistics' is usually interpreted in two ways. The first sense in which the word is used is a plural noun just refer to a collection of numerical facts. The second is as a singular noun to denote the methods generally adopted in the collection and analysis of numerical facts. In the singular sense the term 'Statistics' is better described as statistical methods.

Different authors have defined statistics in different ways. According to Croxton and Cowden statistics may be defined as *''collection, organisation presentation, analysis and interpretation of numerical data''*

# **Population and sample**

# **Population**

An aggregate of individual items relating to a phenomenon under investigation is technically termed as 'population'. In other words a collection of objects pertaining to a phenomenon of statistical enquiry is referred to as population or universe. Suppose we want to collect data regarding the income of college teachers under University of Calicut,, then, the totality of these teachers is our population.

In a given population, the individual items are referred to as elementary units, elements or members of the population. The population has the statistical characteristic of being finite or infinite. When the number of units under investigation are determinable, it is called finite population. For example, the number of college teachers under Calicut University is a finite population. When the number of units in a phenomenon is indeterminable, eg, the number of stars in the sky, it is called an infinite population.

# **Sample**

When few items are selected for statistical enquiry, from a given population it is called a 'sample'. A sample is the small part or subset of the population. Say, for instance, there may be 3000 workers in a factory. One wants to study their consumption pattern. By selecting only 300 workers from the group of 3000, sample for the study has been taken. This sample is not studied just for its own sake. The motive is to know the true state of the population. From the sample study statistical inference about the population can be done.

# **Census and sample Method**

In any statistical investigation, one is interested in studying the population characteristics. This can be done either by studying the entire items in the population or on a part drawn from it. If we are studying each and every element of the population, the process is called *census method* and if we are studying only a sample, the process is called sample survey, *sample method* or *sampling*. For example, the Indian population census or a socio economic survey of a whole village by a college planning forum are examples of census studies. The national sample survey enquiries are examples of sample studies.

# **Advantages of Sampling**

- 1. The sample method is comparatively more economical.
- 2. The sample method ensures completeness and a high degree of accuracy due to the small area of operation
- 3. It is possible to obtain more detailed information, in a sample survey than complete enumeration.
- 4. Sampling is also advocated where census is neither necessary nor desirable.
- 5. In some cases sampling is the only feasible method. For example, we have to test the sharpness of blades-if we test each blade, perhaps the whole of the product will be wasted; in such circumstances the census method will not be suitable. Under these circumstances sampling techniques will be more useful.
- 6. A sample survey is much more scientific than census because in it the extent of the reliability of the results can be known where as this is not always possible in census.

Statistics - Basic Statistics and Probability 6 Statistics - Basic Statistics and Probability <sup>7</sup> **Frequency Distribution**

# **Variables and Attributes**

A quantity which varies from one person to another or one time to another or one place to another is called a variable. It is actually a numerical value possessed by an item. For example, price of a given commodity, wages of workers, production and weights of students etc.

Attribute means a qualitative characteristic possessed by each individual in a group. It can't assume numerical values. For example, sex, honesty, colour etc.

This means that a variable will always be a quantitative characteristic. Data concerned with a quantitative variable is called *quantitative data* and the data corresponding to a qualitative variable is called *qualitative data*.

We can divide quantitative variables into two (i) discrete (ii) continuous. Those variables which can assume only distinct or particular values are called *discrete* or *discontinuous* variables. For example, the number of children per family, number rooms in a house etc. Those variables which can take any numerical value with in a certain range are known as *continuous* variables. Height of a boy is a continuous variable, for it changes continuously in a given range of heights of the boys. Similar is the case of weight,: production, price, demand, income, marks etc.

# **Types of Frequency Distribution**

Erricker states "frequency distribution is a classification according to the number possessing the same values of the variables''. It is simply a table in which data are grouped into classes and the number of cases which fall in each class is recorded. Here the numbers are usually termed as 'frequencies'. There are discrete frequency distributions and continuous frequency distributions.

# **1. Discrete Frequency Distribution**

If we have a large number of items in the data it is better to prepare a frequency array and condense the data further. Frequency array is prepared by listing once and consecutively all the values occurring in the series and noting the number of times each such value occurs. This is called discrete frequency distribution or ungrouped frequency distribution.

*Illustration:* The following data give the number of children per family in each of 25 families 1, 4, 3, 2, 1, 2, 0, 2, 1, 2, 3, 2, 1, 0, 2, 3, 0, 3, 2, 1, 2, 2, 1, 4, 2. Construct a frequency distribution.



# **2. Continuous Frequency Distribution**

An important method of condensing and presenting data is that of the construction of a continuous frequency distribution or grouped frequency distribution. Here the data are classified according to class intervals.

The following are the rules generally adopted in forming a frequency table for a set of observations.

- 1. Note the difference between the largest and smallest value in the given set of observations
- 2. Determine the number classes into which the difference can be divided.
- 3. The classes should be mutually exclusive. That means they do not overlap.
- 4. Arrange a paper with 3 columns, classes, tally marks and frequency.
- 5. Write down the classes in the first column.
- 6. Go though the observations and put tally marks in the respective classes.
- 7. Write the sum of the tally marks of each class in the frequency column.
- 8. Note that the sum of the frequencies of all classes should be equal to the total number of observations.

# **Concepts of a Frequency Table**

*i. Class limits:* The observations which constitute a class are called class limits. The left hand side observations are called lower limits and the right hand side observations are called upper limits.

*ii. Working classes:* The classes of the form 0-9, 10-19, 20-29,... are called working classes or nominal classes. They are obtained by the inclusive method of classification where both the limits of a class are included in the same class itself.

*iii. Actual classes:* If we are leaving either the upper limit or the lower limit from each class, it is called exclusive method of classification. The classes so obtained are called 'actual classes' or 'true classes'. The classes  $-0.5 - 9.5$ ,  $9.5 - 19.5$ ,  $19.5 - 29.5$ ,... are the actual classes of the above working classes. The classes of the type 0-10, 10 - 20, 20 - 30,... are also treated as actual classes. There will be no break in the actual classes. We can convert working classes to the corresponding actual classes using the following steps.

- 1. Note the difference between one upper limit and the next lower limit.
- 2. Divide the difference by 2.
- 3. Subtract that value from the lower limits and add the same to the upper limits.

For example



*iv. Class boundaries:* The class limits of the actual classes are called actual class limits or class boundaries.

*v. Class mark:* The class marks or mid value of classes is the average

of the upper limit and lower limit of that class. The mid value of working classes and the corresponding actual classes are the same. For example, the class mark of the classes  $0 - 9$ , 10 - 19, 20 - 29,... are respectively 4.5, 14.5, 24.5,...

*vi. Class interval:* The class interval or width of a class is the difference between upper limit and lower limit of an actual class. It is better to note that the difference between the class limits of a working class is not the class interval. The class interval is usually denoted by 'c' or i or 'h'.

# **Example**

Construct a frequency distribution for the following data



# **Solution**



# **Cumulative Frequency Distribution**

An ordinary frequency distribution show the number of observations falling in each class. But there are instances where we want to know how many observations are lying below or above a particular value or in between two specified values. Such type of information is found in cumulative frequency distributions.

Cumulative frequencies are determined on either a less than basis or more than basis. Thus we get less than cumulative frequencies  $(*CF*)$  and greater than or more than cumulative frequencies (>CF). Less than CF give the number of observations falling below the upper limit of a class and greater than CF give the number of observations lying above the lower limit of the class. Less than CF are obtained by adding successively the frequencies of all the previous classes including the class against which it is written. The cumulation is started from the lowest size of the class to the highest size, (usually from top to bottom). They are based on the upper limit of actual classes.

More than CF distribution is obtained by finding the cumulation or total of frequencies starting from the highest size of the class to the lowest class, (ie., from bottom to top) More than CF are based on the lower limit of the actual classes.



**Module 2**

# **MEASURES OF CENTRAL TENDENCY**

A measure of central tendency helps to get a single representative value for a set of usually unequal values. This single value is the point of location around which the individual values of the set cluster. Hence the averages are known also as *measures of location*.

The important measures of central tendencies or statistical averages are the following.

- 1. Arithmetic Mean
- 2. Geometric Mean
- 3. Harmonic Mean
- 4. Median
- 5. Mode

Weighted averages, positional values, viz., quartiles, deciles and percentiles, also are considered in this chapter.

# *Criteria or Desirable Properties of an Average*

- 1. *It should be rigidly defined:* That is, it should have a formula and procedure such that different persons who calculate it for a set of values get the same answer.
- 2. *It should have sampling stability:* A number of samples can be drawn from a population. The average of one sample is likely to be different from that of another. It is desired that the average of any sample is not much different from that of any other.

# **1. Arithmetic Mean**

The arithmetic mean (AM) or simply mean is the most popular and widely used average. It is the value obtained by dividing sum of all given observations by the number of observations. AM is denoted by  $\bar{x}$  (x bar).

# *Definition for a raw data*

For a raw data or ungrouped data if  $x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots, x_n$  are n observations,

then 
$$
\bar{x} = \frac{x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + ... + x_n}{n}
$$

**Definition for a raw data**<br>
For a raw data or ungrouped data if  $x_1, x_2, x_3,...,x_n$  are n observations,<br>
then  $\bar{x} = \frac{x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + ... + x_n}{n}$ <br>
ie.,  $\bar{x} = \frac{\sum x}{n}$  where the symbol  $\sum$  (sigma) denotes summation.<br> **Example 1** *a raw data*<br> *a raw data*<br> *a raw data*<br> *a raw data*<br> *s*  $\frac{1}{x_1, x_2, x_3, ..., x_n}$  are n observations,<br>  $\frac{1}{x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + ... + x_n}$ <br> *n*<br>
where the symbol  $\Sigma$  (sigma) denotes summation. ie.,  $\bar{x} = \frac{\sum x}{x}$  where the symbol  $\Sigma$  (sigma) denote *n*  $\frac{\sum x}{\sum x}$  where the symbol  $\sum$  (sigma) denotes summation.

# **Example 1**

Calculate the AM of 12, 18, 14, 15, 16

# **Solution**

$$
\overline{x} = \frac{\sum x}{n} = \frac{12 + 18 + 14 + 15 + 16}{5} = \frac{75}{5} = 15
$$

# *Definition for a frequency data*

For a frequency data if  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$ ,  $x_3$ , ...,  $x_n$  are 'n' observations or middle values of 'n' classes with the corresponding frequencies For a raw data or ungrouped data if  $x_1, x_2, x_3,...,x_n$  are n observations,<br>
en  $\overline{x} = \frac{x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + ... + x_n}{n}$ <br>  $\therefore \overline{x} = \frac{\sum x}{n}$  where the symbol  $\sum$  (sigma) denotes summation.<br> **xample 1**<br>
Calculate the AM of 12, 18, 14 For a raw data or ungrouped data if  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$ ,  $x_3$ ,..., $x_n$  are n observations,<br>
hen  $\bar{x} = \frac{x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + ... + x_n}{n}$ <br>  $\therefore x = \frac{\sum x}{n}$  where the symbol  $\sum$  (sigma) denotes summation.<br> *f*  $\bar{x}$   $\bar{x}$   $\bar{y}$  where t e the AM of 12, 18, 14, 15, 16<br>  $\overline{x} = \frac{\sum x}{n} = \frac{12 + 18 + 14 + 15 + 16}{5} = \frac{75}{5} = 15$ <br> *or a frequency data*<br>
equency data if  $x_1, x_2, x_3, ..., x_n$  are 'n' observations or<br>
use of 'n' classes with the corresponding frequenci , 18, 14, 15, 16<br>  $\frac{2+18+14+15+16}{5} = \frac{75}{5} = 15$ <br>
data<br>
f  $x_1, x_2, x_3, ..., x_n$  are 'n' observations or<br>
asses with the corresponding frequencies<br>
is given by<br>  $\frac{f_2 \times x_2 + ... + f_n \times x_n}{1 + f_2 + .... + f_n} = \frac{\sum fx}{\sum f}$ <br>
N =  $\sum f$  = To 15, 16<br>  $\frac{14 + 15 + 16}{5} = \frac{75}{5} = 15$ <br>
Average<br>  $\frac{14 + 15 + 16}{5} = \frac{75}{5} = 15$ <br> **Example**<br>
Calcula<br>  $x_{3}, ..., x_{n}$  are 'n' observations or<br>
th the corresponding frequencies<br>
by<br>
Solution<br>  $+...+f_{n} \times x_{n} = \frac{\sum fx}{\sum f}$ <br>  $= \text{$ *f f f*  $\frac{1+3x_0}{x^2}$ <br>  $\frac{1}{x^2}$ <br>  $\frac{1}{x^$ 

$$
\overline{x} = \frac{f_1 \times x_1 + f_2 \times x_2 + \dots + f_n \times x_n}{f_1 + f_2 + \dots + f_n} = \frac{\sum fx}{\sum f}
$$
\n
$$
\sum fx
$$

ie.,  $\bar{x} = \frac{\sum fx}{N}$  where  $N = \sum f =$  Total frequency where  $N = \sum f$  = Total frequency

# **Example 2**

The following data indicate daily earnings (in rupees) of 40 workers in a factory.







$$
\overline{x} = \frac{\sum fx}{N} = \frac{290}{40} = 7.25
$$

Average income per worker is **7.25**

# **Example 3**



# **Solution**



$$
\overline{x} = \frac{\sum fx}{N} = \frac{84}{10} = 8.4
$$

# *Shortcut Method: Raw data*

Suppose the values of a variable under study are large, choose any value in between them. Preferably a value that lies more or less in the middle, called arbitrary origin or assumed mean, denoted by A. Take deviations of every value from the assumed mean A.

Let  $d = x - A$ , Taking summation of both sides and dividing by n, we get

$$
\overline{x} = A + \frac{\sum d}{n}
$$

# **Example 4**

Calculate the AM of 305, 320, 332, 350 **Solution**



$$
= 320 + \frac{27}{4}
$$
  
= 320+6.75  
= 326.75

# *Shortcut Method: Frequency Data*

When the frequencies and the values of the variable x are large the calculation of AM is tedious. So a simpler method is adopted. The deviations of the mid values of the classes are taken from a convenient origin. Usually the mid value of the class with the maximum frequency is chosen as the arbitrary origin or assumed mean. Thus change x values to 'd' values by the rule,

*n*

 $d = \frac{x - A}{c}$  $\frac{-A}{c}$ 

 $\frac{x - A}{c}$ <br>erval, x-mid values. Then the<br>by<br> $+\frac{\sum fd}{N} \times c$ where A-assumed mean, c-class interval, x-mid values. Then the formula for calculating AM is given by  $d = \frac{x - A}{c}$ <br>
<br> **Z**-class interval, x-mid values. Then the <br> **Z** is given by<br> **Z** = **A** +  $\frac{\sum fd}{N} \times c$ 

$$
\overline{X} = A + \frac{\sum fd}{N} \times c
$$

# **Example 5**

Calculate AM from the following data



# **Solution**



$$
\overline{x}
$$
 = A +  $\frac{\sum \text{td}}{N}$  x c = 25 +  $\frac{2}{50}$  x 10 = 25 + 0.4 = 25.4

# *Properties*

1. *The AM is preserved under a linear transformation of scale.* That is, if  $x_i$  is changed to  $y_i$  by the rule

- 2. *The mean of a sum of variables is equal to the sum of the means of the variables.*
- **Example 19**<br>
Frick M is preserved under a linear transformation of scale.<br>
That is, if  $x_i$  is changed to  $y_i$  by the rule<br>  $y_i = a + b x_i$ , then  $\overline{y} = a + b \overline{x}$ , which is also linear.<br> *Algebraic sum of a sum of variables 3. Algebraic sum of the deviations of every observation from the A.M. zero.*
- 4. If  $n_1$  observations have an A.M  $\bar{x}_1$  and  $n_2$  observations have an  *observations have an A.M x and n* 1 2 *observations have an AM*  $\bar{x}_2$  *then the AM of the combined group of*  $n_1 + n_2$  *observations* **1** *is preserved under a linear transformation of scale.* **Merits and Demerits**<br> **1** *if x<sub>i</sub>* is changed to *y<sub>i</sub>* by the rule<br>  $\frac{1}{2}$  **1 is in**  $\frac{1}{2}$  **is in**  $\frac{1}{2}$  **is a** *n n g s um of <i>s um of va is a The AM is preserved under a linear transformation of scale.***<br>
<b>The AM is preserved under a linear transformation of scale.**<br> **i**<sub>1</sub> =  $a + b x_p$  then  $\overline{y} = a + b \overline{x}$ , which is also linear.<br> *I I ne mean of a sum* under a linear transformation of scale.<br>
ed to  $y_i$  by the rule<br>  $=$  **a** + **b**  $\overline{x}$ , which is also linear.<br>
f variables is equal to the sum of the means of<br>
deviations of every observation from the A.M.<br>
ave an A.M  $\over$

is given by 
$$
\overline{x} = \frac{n_1 \overline{x}_1 + n_2 \overline{x}_2}{n_1 + n_2}.
$$

# **Example 6**

**11.** *nder a linear transformation of scale.* **Merits and**<br> **n**  $\overline{y} = a + b \overline{x}$ , which is also linear.<br> **11.** It is riginal to the sum of the means of<br> **11.** It is riginal to the sum of the means of<br> **11.** It is right *n*<br> *n nder a linear transformation of scale.*<br>
Then mentals on  $\overline{z} = a + b \overline{x}$ , which is also linear.<br> *n* in the means of<br> *n n of the means of*<br> *n n of the means of*<br> *n n i s*<br> *n the deviations of every* Let the average mark of 40 students of class A be 38; the average mark of 60 students of another class B is 42. What is the average mark of the combined group of 100 students?

That is, 
$$
1x_1
$$
 is changed to  $y_1$  by the line  
\n $y_1 = a + bx_1$ , then  $\overline{y} = a + b \overline{x}$ , which is also linear.  
\nThe mean of a sum of variables is equal to the sum of the means of  
\nthe variables.  
\nAlgebraic sum of the deviations of every observation from the A.M.  
\n3. It is based on all the item-  
\nconsidered for its computation  
\n*the variables.*  
\nAlgebraic sum of the deviations of every observation from the A.M.  
\n4. It is simple to understand an  
\n $1f n_1$  observations have an A.M  $\overline{x}_1$  and  $n_2$  observations have an  
\n $1f n_1$  observations have an A.M  $\overline{x}_1$  and  $n_2$  observations have an  
\n $1f n_1$  is simply to understand an  
\n $1f n_1$  by several useful  
\nis given by  $\overline{x} = \frac{n_1x_1 + n_2x_2}{n_1 + n_2}$ .  
\n6. It is usually affected by extra  
\n $1f n_1$  is simply stability. It  
\n $1f n_1$  as a simple to understand an  
\n $1f n_1$  as a simple to understand an  
\n $1f n_1$  as a simple solution  
\n $1f n_1$  as a single solution  
\n

**Note**

The above property can be extended as follows. When there are three groups, the combined mean is given by

5. *The algebraic sum of the squares of the observations from AM is always minimum. ie.,* is always minimum.

Statistics-Basic Statistics and Probability 20 Statistics-Basic Statistics and Probability 21

# **Merits and Demerits**

# **Merits**

The most widely used arithmetic mean has the following merits.

- 1. It is rigidly defined. Clear cut mathematical formulae are available.
- 2. It is based on all the items. The magnitudes of all the items are considered for its computation.
- 3. It lends itself for algebraic manipulations. Total of a set, Combined Mean etc., could be calculated.
- 4. It is simple to understand and is not difficult to calculate. Because of its practical use, provisions are made in calculators to find it.
- 5. It has sampling stability. It does not vary very much when samples are repeatedly taken from one and the same population.
- 6. It is very much useful in day-to-day activities, later chapters in Statistics and many disciplines of knowledge.
- 7. Many forms of the formula are available. The form appropriate and easy for the data on hand can be used.

# **Demerits**

- 1. It is unduly affected by extreme items. One greatest item may pull up the mean of the set to such an extent that its representative character is questioned. For example, the mean mark is 35 for the 3 students whose individual marks are 0, 5 and 100. quation from the A.M.<br>
S. It leads then else than the A.M.<br>
Mean etc., could be c<br>
and  $n_2$  observations have an<br>  $\frac{1}{2}$  end  $\frac{1}{2}$  observations<br>  $\frac{1}{2}$  end  $\frac{1}{2}$  observations<br>  $\frac{1}{2}$  end  $\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\$ 
	- 2. Theoretically, it cannot be calculated for open-end data.
	- 3. It cannot be found graphically.
	- 4. It is not defined to deal with qualities.

# **Weighted Arithmetic Mean**

In calculating simple arithmetic mean it was assumed that all items are of equal importance. This may not be true always. When items vary in importance they must be assigned weights in proportion to their relative importance. Thus, a weighted mean is the mean of weighted items. The weighted arithmetic mean is sum of the product of the values and their respective weights divided by the sum of the weights.

Symbolically, if  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$ ,  $x_3$ , ...  $x_n$  are the values of items and<br>  $w_2$ , ...  $w_n$  are their respective weights, then<br>
WAM =  $\frac{w_1x_1 + w_2x_2 + w_3x_3 + ... + w_nx_n}{w_1 + w_2 + w_3 + ... + w_n} = \frac{\sum wx}{\sum w}$ <br>
Weighted AM is preferred Symbolically, if  $x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots, x_n$  are the values of items and  $W_1$ ,  $W_2$ , ... $W_n$  are their respective weights, then

$$
WAM = \frac{W_1X_1 + W_2X_2 + W_3X_3 + \dots + W_nX_n}{W_1 + W_2 + W_3 + \dots + W_n} = \frac{\sum wx}{\sum w}
$$

Symbolically, if  $x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots, x_n$  are the values of items and<br>  $w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n$  are their respective weights, then<br>  $\text{WAM} = \frac{w_1x_1 + w_2x_2 + w_3x_3 + \ldots + w_nx_n}{w_1 + w_2 + w_3 + \ldots + w_n} = \frac{\sum wx}{\sum w}$ <br>
Weighted AM is preferred in Symbolically, if  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$ ,  $x_3$ ,... $x_n$  are the values of items and<br>  $w_2$ , ... $w_n$  are their respective weights, then<br>
WAM =  $\frac{w_1x_1 + w_2x_2 + w_3x_3 + ... + w_nx_n}{w_1 + w_2 + w_3 + ... + w_n} = \frac{\sum wx}{\sum w}$ <br>
Weighted AM is preferred in if  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$ ,  $x_3$ ,... $x_n$  are the values of items and<br>their respective weights, then<br> $\frac{w_2x_2 + w_3x_3 + ... + w_nx_n}{1 + w_2 + w_3 + ... + w_n} = \frac{\sum wx}{\sum w}$ <br>preferred in computing the average of percentages,<br>g to different classes of a  $\therefore x_n$  are the values of items and<br>
e weights, then<br>  $+...+w_n x_n = \frac{\sum wx}{\sum w}$ <br>
observations. Also<br>
observations. Also<br>
mputation of birth and death rates and<br>
observations. Also<br>
If x<sub>1</sub>. cally, if  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$ ,  $x_3$ ,... $x_n$  are the values of items and<br>  $w_n$  are their respective weights, then<br>  $w_1x_1 + w_2x_2 + w_3x_3 + ... + w_nx_n = \frac{\sum wx}{\sum w}$ <br>  $x_1 + w_2 + w_3 + ... + w_n = \frac{\sum wx}{\sum w}$ <br>  $x_n$  are their respective weights, then<br> *w*, *if*  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$ ,  $x_3$ ,... $x_n$  are the values of items and<br>
retheir respective weights, then<br>
retheir respective weights, then<br>  $w_1 + w_2x_2 + w_3x_3 + ... + w_nx_n = \sum_{w} wx$ <br>
is preferred in computation of back and<br>
is preferr if  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$ ,  $x_3$ ,... $x_n$  are the values of items and<br>
re their respective weights, then<br>  $+ w_2x_2 + w_3x_3 + ... + w_nx_n = \frac{\sum wx}{\sum w}$ <br>
is preced in computing the average of percentages,<br>
is preferred in computing the average  $x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots x_n$  are the values of items and<br>
heir respective weights, then<br>  $x_2x_2 + w_3x_3 + \ldots + w_nx_n = \frac{\sum wx}{\sum w}$ <br>
occometric mean (GM) is the<br>  $+w_2 + w_3 + \ldots + w_n$ <br>
ocfinered in computing the average of percentages,<br>  $x_1,$ Weighted AM is preferred in computing the average of percentages, ratios or rates relating to different classes of a group of observations. Also WAM is invariably applied in the computation of birth and death rates and index numbers.

# **Example 7**

A student obtains 60 marks in Statistics, 48 marks in Economics, 55 marks in law, 72 marks in Commerce and 45 marks in taxation in an examination. The weights of marks respectively are 2, 1, 3, 4, 2. Calculate the simple AM and weighted AM of the marks.

# **Solution**



# **Geometric Mean**

Geometric mean (GM) is the appropriate root (corresponding to the number of observations) of the product of observations. If there are n observations GM is the n-th root of the product of n observations. **Geometric Mean**<br>
M) is the appropriate root (corresponding to the<br>
s) of the product of observations. If there are n<br>
n-th root of the product of n observations.<br>
ta<br>
ta<br>
re n observations;<br>
GM =  $\sqrt[n]{x_1, x_2, ......x_n}$ <br>
e ca **C Mean**<br>priate root (corresponding to the<br>et of observations. If there are n<br>product of n observations.<br>ns;<br> $\frac{1}{n}$ <br> $\frac{1}{n}$ <br> $\left(\frac{\sum \log x}{n}\right)$ <br> $x_2, x_3,..., x_n$  are n observations **C Mean**<br>priate root (corresponding to the<br>et of observations. If there are n<br>product of n observations.<br>ns;<br> $\frac{1}{n}$ <br> $\left(\frac{\sum \log x}{n}\right)$ <br> $x_2$ ,  $x_3$ ,...,  $x_n$  are n observations<br> $f_2$ , ...,  $f_n$ is the appropriate root (corresponding to the<br>the product of observations. If there are n<br>root of the product of n observations.<br><br>observations;<br><br> $=\sqrt[n]{x_1, x_2, \dots x_n}$ <br>calculate GM using the formula,<br><br>**Anti**  $\log\left(\frac{\sum \log x}{n}\right$ 

# *Definition for a raw data*

If 
$$
x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots, x_n
$$
 are n observations;

$$
GM = \sqrt[n]{x_1, x_2, \ldots x_n}
$$

Using logarithms, we can calculate GM using the formula,

$$
GM = \text{Anti log}\left(\frac{\sum \log x}{n}\right)
$$

# *Definition for a frequency distribution*

For a frequency distribution if  $x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots, x_n$  are n observations with the corresponding frequencies  $f_1, f_2, ..., f_n$ data<br>
1 are n observations;<br>
GM =  $\sqrt[n]{x_1, x_2, ......x_n}$ <br>
we can calculate GM using the formula,<br>
GM =  $Anti \log \left( \frac{\sum log x}{n} \right)$ <br>
tency distribution<br>
listribution<br>
istribution if  $x_1, x_2, x_3, ..., x_n$  are n observations<br>
og frequencie GM is the n-th root of the product of n observations.<br>
or a raw data<br>  $x_3, ..., x_n$  are n observations;<br>  $GM = \sqrt[n]{x_1, x_2, ......x_n}$ <br>
garithms, we can calculate GM using the formula,<br>  $GM = Anti \log \left( \frac{\sum \log x}{n} \right)$ <br>
or a frequency distrib  $GM = Anti log(\frac{2.189 \text{ A}}{n})$ <br>
Definition for a frequency distribution<br>
For a frequency distribution<br>
with the corresponding frequencies  $f_1, f_2, ..., x_n$  are n observations<br>
with the corresponding frequencies  $f_1, f_2, ..., x_n f_n$ <br>
usin nti  $\log \left( \frac{\sum \log x}{n} \right)$ <br> *ibution*<br>
if  $x_1, x_2, x_3,..., x_n$  are n observations<br>
cies  $f_1, f_2, ..., f_n$ <br>  $\frac{f_1}{f_1}, x_2^{f_2}, ..... x_n^{f_n}$ <br>  $\frac{\partial f \log x}{N}$  where  $N = \sum f$ .<br>
erage for calculating index number and<br>
for non zero and non ne nti  $\log \left( \frac{\sum \log x}{n} \right)$ <br> *ibution*<br>
if  $x_1, x_2, x_3, ..., x_n$  are n observations<br>
cies  $f_1, f_2, ..., f_n$ <br>  $\frac{f_1}{f_1}, x_2^f_2, ...., x_n^f_n$ <br>  $\frac{\partial f \log x}{\partial N}$  where  $N = \sum f$ .<br>
erage for calculating index number and<br>
for non zero and non

$$
GM = \sqrt[N]{x_1^{f_1}, x_2^{f_2}, \dots, x_n^{f_n}}
$$

using logarithm,

$$
GM = \text{Antilog}\left(\frac{\dot{y}f \log x}{N}\right) \text{ where } N = \sum f.
$$

**Note**

- 1. GM is the appropriate average for calculating index number and average rates of change.
- 2. GM can be calculated only for non zero and non negative values.

3. Weighted GM = Anti log
$$
\left(\frac{\sum w \log x}{\sum w}\right)
$$

where w's are the weights assigned.

# **Example 8**

Calculate GM of 2, 4, 8

# **Solution**

8  
\nte GM of 2, 4, 8  
\nGM = 
$$
\sqrt[n]{x_1, x_2, ......x_n} = \sqrt[3]{2 \times 4 \times 8} = \sqrt[3]{64} = 4
$$
  
\n9  
\nA = 4  
\n9  
\n1. It is right  
\n2. It is has  
\nfor its  
\n3. It is no

# **Example 9**

Calculate GM of 4, 6, 9, 1 1 and 15

# **Solution**



# **Example 10**

Calculate GM of the following data



OM = Antilog $(\frac{\overline{y} + \overline{log x}}{N})$	
= Antilog (30.2627/42)	
= Antilog (30.2627/42)	
15	1. It is rigidly defined. It has clear cut mathematical formula.
15	1. It is rigidly defined. It has clear cut mathematical formula.
15	1. It is usually defined. It has clear cut mathematical formula.
= Antilog $(\frac{\sum log x}{n})$	
= Antilog $(\frac{\sum log x}{n})$	
= Antilog $(\frac{\sum log x}{n})$	
= Antilog $(\frac{4.5520}{5})$	

\n2. It is useful in averaging ratios and more weight to small items.

\n3. It is not a sub-equated from the GMs and sizes of the sets. It is useful in averaging ratios and percentages. It is suitable to find the average rate (not amount) of increase or decrease and to compute index numbers.

 $=$  Antilog  $0.7205 = 5.254$ 

# **Merits and Demerits**

# **Merits**

- 1. It is rigidly defined. It has clear cut mathematical formula.
- 2. It is based on all the items. The magnitude of every item is considered for its computation.
- 3. It is not as unduly affected by extreme items as A.M. because it gives less weight to large items and more weight to small items.
- 4. It can be algebraically manipulated. The G.M. of the combined set can be calculated from the GMs and sizes of the sets.
- 5. It is useful in averaging ratios and percentages. It is suitable to find the average rate (not amount) of increase or decrease and to compute index numbers.

# **Demerits**

- 1. It is neither simple to understand nor easy to calculate. Usage of logarithm makes the computation easy.
- 2. It has less sampling stability than the A.M.
- 3. It cannot be calculated for open-end data.
- 4. It cannot be found graphically.
- 5. It is not defined for qualities. Further, when one item is zero, it is zero and thereby loses its representative character. It cannot be calculated even if one value or one mid value is negative.

# **Harmonic Mean**

The harmonic mean (HM) of a set of observations is defined as the reciprocal of the arithmetic mean of the reciprocals of the observations.

# *Definition for a raw data*

If  $x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots, x_n$  are 'n' observations

HM = 1 2 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 .. .. *<sup>n</sup> <sup>n</sup> <sup>n</sup> x x x x x x n* **n 1 x** frequencies 1 2 3 , , ,..., *<sup>n</sup> f f f f* 1 2 1 2 *f f f* 

# *Definition for a frequency data*

If  $x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots, x_n$  are 'n' observations with the corresponding

then HM = 
$$
\frac{N}{f_1 \times \frac{1}{x_1} + f_2 \times \frac{1}{x_2} + ... + f_n \times \frac{1}{x_n}} = \frac{N}{y(\frac{f}{x})}
$$

where  $N = \sum f$ 

Note 1 HM can be calculated only for non zero and non negative values.

**Note 2** HM is appropriate for finding average speed when distance travelled at different speeds are equal. Weighted HM is appropriate when the distances are unequal. HM is suitable to study rates also.

$$
\frac{HM}{\frac{1}{x_1} + \frac{1}{x_2} + \dots + \frac{1}{x_n}} = \frac{1}{x_1} + \frac{1}{x_2} + \dots + \frac{1}{x_n} = \frac{1}{y\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)}
$$
  
\n*Definition for a frequency data*  
\nIf  $x_1, x_2, x_3, ..., x_n$  are 'n' observations with the corresponding  
\nfrequencies  $f_1, f_2, f_3, ..., f_n$   
\nthen  $HM = \frac{N}{f_1 \times \frac{1}{x_1} + f_2 \times \frac{1}{x_2} + \dots + f_n \times \frac{1}{x_n}} = \frac{N}{y\left(\frac{f}{x}\right)}$   
\nwhere  $N = \sum f$   
\nNote 1-HM can be calculated only for non zero and non negative values.  
\nNote 2-HM is appropriate for finding average speed when distance travelled  
\nat different speeds are equal. Weighted HM is appropriate when  
\nthe distances are unequal. HM is suitable to study rates also.  
\nNote 3 Weighted HM =  $\frac{N}{\sum \left(\frac{W}{x}\right)}$  where w's are the weighted assigned.  
\n**Exercise 3** *Exercise 4*  
\n1. It is rigid  
\n2. It is base for its co-  
\n**Example 11**  
\nCalculate the HM of 2, 3, 4, 5 and 7  
\n

# **Example 11**

Calculate the HM of 2, 3, 4, 5 and 7

# **Solution**

Solution  
\n
$$
\frac{1}{\text{Solution}} = \frac{n}{\sqrt[3]{\frac{1}{\mathbf{x}}}} = \frac{5}{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{7}}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{n}{\sqrt[3]{\frac{1}{\mathbf{x}}}}
$$
\nExample 12  
\nCalculate HM of 5, 11, 12, 16, 7, 9, 15, 13, 10 and 8  
\nSolution  
\n
$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{\frac{1}{\mathbf{x}}}}
$$
\nExample 12  
\nCalculate HM of 5, 11, 12, 16, 7, 9, 15, 13, 10 and 8  
\nSolution  
\n
$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{\frac{1}{\mathbf{x}}}}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{5}{\sqrt[3]{\frac{1}{\mathbf{x}}}}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{\frac{1}{\mathbf{x}}}}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{\frac{1}{\
$$

# $|\mathbf{y}| \frac{1}{\mathbf{x}}$  **Example 12**

# **Solution**



$$
HM = \frac{n}{\dot{y} \left(\frac{1}{x}\right)} = (10/1.0593) = 9.44
$$

# **Merits and Demerits**

# **Merits**

- 1. It is rigidly defined. It has clear cut mathematical formula.
- 2. It is based on all the items. The magnitude of every item is considered for its computation.
- 3. It is affected less by extreme items than A.M. or even G.M.
- 4. It gives lesser weight to larger items and greater weight to lesser items.

5. It can be algebraically manipulated. The H.M. of the combined set can be calculated from the H.M.s and sizes of the sets. For example, ed. The H.M. of the combined set<br>and sizes of the sets. For example,<br> $\frac{1 + N_2}{1 + M_2}$ <br> $\frac{1}{1 + M_2}$ <br>peed. ated. The H.M. of the combined set<br>
s and sizes of the sets. For example,<br>  $\frac{N_1 + N_2}{M_1 + \frac{N_2}{HM_2}}$ <br>
speed.<br>
d nor easy to calculate. ed. The H.M. of the combined set<br>and sizes of the sets. For example,<br> $\frac{l_1 + N_2}{l_1 + M_2}$ <br>peed.<br>nor easy to calculate.<br>the A.M. **Now the H.M.s** and sizes of the sets. For example,<br>  $HM_{12} = \frac{N_1 + N_2}{M_1 + \frac{N_2}{HM_2}}$ <br>
the average speed.<br>  $M_{12} = \frac{N_1 + N_2}{M_1 + \frac{N_2}{HM_2}}$ <br>
the average speed.<br>  $M_{12} = \frac{N_1 + N_2}{M_1 + \frac{N_2}{HM_2}}$ <br>  $M_{12} = \frac{N_1 + N_2}{M_$ **Example 1.** The H.M. of the combined set<br>
M.s and sizes of the sets. For example,<br>  $\frac{N_1 + N_2}{NM_1 + \frac{N_2}{HM_2}}$ <br>  $\frac{N_1}{HM_1} + \frac{N_2}{HM_2}$ <br>
ge speed.<br> **EXAMPLE 1.1**<br> **EXAMPLE 1.1**<br> **EXAMPLE 1.1**<br> **EXAMPLE 1.1**<br> **EXAMPLE** 

$$
HM_{12} = \frac{N_1 + N_2}{\frac{N_1}{HM_1} + \frac{N_2}{HM_2}}
$$

6. It is suitable to find the average speed.

# **Demerits**

- 1. It is neither simple to understand nor easy to calculate.
- 2. It has less sampling stability than the A.M.
- 3. Theoretically, it cannot be calculated for open-end data.
- 4. It cannot be found graphically.
- 5. It is not defined for qualities. It is not calculated when atleast one item or one mid value is zero or negative.
- 6. It gives undue weightage to small items and least weightage to largest items. It is not used for analysing business or economic data.

# **Median**

Median is defined as the middle most observation when the observations are arranged in ascending or descending order of magnitude. That means the number of observations preceding median will be equal to the number of observations succeeding it. Median is denoted by M.

# *Definition for a raw data*

For a raw data if there are odd number of observations, there will be only one middle value and it will be the median. That means, if there are n observations arranged in order of their magnitude, the size of  $(n+1)/2$  – th observation will be the median. If there are even number of observations the average of two middle values will be the median. That means, median will be the average of  $n/2^{th}$  and  $\left(\frac{n}{2}+1\right)$  observations. **Example A.M.**<br>
and nor easy to calculate.<br>  $\frac{1}{1}$  Median class  $\frac{1}{1}$  when the A.M.<br>
acalculated for open-end data.<br>  $\frac{1}{1}$   $\frac{1}{1}$   $\frac{1}{1}$   $\frac{1}{1}$   $\frac{1}{1}$   $\frac{1}{1}$   $\frac{1}{1}$   $\frac{1}{1}$   $\frac{1}{1}$   $\frac{1}{1$ Analism<br>
than the A.M.<br>
than the A.M.<br>
Illy, then the A.M.<br>
and the A.M.<br>
or or negative.<br>
signal items and least weightage to largest<br>
found to lie with in<br>
signal in tess are conomic data.<br> **Example 13**<br>
Found to lie wi of than the A.M.<br>
and the A.M.<br>
and N.<br>
and N.<br>
and S.<br>
and S.<br>
and S.<br>
and S.<br>
and Hysing business or economic data.<br>
From the distribution<br>
or negative.<br>
and I tiens and least weightage to largest<br>
found to lie with in<br>

# *Definition for a frequency data*

For a frequency distribution median is defined as the value of the variable

which divides the distribution into two equal parts. The median can be calculated using the following formula. ution into two equal parts. The median can be<br>
wing formula.<br>  $M = I + \frac{\left(\frac{N}{2} - m\right)}{f} \times c$ <br>
or limit of median class<br>
class in which N/2<sup>lh</sup> observation falls<br>
frequency<br>
ulative frequency up to median class o two equal parts. The median can be<br>nula.<br> $\left(\frac{N}{2} - m\right)$   $\times c$ <br>f median class<br>which N/2<sup>lh</sup> observation falls on into two equal parts. The median can be<br>g formula.<br> $= I + \frac{\left(\frac{N}{2} - m\right)}{f} \times c$ <br>mit of median class<br>s in which N/2<sup>Ih</sup> observation falls<br>equency

$$
M = I + \frac{\left(\frac{N}{2} - m\right)}{f} \times c
$$

where,  $\ell$  - lower limit of median class

- Median class the class in which  $N/2^{lh}$  observation falls
	- N total frequency
	- m cumulative frequency up to median class
	- c class interval of the median class
	- f frequency of median class

found to lie with in that interval.

# **Example 13**

Find the median height from the following heights (in cms.) of 9 soldiers. 160, 180, 175, 179, 164, 178, 171, 164, 176

# **Solution**



Step 2. Position of median = 
$$
\frac{n+1}{2}
$$
 is calculated. It is  $\frac{9+1}{2} = 5$ .

Step 3. Median is identified  $(5^{th}$  value)  $M = 175$ cms.

falls<br>
class<br>
s.) of 9 soldiers.<br>
0.<br>  $\frac{9+1}{2} = 5$ .<br>
case, median is It is to be noted that  $\frac{n+1}{2}$  may be a fraction, in which case, median in 2 may be a machon, in which case, meana  $\frac{n+1}{2}$  may be a fraction, in which case, median is found as follows.

# **Example 14**

Find the median weight from the following weights (in Kgs) of 10 soldiers. 75, 71, 73, 70, 74, 80, 85, 81, 86, 79 e following weights (in Kgs) of 10<br>
5, 81, 86, 79<br>
Step 2. Position of median,<br>
Step 2. Position of media 75 79

# **Solution**

Step 1. Weights are arranged in ascending order: 70, 71, 73, 74, 75, 79, 80, 81, 85, 86

Step 2. Position of median  $\frac{n+1}{2} = \frac{10+1}{2} = 5\frac{1}{2}$  is calculated  $2 \t 2 \t 2$  $\frac{n+1}{2} = \frac{10+1}{2} = 5\frac{1}{2}$  is calculated

Step 3. Median is found. It is the mean of the values at  $5<sup>th</sup>$ 

and 6<sup>th</sup> positions and so M =  $\frac{73 + 73}{2}$  = 77Kgs.  $+79$ = **77Kgs.**

# **Example 15**

Find the median for the following data.



# **Solution**

Step 1. Heights are arranged in ascending order. Cumulative frequencies (c.f) are found. (They help to know the values at different positions)





Step 3. Median is identified as the average of the values at the positions 35 and 36. The values are 173 and 178 respectively.

$$
\therefore M = \frac{173 + 178}{2} = 175.5
$$
cm

# **Example 16**

**Solution**

Calculate median for the following data





2  $\int_{\mathcal{E} \setminus \mathcal{C}}$  Median class is 10-15  $\frac{N}{2}$  - *m*) *f*

Here 
$$
l = 10
$$
,  $N / 2 = 50 / 2 = 25$ ,  $c = 5$ ,  $m = 15$ ,  $f = 15$ 

Calculate median for the following data  
\nClass : 0-5 5-10 10-15 15-20 20-25  
\nf : 5 10 15 12 8  
\nSolution  
\nClass   
\n10-15 5 5  
\n5-10 10 15  
\n10-15 15 30  
\n15-20 12 42  
\n20-25 8 50  
\nTotal 50  
\n
$$
M = I + \frac{\left(\frac{N}{2} - m\right)}{f} \times c
$$
 Median class is 10-15  
\nHere  $I = 10$ ,  $N/2 = 50/2 = 25$ ,  $c = 5$ ,  $m = 15$ ,  $f = 15$   
\n $\therefore M = 10 + \frac{(25-15)5}{15}$   
\n $= 10 + \frac{10 \times 5}{15} = 10 + \frac{10}{3} = 10 + 3.33 = 13.33$ 

# **Example 17**

Calculate median for the data given below.



Median class is 13.5-20.5, *l* = 13.5, N/2 = 80/2 = 40  $c = 7$ ,  $m = 25$ ,  $f = 28$ 

$$
M = 1 + \frac{\left(\frac{N}{2} - m\right)}{f} \times c = 13.5 + \frac{(40 - 25)}{28} \times 7
$$
  
= 13.5 +  $\frac{15 \times 7}{28}$  = 13.5 +  $\frac{15}{4}$   
= 13.5 + 3.75  
= 17.25

# **Graphical Determination of Median**

Median can be determined graphically using the following

Steps

- 1. Draw the less than or more than ogive
- 2. Locate N/2 on the Y axis.
- 3. At N/2 draw a perpendicular to the Y axis and extend it to meet the ogive
- 4. From the point of intersection drop a perpendicular to the X axis
- 5. The point at which the perpendicular meets the X axis will be the median value.

Median can also be determined by drawing the two ogives, simultaneously. Here drop a perpendicular from the point of intersection to the X axis. This perpendicular will meet at the median value.





# **Merits and Demerits**

# **Merits**

- 1. It is not unduly affected by extreme items.
- 2. It is simple to understand and easy to calculate.
- 3. It can be calculated for open end data
- 4. It can be determined graphically.
- 5. It can be used to deal with qualitative data.

# **Demerits**

- 1. It is not rigidly defined. When there are even number of individual observations, median is approximately taken as the mean of the two middle most observations.
- 2. It is not based on the magnitude of all the items. It is a positional measure. It is the value of the middle most item.
- 3 It cannot be algebraically manipulated. For example, the median of the combined set can not be found from the medians and the sizes of the individual sets alone.
- 4. It is difficult to calculate when there are large number of items which are to be arranged in order of magnitude.
- 5. It does not have sampling stability. It varies more markedly than A M from sample to sample although all the samples are from one and the same population.
- 6. Its use is lesser than that of AM.

# **Mode**

Mode is that value of the variable, which occur maximum number of times in a set of observations. Thus, mode is the value of the variable, which occur most frequently. Usually statements like, 'average student', 'average buyer', 'the typical firm', etc. are referring to mode of the phenomena. Mode is denoted by Z or Mo. For a raw data as well as for a discrete frequency distribution we can locate mode by inspection. **ode**<br>
le, which occur maximum number of<br>
s, mode is the value of the variable,<br>
ly statements like, 'average student',<br>
', etc. are referring to mode of the<br>
or Mo. For a raw data as well as for a<br>
an locate mode by insp **Mode**<br>**IVENTATE:** Which occur maximum number of<br>ions. Thus, mode is the value of the variable,<br>tly. Usually statements like, 'average student',<br>ical frm', etc. are referring to mode of the<br>ted by Z or Mo. For a raw data **Mode**<br> **Mode**<br>
variable, which occur maximum number of<br>
is. Thus, mode is the value of the variable,<br>
Usually statements like, 'average student',<br>
al firm', etc. are referring to mode of the<br>
by Z or Mo. For a raw data a **Tode**<br>
ble, which occur maximum number of<br>
us, mode is the value of the variable,<br>
ally statements like, 'average student',<br>
n', etc. are referring to mode of the<br>
or Mo. For a raw data as well as for a<br>
can locate mode

For a frequency distribution mode is defined as the value of the variable having the maximum frequency. For a continuous frequency distribution it can be calculated using the formula given below:

$$
Z = I + \frac{\Delta_1}{\Delta_1 + \Delta_2} \times c
$$

where  $\qquad$  | : lower limit of modal class

Modal class :  $\Box$  Class having the maximum frequency

- $\Delta_1$ : difference between the frequency of modal class and that of the premodal class
- $\Delta_2$ : difference between frequency of the modal class and that of the post modal class
	- c : class interval

For applying this formula, the class intervals should be (i) of equal size (ii) in ascending order and (iii) in exclusive form.

# **Example 18**

Determine the mode of

420, 395, 342, 444, 551, 395, 425, 417, 395, 401, 390

# **Solution**

Mode = **395**

# **Example 19**



# **Solution**

 $Mode = Z = 7$ 

# **Example 20**

Calculate mode for the following data



# **Solution**



$$
\mathbf{Z}^{\top}
$$

=  $1 + \frac{\Delta_1}{\Delta_1 + \Delta_2} \times c$  Modal class is 29.5-39.5

$$
I = 29.5
$$
  
\n
$$
\Delta_1 = 33 - 17 = 16
$$
  
\n
$$
\Delta_2 = 33 - 22 = 11, c = 10
$$

$$
= 29.5 + \frac{16}{16 + 11} \times 10
$$
  
= 29.5 + 5.92 = **35.42**

**For a symmetrical or moderately assymmetrical distribution, the empirical relation is**

# $Mean - Mode = 3 (Mean - Median)$

This relation can be used for calculating any one measure, if the remaining two are known.

# **Example 21**

In a moderately assymmetrical distribution Mean is 24.6 and Median 25.1. Find the value of mode.

# **Solution**

We have

 $Mean - Mode = 3(Mean - Median)$  $24.6 - Z = 3(24.6 - 25.1)$  $24.6 - Z = 3(-0.5) = -1.5$  $Z = 24.6 + 1.5 = 26.1$ 

# **Example 22**

In a moderately assymmetrical distribution Mode is 48.4 and Median 41.6. Find the value of Mean

# **Solution**

We have,  $Mean - Mode = 3(Mean - Median)$  $\bar{x}$  – 48.4 = 3( $\bar{x}$  – 41.6)  $\bar{x}$  – 48.4 = 3 $\bar{x}$  – 124.8  $3\bar{x} - \bar{x} = 124.8 - 48.4$  $2 \bar{x} = 76.4$  $\bar{x}$  = 76.4  $\div$  2 = 38.2 1 a moderately asymmetrical dist<br>
30-39 40-49 50-59<br>
33 22 13<br>
52.5.1. Find the value of mode.<br>
33 22 13<br>
52.5.1. Find the value of mode.<br>
80<br>
24.6 - Z = 3(-0.5) = -1.5<br>
9.5-19.5<br>
9.5-19.5<br>
9.5-19.5<br>
9.5-19.5<br>
9.5-19.5<br>
9 25.1. Find the value of mode.<br>
30-39 40-49 50-59<br>
33 22 13<br> **25.1.** Find the value of mode.<br>
We have<br>
Mean – Mode = 3(Mean – Median)<br>
41.6. Find the value of mode.<br>
4.6 – Z = 3(24.6 – 25.1)<br>
24.6 – Z = 3(24.6 – 25.1)<br>
24.

# **Merits and Demerits**

# **Merits**

- 1. Mode is not unduly affected by extreme items.
- 2. It is simple to understand and easy to calculate
- 3. It is the most typical or representative value in the sense that it has the greatest frequency density.
- 4. It can be calculated for open-end data.
- 5. It can be determined graphically. It is the x-coordinate of the peak of the frequency curve.
- 6. It can be found for qualities also. The quality which is observed more often than any other quality is the modal quality.

# **Demerits**

- 1. It is not rigidly defined.
- 2. It is not based on all the items. It is a positional value.
- 3. It cannot be algebraically manipulated. The mode of the combined set cannot be determined as in the case of AM.
- 4. Many a time, it is difficult to calculate. Sometimes grouping table and frequency analysis table are to be formed.
- 5. It is less stable than the A.M.
- 6. Unlike other measures of central tendency, it may not exist for some data. Sometimes there may be two or more modes and so it is said to be ill defined.
- 7. It has very limited use. Modal wage, modal size of shoe, modal size of family, etc., are determined. Consumer preferences are also dealt with.

# **Partition Values**

We have already noted that the total area under a frequency curve is equal to the total frequency. We can divide the distribution or area under a curve into a number of equal parts choosing some points like median. They are generally called *partition values or quantiles*. The important partition values are *quartiles, deciles and percentiles.*

# **Quartiles**

Quartiles are partition values which divide the distribution or area under a frequency curve into 4 equal parts at 3 points namely  $Q_1$ ,  $Q_2$ , and  $Q_3$ .  $Q_1$  is called *first quartile or lower quartile*,  $Q_2$  is called *second quartile*, middle quartile or median  $\mathrm{and}\, \mathrm{Q}_{3}$  is called *third quartile or upper quartile*. In other words  $Q_1$  is the value of the variable such that the number of observations lying below it, is  $N/4$  and above it is  $3N/4$ .  $Q_2$  is the value of the variable such that the number of observations on either side of it is equal to  $N/2$ . And  $Q_3$  is the value of the variable such that the number of observations lying below  $Q_3$  is 3N/4 and above  $Q_3$  is N/4.



# **Deciles and Percentiles**

Deciles are partition values which divide the distribution or area under a frequency curve into 10 equal parts at 9 points namely  $D_1, D_2, \dots,$  $D_{\rm q}$ .

.Percentiles are partition values which divide the distribution into 100 equal parts at 99 points namely  $P_1$ ,  $P_2$ ,  $P_3$ , ....  $P_{99}$ . Percentile is a very useful measure in education and psychology. Percentile ranks or scores can also be calculated. Kelly's measure of skewness is based on percentiles.

# *Calculation of Quartiles*

The method of locating quartiles is similar to that method used for finding median.  $Q_1$  is the value of the item at  $(n + 1)/4$ <sup>th</sup> position and  $Q_3$  is the value of the item at  $3(n + 1) / 4<sup>th</sup>$  position when actual values are known. In the case of a frequency distribution  $Q_1$  and  $Q_3$  can be calculated as follows.

of Distance Education

\nScho

\n
$$
Q_{1} = l_{1} + \frac{\left(\frac{N}{4} - m\right)}{f} \times c
$$
\nWe can find the probability of the equation  $Q_{1} = l_{1} + \frac{\left(\frac{N}{4} - m\right)}{f} \times c$ .

\nWe can find the probability of the equation  $Q_{1} = l_{1} + \frac{\left(\frac{N}{4} - m\right)}{f} \times c$ .

\nIn a similar fashion, the distribution  $Q_{2} = l_{1} + \frac{\left(\frac{N}{4} - m\right)}{f} \times c$ .

\nIn a similar fashion, the distribution  $Q_{1} = l_{1} + \frac{\left(\frac{N}{4} - m\right)}{f} \times c$ .

\nSo, the distribution  $Q_{1} = l_{1} + \frac{\left(\frac{N}{4} - m\right)}{f} \times c$ .

\nSo, the distribution  $Q_{2} = l_{2} + \frac{\left(\frac{N}{4} - m\right)}{f} \times c$ .

where  $I_1$  - lower limit of  $Q_1$  class

- $Q_1$  class the class in which  $N/4^{th}$  item falls
	- m cumulative frequency up to  $Q_1$  class

c - class interval

f - frequency of  $Q_1$  class

Over limit of 
$$
Q_1
$$
 class

\nIn a single class in which  $N/4^{th}$  item falls

\nunulative frequency up to  $Q_1$  class

\nlass interval

\nfrequency of  $Q_1$  class

\nclass

\nlarging

\ndequency of  $Q_1$  class

\ndegree of  $Q_1$  class

\ndegree of  $Q_1$  class

\ndegree of  $Q_1$  class

\ncurrent of  $Q_3 = I_3 + \frac{2N}{f} \times c$ 

\nQuart

\ngiven free data.

\n3N/4. At meet the

\nfirst order to find the number of values of  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  class

\nfirst order to find the number of values of  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  class

\nfirst order to find the number of values of  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  class

\nfirst order to find the number of values of  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  class is the number of values of  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  class is the number of values of  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  class is the number of values of  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  class is the number of values of  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  class is the number of values of  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  class is the number of values of  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  class is the number of values of  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  class is the number of values of  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  class is the number of values of  $Q_2$  class is the number of values of  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  class is the number of values of  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  class is the number of values of  $Q_2$  class is the number of values of  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  class is the number of values of  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  class is the number of values of  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  class is the number of values of  $Q_1$  and 

- where  $I_3$  lower limit of  $Q_3$  class
	- $Q_3$  class the class in which  $3N/4^{th}$  item falls
		- m cumulative frequency up to  $Q_3$  class
		- c class interval
		- f frequency of  $Q_3$  class

We can combine these three formulae and can be written as

*School of Distance Education School of Distance Education*

School of Distance Education

\n
$$
Q_{i} = I_{i} + \frac{\left(\frac{iN}{4} - m\right)}{f} \times c, \quad i = 1, 2, 3
$$
\nwhich is the same as follows:

\n
$$
\left(\frac{iN}{40} - m\right)
$$

In a similar fashion deciles and percentiles can be calculated as

**School of Distance Education**  
\n
$$
Q_i = I_i + \frac{\left(\frac{iN}{4} - m\right)}{f} \times c, \quad i = 1, 2, 3
$$
\n  
\n
$$
D_i = I_i + \frac{\left(\frac{iN}{10} - m\right)}{f} \times c, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 9
$$
\n
$$
P_i = I_i + \frac{\left(\frac{iN}{100} - m\right)}{f} \times c, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 99
$$
\n**Determination of Quartiles**  
\ncan be determined graphically by drawing the ogives of the  
\nney distribution. So draw the less than ogive of the given  
\nthe Y axis locate N/4, N/2 and

# **Graphical Determination of Quartiles**

Quartiles can be determined graphically by drawing the ogives of the given frequency distribution. So draw the less than ogive of the given data. On the Y axis locate N/4, N/2 and 3N/4. At these points draw perpendiculars to the Y axis and extend it to meet the ogive. From the points of intersection drop perpen-diculars to the X axis. The point corresponding to the CF,  $N/4$  is  $Q_1$  corresponding to the CF N/2 is  $Q_2$  and corres-ponding to the CF 3N/4 is  $Q_3$ . *of Distance Education*<br>  $Q_1 = I_1 + \frac{\left(\frac{M}{4} - m\right)}{f} \times c$ <br>
wer limit of  $Q_1$  class<br>
wer limit of  $Q_1$  class<br>
mulative frequency up to  $Q_1$  class<br>
ass interval<br>
equency of  $Q_1$  class<br>  $Q_3 = I_3 + \frac{\left(\frac{fN}{10}\right)}{f} \times c$ <br> nce Education<br>  $\frac{\left(\frac{N}{4} - m\right)}{f} \times c$ <br>  $Q_i = l_i$ <br>  $\frac{\left(\frac{N}{4} - m\right)}{f} \times c$ <br>  $Q_1$  class<br>  $\frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{N}{4}}} \right)}$ <br>  $Q_2 = l_i + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{N}{4}}}$ <br>  $Q_3$  class<br>  $Q_4 = l_i + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{N}{4}}}$ <br>  $Q_5$  class<br>  $Q_6$  class<br>  $Q_$ F Distance Education<br>  $=I_1 + \frac{\left(\frac{N}{4} - m\right)}{f} \times c$ <br>  $Q_i = I_j - \frac{\left(\frac{N}{4} - m\right)}{f} \times c$ <br>
In a similar fashion decomparison of  $Q_1$  class<br>
interval<br>  $P_i = I_i + \frac{\left(\frac{i\hbar}{4} - m\right)}{f} \times c$ <br>
Graphical Determination<br>  $=I_3 + \frac{\left(\frac{3N}{4$ 



# **Example 23**

Find ,  $Q_1$ ,  $Q_3$ ,  $D_2$ ,  $D_9$ ,  $P_{16}$ ,  $P_{65}$  for the following data. 282, 754, 125, 765, 875, 645, 985, 235, 175, 895, 905, 112 and 155.

# **Solution**

Step 1. Arrange the values in ascending order 112, 125, 155, 175, 235, 282, 645, 754, 765, 875, 895, 905 and 985.

Step 2. Position of Q<sub>1</sub> is 
$$
\frac{n+1}{4} = \frac{13+1}{4} = \frac{14}{4} = 3.5
$$

Similarly positions of  $Q_3$ ,  $D_2$ ,  $D_9$ ,  $P_{16}$  and  $P_{65}$  are 10.5, 2.8, 12.6, 2.24 and 9. 1 respectively.

# Step 3.

23<br> **a**<sub>1</sub>, Q<sub>3</sub>, D<sub>3</sub>, D<sub>3</sub>, P<sub>16</sub>, P<sub>65</sub> for the following data. 282, 754, 125,<br>
445, 985, 235, 175, 895, 905, 112 and 155.<br>
Arrange the values in ascending order<br>
112, 125, 155, 175, 235, 282, 645, 754, 765, 875,<br>
895, 23<br> *Q*<sub>1</sub>, Q<sub>3</sub>, D<sub>2</sub>, D<sub>3</sub>, P<sub>16</sub>, P<sub>65</sub> for the following data. 282, 754, 125,<br>
445, 985, 235, 175, 895, 905, 112 and 155.<br>
Arrange the values in ascending order<br>
112, 125, 155, 175, 235, 282, 645, 754, 765, 875,<br>
895, *<sup>D</sup>*<sup>2</sup> 125 0.8(155 125 ) <sup>=</sup> **149.0** 23<br>
23<br>  $\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $Q_3$ ,  $D_2$ ,  $D_9$ ,  $P_{16}$ ,  $P_{65}$  for the following data. 282, 754, 125,<br>
45, 985, 235, 175, 895, 905, 112 and 155.<br>
Arrange the values in ascending order<br>
112, 125, 155, 175, 235, 282, 645, 754, 76 *P*<sub>1</sub>, Q<sub>3</sub>, D<sub>2</sub>, D<sub>2</sub>, P<sub>2</sub>, P<sub>16</sub>, P<sub>16</sub>, P<sub>85</sub> for the following data. 282, 754, 125,<br>
445, 985, 235, 175, 895, 905, 112 and 155.<br>
Arrange the values in ascending order<br>
112, 125, 155, 175, 235, 282, 645, 754, 765, 8 **Parage the values in ascending order**<br> **Parage the values in ascending order**<br> **Parage the values in ascending order**<br> **Position of Q<sub>1</sub> is**  $\frac{n+1}{4} = \frac{13+1}{4} = \frac{14}{4} = 3.5$ **<br>
<b>Position of Q<sub>1</sub> is \frac{n+1}{4} = \frac{13+1}{4} = \** 

# **Note**

The value of the 12.6-th position  $(D_9)$  is obtained as value of 12-th position  $+ 0.6$  (value at 13-th position - value at 12-th position)

# **Example 24**





- Step 1. The cumulative frequencies of marks given in ascending order are found
- Step 2. The positions of  $Q_1$ ,  $Q_3$ ,  $D_4$ ,  $P_{20}$  and  $P_{99}$  are found. They are

50 41 105  
\n52 49 154  
\n53 54 208  
\n67 38 246  
\n75 29 275  
\n80 27 302  
\ncumulative frequencies of marks given in ascending order  
\nfound  
\npositions of Q<sub>1</sub>, Q<sub>3</sub>, D<sub>4</sub>, P<sub>20</sub> and P<sub>99</sub> are found.  
\n
$$
\frac{N+1}{4} = \frac{303}{4} = 75.75
$$
\n
$$
\frac{3(N+1)}{4} = 3 \times \frac{303}{4} = 227.25
$$
\n
$$
\frac{4(N+1)}{10} = \frac{40 \times 303}{10} = 121.20
$$
\n
$$
\frac{20(N+1)}{100} = \frac{20 \times 303}{100} = 60.60
$$
\n99(N+1) = 99 × 303 = 299.97

Step 3. The marks of students at those positions are found

The marks of students at those positions are found<br>  $Q_1 = 50 + 0.75(50 - 50) = 50$  Marks<br>  $Q_3 = 67 + 0.25(67 - 67) = 67$  Marks<br>  $D_4 = 52 + 0.20(52 - 52) = 52$  Marks<br>  $P_{20} = 40 + 0.60(40 - 40) = 40$  Marks<br>  $P_{99} = 80 + 0.97(80 - 80) = 8$ 

# **Note**

Refer the above example to know the method of finding the values of the items whose positions are fractions.

# **Example 25**



# **Solution**





# **Very Short Answer Questions**

- 17. What is central tendency?
- 18. Define Median and mode.
- 19. Define harmonic mean
- 20. Define partition values
- 21 State the properties of AM.
- 22 In a class of boys and girls the mean marks of 10 boys is 38 and the mean marks of 20 girls 45. What is the average mark of the class?
- 23. Define deciles and percentiles.
- 24 Find the combined mean from the following data.



# **Short Essay Questions**

- 25 Define mode. How is it calculated. Point out two
- 26. Define AM, median and mode and explain their uses
- 27. Give the formulae used to calculate the mean, median and mode of a frequency distribution and explain the symbols used in them.
- 28. How will you determine three quartiles graphically from a less than ogive?
- 29. Three samples of sizes 80, 40 and 30 having means 12.5, 13 and 11 respectively are combined. Find the mean of the combined sample.
- 30. Explain the advantages and disadvantages of arithmetic mean as an average.
- 31. For finding out the 'typical' value of a series, what measure of central tendency is appropriate?
- 32 Explain AM and HM. Which one is better? And Why?
- 33. Prove that the weighted arithmetic mean of first n natural numbers whose weights are equal to the corresponding number is equal to **ELENGALY QUESTIONS**<br>Define mode. How is it calculated. Point out two<br>Define mode. How is it calculated. Point out two<br>ive the formulae used to calculate the mean, median and mode of a<br>frequency distribution and explain th

- 34. Show that GM of a set of positive observation lies between AM & AM.
- 35. What are the essential requisites of a good measure of central tendency? Compare and contrast the commonly employed measures in terms of these requisites.
- 36. Discuss the merits and demerits of the various measures of central tendency. Which particular measure is considered the best and why? Illustrate your answer.
- 37.. What is the difference between simple and weighted average? Explain the circumstances under which the latter should be used in preference to the former.
- 38. Find the average rate of increase in population which in the first decade has increased 12 percent, in the next by 16 per cent, and in third by 21 percent.
- 39.. A person travels the first mile at 10 km. per hour, the second mile at 8 km. per hour and the third mile at 6 km. per hour. What is his average speed?

# **Long Essay Questions**

40. Compute the AM, median and mode from the following data



41. Calculate Arithmetic mean, median and mode for the following data.



42. Calculate mean, median and mode from the following data





43. Calculate mean, median and mode



- 44. (i) Find the missing frequencies in the following distribution given that  $N = 100$  and median of the distribution is 110.
	- (ii) Calculate the arithmetic mean of the completed frequency distribution.



# **MEASURES OF DISPERSION**<br>By dispersion we mean *spreading* or *scatteredness* or UNIT - II<br>MEASURES OF DISPERSION<br>By dispersion we mean *spreading* or *scatteredness* or<br>*riation*. It is clear from the above example that **UNIT - II**

School of Distance Education

*MEASURES OF DISPERSION*<br>By dispersion we mean *spreading* or *scatteredness* or<br>*variation*. It is clear from the above example that<br>dispersion measures the extent to which the items vary MEASURES OF DISPERSION<br>By dispersion we mean *spreading* or *scatteredness* or<br>*variation*. It is clear from the above example that<br>dispersion measures the extent to which the items vary<br>from some central value. Since meas By dispersion we mean *spreading* or *scatteredness* or<br>*variation*. It is clear from the above example that<br>dispersion measures the extent to which the items vary<br>from some central value. Since measures of dispersion<br>give By dispersion we mean *spreading* or *scatteredness* or<br>variation. It is clear from the above example that<br>dispersion measures the extent to which the items vary<br>from some central value. Since measures of dispersion<br>give a variation. It is clear from the above example that<br>dispersion measures the extent to which the items vary<br>from some central value. Since measures of dispersion<br>give an average of the differences of various items from<br>an av dispersio<br>dispersio<br>from som<br>give an a<br>an avera<br>order.<br>**Desirab EXECT STERN CONTROLLER CONTROLLER STEADER CONTROLLER STEADER SHOWS** from an average of the differences of various items from an average, they are also called averages of second order.<br>**Desirable properties of an ideal mea d** is some central<br>give an average of the<br>an average, they a<br>order.<br>**Desirable prope<br>dispersion**<br>The following are

# average, they are also called averages of second<br>ler.<br>**sirable properties of an ideal measure of**<br>**spersion**<br>The following are the requisites for an ideal measure<br>dispersion. order.<br>**Desirable proplispersion**<br>The following :<br>of dispersion.<br>1. It should be r

dispersion<br>
1 . The following are the requisites for an ideal measure<br>
1. It should be rigidly defined and its value should be<br>
1.

- definite.
- 2. It should be easy to understand and simple to calculate.
- 3. It should be based on all observations.
- 4. It should be capable of further algebraic treatment.
- 5. It should be least affected by sampling fluctuations.

2. It should be easy to understand and simple to<br>3. It should be based on all observations.<br>4. It should be capable of further algebraic trea<br>5. It should be least affected by sampling flucture<br>**Methods of Studying Variati** It should be capable of further algebraic treatment.<br>It should be least affected by sampling fluctuations.<br>**ethods of Studying Variation**<br>The following measures of variability or dispersion<br>commonly used. **Methods of Studying Variation**<br>The following measures of variat<br>are commonly used.<br>1. Range 2. Quartile 1 should be feast affected by sampling fractations.<br>
1. Range The following measures of variability or dispersite commonly used.<br>
1. Range 2. Quartile Deviation<br>
3. Mean Deviation 4. Standard Deviation **ethods of Studying Variation**<br>
The following measures of variability or dispersic<br>
2. Quartile Deviation<br>
3. Mean Deviation 4. Standard Deviation<br>
Here the first two are called positional measures of

- 
- 

Fire forowing measures of variability of dispersion<br>
2. Quartile Deviation<br>
3. Mean Deviation 4. Standard Deviation<br>
Here the first two are called positional measures of<br>
spersion. The other two are called calculation d i s commonly used.<br>
1. Range 2. Quartile Deviation<br>
3. Mean Deviation 4. Standard Deviation<br>
Here the first two are called positional measures of<br>
dispersion. The other two are called calculation<br>
measures of deviation. 1. Range 2. Quartile Deviation<br>3. Mean Deviation 4. Standard Deviation<br>Here the first two are called positional measures of<br>dispersion. The other two are called calculation<br>measures of deviation.

**Absolute and Relative Dispersion**<br>Absolute measures and relative measures and A b solute and Relative Dispersion<br>Absolute measures and relative measures are the<br>o kinds of measures of dispersion. The formers are Absolute and Relative Dispersion<br>Absolute measures and relative measures are the<br>two kinds of measures of dispersion. The formers are<br>used to assess the variation among a set of values. Absolute and Relative Dispersion<br>Absolute measures and relative measures are the<br>two kinds of measures of dispersion. The formers are<br>used to assess the variation among a set of values.<br>The latter are used whenever the var Absolute and Relative Dispersion<br>Absolute measures and relative measures are the<br>two kinds of measures of dispersion. The formers are<br>used to assess the variation among a set of values.<br>The latter are used whenever the var Absolute and Relative Dispersion<br>Absolute measures and relative measures are the<br>two kinds of measures of dispersion. The formers are<br>used to assess the variation among a set of values.<br>The latter are used whenever the var Absolute measures and relative measures are the<br>two kinds of measures of dispersion. The formers are<br>used to assess the variation among a set of values.<br>The latter are used whenever the variability of two or<br>more sets of v two kinds of measures of dispersion. The formers are<br>used to assess the variation among a set of values.<br>The latter are used whenever the variability of two or<br>more sets of values are to be compared. Relative<br>measures give used to assess the variation among a set of values.<br>The latter are used whenever the variability of two or<br>more sets of values are to be compared. Relative<br>measures give pure numbers, which are free from the<br>units of measu The latter are used whenever the variability of two or<br>more sets of values are to be compared. Relative<br>measures give pure numbers, which are free from the<br>units of measurements of the data. Even data in<br>different units an more sets or values are to be compared. Relative<br>measures give pure numbers, which are free from the<br>units of measurements of the data. Even data in<br>different units and with unequal average values can<br>be compared on the ba measures give pure numbers, which are free from the<br>units of measurements of the data. Even data in<br>different units and with unequal average values can<br>be compared on the basis of relative measures of<br>dispersion. Less is t units of measurements of the data. Even data in<br>different units and with unequal average values can<br>be compared on the basis of relative measures of<br>dispersion. Less is the value of a relative measure,<br>less is the variatio different units and with unequal average values can<br>be compared on the basis of relative measures of<br>dispersion. Less is the value of a relative measure,<br>less is the variation of the set and more is the<br>consistency. The te be compared<br>dispersion. L<sub>i</sub><br>less is the v<br>consistency.<br>uniformity an<br>synonyms.<br>**1. Range 1 . R angle 1 . R** white the formal consistency are used as if they are synonyms.<br> **1. Range 1. Range 1.**<br> **Definition** Range is the difference between the 9. which are free from the<br> **Calculate coef**<br>
if the data: Even data in<br>
a data:<br>
inequal average values can<br>
the set and more is the<br>
stability, homogeneity,<br>
y are used as if they are<br>
stability, homogeneity,<br>
y are use f the data. Even data in<br>
inequal average values can<br>
interest and more is the set and property,<br>
y are used as if they a

uniformity and consistency are used as if they are<br>synonyms.<br>**1. Range**<br>**Definition** Range is the difference between the<br>greatest (largest) and the smallest of the given values.<br>In symbols, **Range - L. S** where I is the gr

**Range**<br>Definition Range is the difference between the<br>eatest (largest) and the smallest of the given values.<br>In symbols, **Range = L – S** where L is the greatest<br>lue and S is the smallest value. **1. Range<br>Definition** Range is the differer<br>greatest (largest) and the smallest of<br>In symbols, **Range = L – S** where<br>value and S is the smallest value.<br>The corresponding relative measure greatest (largest) and the smallest of the given values.<br>In symbols, **Range = L – S** where L is the greatest<br>value and S is the smallest value.<br>The corresponding relative measure of dispersion is<br>defined as In symbols, **Range = L** – S where L is the greatest<br>value and S is the smallest value.<br>The corresponding relative measure of dispersion is<br>defined as

lue and S is the smallest value.<br>
e corresponding relative measure of dispersion is<br>
fined as<br>
Coefficient of Range =  $\frac{L-S}{L+S}$ <br>
sample 1 The corresponding<br>defined as<br>Coefficient of R<br>**Example 1**<br>The price of a sha

 $+ S$ 

Fined as<br>
Coefficient of Range =  $\frac{L-S}{L+S}$ <br> **ample 1**<br>
The price of a share for a six-day week is fluctuated as<br>
lows: Coeffici<br>Example 1<br>The price<br>follows:<br>₹156 oefficient of Range =  $\frac{\overline{L+S}}{\overline{L+S}}$ <br> **mple 1**<br>
he price of a share for a six-day week is fluctuated as<br>
hows:<br>
156  $\overline{\overline{\zeta}}$  165  $\overline{\overline{\zeta}}$  148  $\overline{\overline{\zeta}}$  151  $\overline{\overline{\zeta}}$  147  $\overline{\overline{\zeta}}$  162<br>
alculate the Ra Sumple 1<br>The price of a share for a six-day week is fluct<br>lows:<br>₹156 ₹ 165 ₹ 148 ₹ 151 ₹ 147 ₹ 16<br>Calculate the Range and its coefficient.

Range = L-S = 
$$
\overline{5}
$$
 165 -  $\overline{5}$  147 =  $\overline{5}$  18  
Coefficient of Range =  $\frac{L-S}{L+S} = \frac{165-147}{165+147} = 0.0577$   
Example 2  
Calculate coefficient of range from the following data:

 $7 = \overline{5}$  18<br>  $\frac{165 - 147}{165 + 147} = 0.0577$ <br>
from the following<br>
0-40 40-50 50-60  $L-S$  147 = ₹ 18<br>  $L-S$  =  $\frac{165-147}{165+147}$  = **0.0577**<br>
range from the following<br>
-30 30-40 40-50 50-60 ₹ 147 = ₹ 18<br>  $-$ S =  $\frac{165-147}{165+147}$  = 0.0577<br>
ange from the following<br>
0 30-40 40-50 50-60 Coefficient of Range =  $\frac{L-S}{L+S} = \frac{165-147}{165+147}$  = 0.0577<br>
ample 2<br>
Calculate coefficient of range from the following<br>
ta: **Example 2**<br>Calculate coefficient of range from the following<br>data:



No.of students:  $8$  10<br> **Solution**<br>
Coefficient of Range  $=\frac{L-S}{L+S}$ <br> **Merits and Demerits**<br> **Merits Coefficient**<br>**Merits and**<br>**Merits**<br>1. It is the

- Coefficient of Range  $= \frac{1}{L+S} = \frac{1}{60+10} = 0.7143$ <br> **Merits and Demerits**<br>
1. It is the simplest to understand and the easiest to calculate. Merits and Demerits<br>
Merits<br>
1. It is the simplest to understand and the easiest to<br>
calculate. Merits<br>
Merits<br>
1. It is the simplest to understand and the eas<br>
calculate.<br>
2. It is used in Statistical Quality Control.<br>
Demerits **Merits**<br>1. It is the sim<br>calculate.<br>2. It is used in<br>**Demerits**<br>1. Its definitio
- 

- 1. It is the simplest to understand and the easiest to<br>calculate.<br>2. It is used in Statistical Quality Control.<br>**Demerits**<br>1. Its definition does not seem to suit the calculation<br>for data with class intervals. Further, it calculate.<br>It is used in Statistical Quality Control.<br>**nerits**<br>Its definition does not seem to suit the calculation<br>for data with class intervals. Further, it cannot<br>be calculated for open-end data. It is used in Statistical Quality Con<br> **nerits**<br>
Its definition does not seem to suit t<br>
for data with class intervals. Furt!<br>
be calculated for open-end data.<br>
It is based on the two extreme iten **Demerits**<br>
1. Its definition does not seem to suit the calculation<br>
for data with class intervals. Further, it cannot<br>
be calculated for open-end data.<br>
2. It is based on the two extreme items and not on<br>
any other item. Its definition does<br>for data with clas<br>be calculated for  $\alpha$ <br>It is based on the<br>any other item.<br>It does not have sa 3 . It does not he two extreme items and not on<br>2. It is based on the two extreme items and not on<br>3. It does not have sampling stability. Further, it is<br>3. It does not have sampling stability. Further, it is<br>calculated fo
- be calculated for open-end data.<br>It is based on the two extreme items and not<br>any other item.<br>It does not have sampling stability. Further, i<br>calculated for samples of small sizes only.<br>It could not be mathematically manip
- any other item.<br>
3. It does not have sampling stability. Further, it is<br>
calculated for samples of small sizes only.<br>
4. It could not be mathematically manipulated<br>
further.<br>
5. It is a very rarely used measure. Its scope
- 2. It is based on the two extreme items and not on<br>any other item.<br>3. It does not have sampling stability. Further, it is<br>calculated for samples of small sizes only.<br>4. It could not be mathematically manipulated<br>further.
- 3. It does not have sampling stability. Further, it is<br>calculated for samples of small sizes only.<br>4. It could not be mathematically manipulated<br>further.<br>5. It is a very rarely used measure. Its scope is<br>limited to very fe calculated for samples of small sizes only.<br>It could not be mathematically manipulated<br>further.<br>It is a very rarely used measure. Its scope is<br>limited to very few considerations in Quality<br>Control. It could n<br>further.<br>It is a ver<br>limited to<br>Control.

# **2. Quartile Deviation<br>Definition**

**2. Quartile Deviation**<br>**Definition**<br>Quartile deviation is half of the difference between<br>the first and the third quartiles. Quartile Deviation<br>
finition<br>
Quartile deviation is half of the difference between<br>
e first and the third quartiles. 2. Quartile Deviation<br>Definition<br>Quartile deviation is half of the<br>the first and the third quartiles.<br>In symbols,  $Q_D = \frac{Q_3 - Q_1}{Q_1}$  (c)

**Quartile Deviation**<br> **Quartile deviation**<br>
Quartile deviation is half of the difference between<br>
tirst and the third quartiles.<br>
In symbols, Q.D =  $\frac{Q_3 - Q_1}{2}$ , Q.D is the abbreviation.<br>
Among the quartiles  $Q_1$ ,  $Q_$  $2 \rightarrow \infty$ . D is the above viation. *Q Q* of the difference between<br>es.<br>, Q.D is the abbreviation.<br> $Q_2$  and  $Q_3$ , the range is Quartile deviation is half of the difference between<br>
: first and the third quartiles.<br>
In symbols, Q.D =  $\frac{Q_3 - Q_1}{2}$ , Q.D is the abbreviation.<br>
Among the quartiles Q<sub>1</sub>, Q<sub>2</sub> and Q<sub>3</sub>, the range is<br>  $-Q_1$ . In symbols, Q.D =  $\frac{Q_3 - Q_1}{2}$ , Q.D is the abbreviation.<br>Among the quartiles  $Q_1$ ,  $Q_2$  and  $Q_3$ , the range is  $Q_3 - Q_1$ .<br>ie., inter-quartile range is  $Q_3 - Q_1$  and Q.D which is<br> $Q_3 - Q_1$ . **Quartile Deviation**<br>
Quartile deviation is half of the difference bett<br>
e first and the third quartiles.<br>
In symbols, Q.D =  $\frac{Q_3 - Q_1}{2}$ , Q.D is the abbrevia<br>
Among the quartiles  $Q_1$ ,  $Q_2$  and  $Q_3$ , the rang<br>  $\frac{$ **2. Quartile Deviation**<br> **Quartile deviation**<br>
Quartile deviation is half of the difference between<br>
the first and the third quartiles.<br>
In symbols, Q.D =  $\frac{Q_3 - Q_1}{2}$ , Q.D is the abbreviation.<br>
Among the quartiles Q<sub>1</sub> ig the quartiles  $Q_1$ ,  $Q_2$  and  $Q_3$ , the set of  $Q_1$ ,  $Q_2$  and  $Q_3$ , the set of  $Q_3 - Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  is the semi inter-quartile range. **3 3**

 $2^{13}$  in some inter-quartity ra  $\frac{-Q_1}{Q_2}$ .<br>  $\frac{-Q_1}{2}$  is the semi inter-quartile range.<br>
Coefficient of Quartile Deviation =  $\frac{Q_3 - Q_1}{Q_3 + Q_1}$ <br>
This is also called quartile coefficient of dispersion.  $\frac{1 - Q_1}{2}$  is the semi inter-quartile range.<br>Coefficient of Quartile Deviation =  $\frac{Q_3 - Q_1}{Q_3 + Q_1}$ <br>This is also called quartile coefficient of dispersion.

**1 Example 3**<br>**Example 3**<br>**Example 3**<br>**Example 3**<br>**Example 3** 

**1**

Coefficient of Quartile Deviation =  $\frac{4.3}{\mathbf{Q_3}+\mathbf{Q_1}}$ <br>This is also called quartile coefficient of dispersi<br>**ample 3**<br>Find the Quartile Deviation for the following:<br>391, 384, 591, 407, 672, 522, 777, 733, 1490, 2 This is also called quartile coefficient of dispersion.<br> **Example 3**<br>
Find the Quartile Deviation for the following:<br>
391, 384, 591, 407, 672, 522, 777, 733, 1490, 2488<br> **Solution Example 3**<br>Find the Quart<br>391, 384, 591<br>**Solution**<br>Before finding Find the Quartile Deviation for the following:<br>391, 384, 591, 407, 672, 522, 777, 733, 1490, 2488<br>**lution**<br>Before finding Q.D., Q<sub>1</sub> and Q<sub>3</sub> are found from the<br>lues in ascending order:

391, 384, 591, 407, 672, 5<br> **Solution**<br>
Before finding Q.D., Q<sub>1</sub> ar<br>
values in ascending order:<br>
384, 391, 407, 522, 591, 6 **lution**<br>Before finding Q.D., Q<sub>1</sub> and Q<sub>3</sub> are found from the<br>lues in ascending order:<br>384, 391, 407, 522, 591, 672, 733, 777, 1490, 2488<br> $n + 1$  10 +1

P o s i t i o n o f Q <sup>1</sup> i s <sup>=</sup> 2 . 7 5 <sup>Q</sup> <sup>1</sup> = 3 9 1 + 0 . 7 5 ( 4 0 7 3 9 1 ) <sup>=</sup> 4 0 3 P o s i t i o n o f Q <sup>3</sup> i s 3( 1) 3 2.75 4 *n* Q <sup>3</sup> = 7 7 7 + 0 . 2 5 ( 1 4 9 0 7 7 7 ) <sup>=</sup> 9 5 5 . 2 5 Q D = 3 1 955.25 403.00 2 2 *Q Q* <sup>=</sup> **2 7 6 . 1 2 5**

**Example 5**<br>Calculate Quarti ample 5<br>Calculate Quartile deviation for the following data.<br>so calculate quartile coefficient of dispersion.



$$
Q_3 = I_3 + \frac{\left(\frac{3N}{4} - m\right)}{f}c = 70 + \frac{(150 - 136)}{30}10
$$

$$
= 70 + \frac{14 \times 10}{30} = 70 + 4.67 = 74.67
$$

QD = 
$$
\frac{Q_3 - Q_1}{2} = \frac{74.67 - 50.20}{2} = \frac{24.47}{2} = 12.23
$$
  
\nQuartile coefficient of dispersion  
\n
$$
= \frac{Q_3 - Q_1}{2} = \frac{74.67 - 50.20}{2} = \frac{24.47}{204.27} = 0.196
$$

\n $QD = \frac{Q_3 - Q_1}{2} = \frac{74.67 - 50.20}{2} = \frac{24.47}{2} = 12.23$ \n	\n        Instead of taking \n        u single coefficient of dispersion \n        ... M.D. a \n        while coefficient of dispersion \n        ... M.D. a \n        This is not $Q_3 + Q_1 = \frac{74.67 - 50.20}{74.67 - 50.20} = \frac{24.47}{124.87} = 0.196$ \n	\n        For a frequency of \n        (MD) <i>i</i> \n        N. D. a \n        For a frequency of \n        (MD) <i>j</i> \n        . It is a easy to understand and simple to calculate.\n	\n        Note\n
--	---	--	------------------

- 
- $Q_3 + Q_1$  74.67-50<br> **Merits and Demerits**<br> **Merits**<br>
1. It is rigidly defined.<br>
2. It is easy to understand **Merits and Demerits<br>2. It is rigidly defined.<br>2. It is easy to understand and simple to calculate.<br>3. It is not unduly affected by extreme values.**
- 
- 3 . It is rigidly defined.<br>2. It is rigidly defined.<br>3. It is not unduly affected by extreme values.<br>4. It can be calculated for open-end distributions. **1.** It is rigidly defined.<br>2. It is easy to understand and simple to calculate.<br>3. It is not unduly affected by extreme values.<br>4. It can be calculated for open-end distributions. 3. It is not unduly affected by extreme values.<br>4. It can be calculated for open-end distributions.<br>**Demerits**<br><sup>1.</sup> It is not based on all observations 4. It can be calculated for open-end distri<br> **Demerits**<br>
<sup>1</sup> It is not based on all observations<br>
2. It is not capable of further algebraic tr

- 
- 
- **Demerits**<br><sup>1.</sup> It is not based on all observations<br>2. It is not capable of further algebraic treatment<br>3. It is much affected by fluctuations of sampling. **Demerits**<br><sup>1.</sup> It is not based on all observations<br>2. It is not capable of further algebraic treatment<br>3. It is much affected by fluctuations of sampling.<br>Mean Deviation

**Demerits**<br><sup>1</sup> It is not based on all o<br>2. It is not capable of fur<br>3. It is much affected by<br>**Mean Deviation**<br>The Mean Deviation is de It is not capable of further algebraic treatment<br>It is much affected by fluctuations of sampling.<br>**ean Deviation**<br>The Mean Deviation is defined as the Arithmetic mean<br>the absolute value of the deviations of observations of the state of funder digestate treatment<br>3. It is much affected by fluctuations of sampling.<br>**Mean Deviation**<br>The Mean Deviation is defined as the Arithmetic mean<br>of the absolute value of the deviations of observations<br>f 5. It is much affected by fluctuations of sampling<br>**Mean Deviation**<br>The Mean Deviation is defined as the Arithmetic mo<br>of the absolute value of the deviations of observation<br>from some origin, say mean or median or mode.<br>Th **Exam Deviation**<br>The Mean Deviation is defithe absolute value of the<br>m some origin, say mean<br>Thus for a raw data

value of the deviations of observations  
in, say mean or median or mode.  
aw data  
M.D about Mean = 
$$
\frac{\ddot{y}|\mathbf{x} - \overline{\mathbf{x}}|}{n}
$$
 Ca

2. It is easy to understand and simple to calculate.<br>3. It is not unduly affected by extreme values.<br>4. It can be calculated for open-end distributions.<br>**Demerits**<br><sup>1.</sup> It is not capable of further algebraic treatment<br>3. 4. It can be calculated for open-end distributions.<br> **Demerits**<br>
<sup>1</sup> It is not based on all observations<br>
<sup>2</sup> It is not capable of further algebraic treatment<br>
<sup>3</sup>. It is not capable of further algebraic treatment<br> **Mean** 

Instead of taking deviation from mean, if we are<br>incomedian we get the mean deviation about median Instead of taking deviation from mean, if we are<br>using median we get the mean deviation about median.<br> $\ddot{y}|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{M}|$ 

of taking deviation from mean, if we are  
ian we get the mean deviation about median.  

$$
\therefore
$$
 M.D. about Median = 
$$
\frac{\dot{y}|\mathbf{x} - M|}{n}
$$

ing median we get the mean deviation about median.<br>  $\therefore$  M.D. about Median =  $\frac{\dot{y}|\mathbf{x} - M|}{n}$ <br>
For a frequency data, MD about Mean is given by<br>  $(MD)\overline{v} - \frac{\sum f |\mathbf{x} - \overline{\mathbf{x}}|}{N}$ .  $N = \sum f$ aking deviation from mean, if we are<br>
re get the mean deviation about median.<br>
.D. about Median =  $\frac{\dot{y}|\mathbf{x} - M|}{n}$ <br>
ncy data, MD about Mean is given by<br>  $(MD)\overline{x} = \frac{\sum f|x - \overline{x}|}{N}; N = \sum f$ <br>
sout Median (MD) =  $\frac{\sum f|x - M|}{N}$  $N$   $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}$   $f(x)$   $M$ deviation from mean, if we are<br>the mean deviation about median.<br>out Median =  $\frac{\dot{y}|\mathbf{x} - M|}{n}$ <br>ta, MD about Mean is given by<br>=  $\frac{\sum f |\mathbf{x} - \overline{\mathbf{x}}|}{N}$ ;  $N = \sum f$ <br>edian (MD) =  $\frac{\sum f |\mathbf{x} - M|}{N}$ d of taking deviation from mean, if we are<br>
dian we get the mean deviation about median.<br>  $\therefore$  M.D. about Median =  $\frac{\mathcal{Y}|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{M}|}{n}$ <br>
requency data, MD about Mean is given by<br>  $(MD)\overline{\mathbf{x}} = \frac{\sum f |\mathbf{x} - \overline{\mathbf{x}}|}{N}$ *N* a mean, if we are<br>
tion about median.<br>  $\frac{y|\mathbf{x}-M|}{n}$ <br>
Mean is given by<br>  $\sum f$ <br>  $\frac{y|x-M|}{N}$ <br>
Out the measure of **Note**<br>**Note**<br>**Wi**  $\frac{74.67 - 50.20}{2} = \frac{24.47}{2} = 12.23$ <br>
This is a contracted of taking<br>
instead of taking<br>
using median we go<br>
T4.67-50.20 =  $\frac{24.47}{124.87} = 0.196$ <br>
For a frequency<br>
(MD<br>
instead of taking<br>
wing median we go<br>  $\therefore$  M.  $\frac{74.67 - 50.20}{2} = \frac{24.47}{2} = 12.23$ <br>
Instead of taki<br>
using median we g<br>
fficient of dispersion<br>  $\frac{74.67 - 50.20}{74.67 - 50.20} = \frac{24.47}{124.87} = 0.196$ <br>
For a frequency<br>
(*ML*<br>
For a frequency<br>
(*ML*<br>
MD abou<br>
fined.

MD about Median (MD) =  $\frac{\sum f |x - M|}{N}$ <br>
whenever nothing is mentioned about the measure of<br>
ntral tandanay from which deviations are to be Note<br>Whenever nothing is mentioned about the measure of<br>Central tendency from which deviations are to be<br>considered deviations are to be taken from the mean Whenever nothing is mentioned about the measure of<br>Central tendency from which deviations are to be<br>considered, deviations are to be taken from the mean Central tendency from which deviations<br>considered, deviations are to be taken from<br>and the required MD is MD about mean.<br>(i) Coofficient of MD (cheut msidered, deviations are to be taken from the mean<br>d the required MD is MD about mean.<br>(i) Coefficient of MD (about mean) =<br>D about mean

*MD* about  $M = \frac{N \times 1000 \text{ m}}{1000 \text{ m}}$ <br>*MD* about Median =  $\frac{y|x - M|}{n}$ <br>For a frequency data, MD about Mean is given by<br> $(MD)\overline{x} = \frac{\sum f|x - \overline{x}|}{N}$ ;  $N = \sum f$ <br>*MD* about Median (MD) =  $\frac{\sum f|x - M|}{N}$ <br>Note<br>Whenever nothing *Mean* (i) Coefficient of MD (about mean) =<br>
D about mean<br>
(ii) Coefficient of MD (about median) =<br>
D about median For a frequency data, *MD* about Mean is given by<br>  $(MD)\overline{x} = \frac{\sum f |x - \overline{x}|}{N}$ ;  $N = \sum f$ <br> *MD* about Median  $(MD) = \frac{\sum f |x - M|}{N}$ <br> **Note**<br> **Whenever nothing is mentioned about the measure of**<br>
Central tendency from which devi *Median* (ii) Coefficient of MD (about median)<br> **MD about median**<br> **Example 6**<br>
Calculate MD about Mean of 8, 24, 12, 16, 10, 20 Median<br>
Median<br>
Calculate MD about Mean of 8, 24, 12, 16, 10, 20 *x x <sup>n</sup>* For a method of the absolute of the absolute of *x* and is reached the absolute of  $\lambda$ .<br> **Democration**  $\lambda$  and the calculated for open-end distributions.<br>
This not based on all observations<br>
2. It is not capable of furt

 $Solution$ <br> $\begin{array}{ccc}\nx & x - \overline{x}\n\end{array}$ **1.** It is<br>  $x - \overline{x}$   $|x - \overline{x}|$ <br>  $y - \overline{x}$ <br>  $y = 24$ <br>  $y = 9$ <br>  $z = 3$ <br>  $z = 1$ <br> 8  $-7$  7 **ion**<br>
x  $x - \overline{x}$   $|x - \overline{x}|$ <br>
8  $-7$  7<br>
24 9 9<br>
12  $-3$  3  $\begin{array}{ccc} x & x - \overline{x} & |x - \overline{x}| \\ 8 & -7 & 7 \\ 24 & 9 & 9 \\ 12 & -3 & 3 \\ 16 & 1 & 1 \end{array}$  $x - \overline{x}$   $|x - \overline{x}|$ <br>
8  $-7$  7<br>
24 9 9<br>
12  $-3$  3<br>
16 1 1<br>
10  $-5$  5  $\begin{array}{cccccc} 8 & -7 & 7 \\ 24 & 9 & 9 \\ 12 & -3 & 3 \\ 16 & 1 & 1 \\ 10 & -5 & 5 \\ 20 & 5 & 5 \end{array}$  $\begin{array}{cccc} 24 & 9 & 9 \\ 12 & -3 & 3 \\ 16 & 1 & 1 \\ 10 & -5 & 5 \\ 20 & 5 & 5 \\ 90 & 30 \end{array}$  $\begin{array}{cccc} 12 & -3 & 3 \\ 16 & 1 & 1 \\ 10 & -5 & 5 \\ 20 & 5 & 5 \\ 90 & 30 \end{array}$ <br>
ple 8 16 1<br>
10 - 5<br>
20 5<br>
90<br> **Example 8**<br>
Calculate MD at

20 5 5<br>90 30<br>**ample 8**<br>Calculate MD about Mean and the coefficient of MD<br>Classes: 0-10 10-20 20-30 30-40 40-50 90 30<br> **ample 8**<br>
Calculate MD about Mean and the coefficient of MI<br>
Classes: 0-10 10-20 20-30 30-40 40-50<br>
f : 5 15 17 11 2 **ample 8**<br>Calculate MD about Mean and the coefficient of<br>Classes:  $0-10$  10-20 20-30 30-40 40-50<br>f : 5 15 17 11 2<br>lution **Example 8**<br>Calculate MD<br>Classes: 0-10<br>f : 5<br>**Solution**<br>Class f



$$
\overline{x} = \frac{\sum fx}{N} = \frac{1150}{50} = 23
$$
  
(ND)<sub>x</sub> =  $\frac{\sum f |x - \overline{x}|}{N} = \frac{420}{50} = 8.4$ 

 $\frac{bout \, mean}{Ahean} = \frac{8.4}{23} = 0.3652$  $\frac{8.4}{23}$  = 0.3652

# **Merits and Demerits<br>Merits Merits and<br>Merits<br>1. It is rigid Merits and Demerits<br>Merits**<br>1. It is rigidly defined<br>2. It is easy to calculate and **Merits and Demerits<br>2 . It is rigidly defined<br>2. It is easy to calculate and simple to understand<br>3. It is based on all observations. Merits and Demerits<br>2.** It is rigidly defined<br>2. It is easy to calculate and simple 1<br>3. It is based on all observations.<br>4. It is not much affected by the extre.

- 
- 1. It is rigidly def<br>2. It is easy to ca<br>3. It is based on a<br>4. It is not much a<br>5. It is stable.<br>**Demerits**
- 
- Merits<br>1. It is rigidly defined<br>2. It is easy to calculate and simple to understand<br>3. It is host much affected by the extreme values of items.<br>5. It is stable.
- 

- 2. It is easy to<br>3. It is easy to<br>4. It is not muc<br>5. It is stable.<br>**Demerits**<br>1. It is mathem 1. It is based on all observations.<br>
4. It is not much affected by the extreme values of items.<br>
5. It is stable.<br> **Demerits**<br>
1. It is mathematically illogical to ignore the algebraic<br>
signs of deviations. It is not much affected by<br>It is stable.<br>**nerits**<br>It is mathematically illo<br>signs of deviations.<br>No further algebraic ma 2. It is stable.<br> **Demerits**<br>
1. It is mathematically illogical to ignore the algebrai<br>
signs of deviations.<br>
2. No further algebraic manipulation is possible.<br>
3. It gives more weight to large deviations tha
- 
- **Demerits**<br>1. It is mathematically illogical to ignore the algebraic<br>signs of deviations.<br>2. No further algebraic manipulation is possible.<br>3. It gives more weight to large deviations than<br>smaller ones. It is mathematica<br>signs of deviatic<br>No further algeb<br>It gives more<br>smaller ones.<br>ndard Deviatio 1. It is mathematically illogically is<br>signs of deviations.<br>2. No further algebraic manip<br>3. It gives more weight to<br>smaller ones.<br>**Standard Deviation**<br>The standard deviation is the

No further algebraic manipulation is possible.<br>It gives more weight to large deviations than<br>smaller ones.<br>**andard Deviation**<br>The standard deviation is the most useful and the most<br>pular measure of dispersion. The deviatio smaller ones.<br> **Standard Deviation**<br>
The standard deviation is the most useful and the most<br>
popular measure of dispersion. The deviation of the<br>
observations from the AM are considered and then each smaller ones.<br> **Standard Deviation**<br>
The standard deviation is the most useful and the most<br>
popular measure of dispersion. The deviation of the<br>
observations from the AM are considered and then each<br>
squared. The sum of s **Standard Deviation**<br>The standard deviation is the most useful and the most<br>popular measure of dispersion. The deviation of the<br>observations from the AM are considered and then each<br>squared. The sum of squares is divided b **Standard Deviation**<br>The standard deviation is the most useful and the most<br>popular measure of dispersion. The deviation of the<br>observations from the AM are considered and then each<br>squared. The sum of squares is divided b The standard deviation is the most useful and the most<br>popular measure of dispersion. The deviation of the<br>observations from the AM are considered and then each<br>squared. The sum of squares is divided by the number of<br>obser popular measure of dispersion. The deviation of the<br>observations from the AM are considered and then each<br>squared. The sum of squares is divided by the number of<br>observations. The square root of this value is known as<br>the observations from the AM are considered and then each<br>squared. The sum of squares is divided by the number of<br>observations. The square root of this value is known as<br>the standard deviation. Thus Standard deviation (SD) is<br> squared. The sum of squares is divided by the number of<br>observations. The square root of this value is known as<br>the standard deviation. Thus Standard deviation (SD) is<br>defined as the square root of the AM of the squares o SETVALIONS. The square root<br>
i standard deviation. Thus S<br>
fined as the square root of the<br>
viations of observations from<br>
gma). We can calculate SD us<br>
So for a raw data, if  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$ , *hus Standard deviation (SD) is*<br> *t of the AM of the squares of the*<br> *s from AM*. It is denoted by 's'<br>
SD using the following formula.<br> *x*<sub>2</sub>, *x*<sub>3</sub>.... *x*<sub>n</sub> are n observations tically illogical to ignore the algebraic<br>
ations.<br>
gebraic manipulation is possible.<br>
re weight to large deviations than<br>
.<br> **SECUTE:**<br> **SECUTE:**<br> **SECUTE:**<br> **SECUTE:**<br> **SECUTE:**<br> **SECUTE:**<br> **SECUTE:**<br> **SECUTE:**<br> **SECUTE** al to ignore the algebraic<br>pulation is possible.<br>large deviations than<br>most useful and the most<br>n. The deviation of the<br>considered and then each<br>divided by the number of<br>of this value is known as<br>tandard deviation (SD) is smaller ones.<br>
Standard Deviation<br>
The standard deviation<br>
The standard deviation is the most useful and the most<br>
popular measure of dispersion. The deviation of the<br>
observations from the AM are considered and then each ost useful and the most<br>The deviation of the<br>nsidered and then each<br>vided by the number of<br>this value is known as<br>*idard deviation (SD) is*<br> $M$  of the squares of the<br> $M$ . It is denoted by 's'<br>g the following formula.<br> $\therefore$ 

 $1, \frac{\lambda}{2}, \frac{\lambda}{2}$ 

$$
\mathbf{SD} = \mathbf{s} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum (x_i - \overline{x})^2}{n}}
$$

So for a faw data, if  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$ ,  $x_3$ ....  $x_n$  a<br>  $SD = s = \sqrt{\frac{\sum (x_i - \overline{x})^2}{n}}$ <br>
For a frequency data, if  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$ ,<br>
servations or middle values of n  $1, \lambda_2,$  $\frac{1}{(x + \sqrt{x})^2}$ <br> *, x*<sub>2</sub>*, x*<sub>3</sub>*... x*<sub>n</sub> are n<br> *f* n classes with the  $SD = s = \sqrt{\frac{\sum (x_i - \overline{x})^2}{n}}$ <br>For a frequency data, if  $x_1, x_2, x_3,... x_n$  are n<br>observations or middle values of n classes with the<br>corresponding frequencies  $f_1, f_2, ..., f_n$ SD =  $s = \sqrt{\frac{\sum (x_i - \overline{x})^2}{n}}$ <br>For a frequency data, if  $x_1, x_2, x_3....$ <br>observations or middle values of n classes<br>corresponding frequencies  $f_1, f_2, .... f_n$ 

$$
SD = s = \sqrt{\frac{\sum f_i (x_i - \overline{x})^2}{N}}
$$

*The square of the SD is known as 'Variance' and is*<br>*noted as s<sup>2</sup> or SD is the positive square root of The square of the SD is known as 'Variance' and is denoted as*  $s^2$  *or SD is the positive square root of variance.* 2<sup> $\alpha$ </sup> *The squar<br>denoted as<br>variance.*<br>Simplified The square of the SD is known as 'Valuenoted as  $s^2$  or SD is the positive squariance.<br> **Simplified formula for SD**<br>
For a raw data, we have The square of the SD is known<br>noted as  $s^2$  or SD is the position<br>riance.<br>**mplified formula for SD**<br>For a raw data, we have

The square of the SD is known as 'Variance' and is  
\nvariance.  
\nSimplified formula for SD  
\nFor a raw data, we have  
\n
$$
t^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum (x - \bar{x})^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum (x^2 - 2x \bar{x} + \bar{x}^2)
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{5}{n} \sum \bar{x}^2 - 2\bar{x} \sum \bar{x} + \bar{x}^2
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{\sum x^2}{n} - 2\bar{x} \cdot \bar{x} + \bar{x}^2
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{\sum x^2}{n} - \bar{x}^2
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{\sqrt{\sum x^2 - x^2}}{n} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sum x^2 - x^2}}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{\sqrt{\sum x^2 - x^2}}{n} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sum x^2 - x^2}}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{\sqrt{\sum x^2 - x^2}}{n} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sum x^2 - x^2}}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{\sqrt{\sum x^2 - x^2}}{n} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sum x^2 - x^2}}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{\sqrt{\sum x^2 - x^2}}{n} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sum x^2 - x^2}}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sum x^
$$

In a similar way, for a frequency data  
\n
$$
s = \sqrt{\frac{\sum fx^2}{N} - (\frac{\sum fx}{N})^2}
$$
\n**Short Cut Method**

**Short Cut Method**  
For a raw data, s = 
$$
\sqrt{\frac{\sum d^2}{n} - (\frac{\sum d}{n})^2}
$$
 where d = x - A

For a raw data, s = 
$$
\sqrt{\frac{2a}{n} - (\frac{2b}{n})}
$$
 where d = x  
\nA  
\nFor a frequency data, s =  $c \times \sqrt{\frac{\sum f d^2}{N} - (\frac{\sum f d}{N})^2}$  Ch  
\nTh

where  $d = \frac{x - A}{c}$  $\frac{x - A}{c}$ , A - assumed mean, c - class<br>measure of dispersion based on SD or<br>SD is given by<br>of SD -  $\frac{SD}{c} = \frac{1}{c}$ c <sup>c</sup>, <sup>*c*</sup> assumed mean, c  $, A - assumed mean, c - class$ where d<br>interval.<br>The relat where  $d = \frac{x - A}{c}$ , A - assumed mean, c - class<br>erval.<br>The relative measure of dispersion based on SD or<br>efficient of SD is given by where  $d = \frac{x - A}{c}$ , A - assumed<br>interval.<br>The relative measure of dispersic<br>coefficient of SD is given by<br>SD +

erval.<br>The relative measure of dispersion based on SD or<br>efficient of SD is given by<br>Coefficient of SD =  $\frac{SD}{AM} = \frac{1}{x}$ The relative measure of dispersion based on<br>coefficient of SD is given by<br>**Coefficient of SD** =  $\frac{SD}{AM} = \frac{1}{x}$ <br>**Importance of Standard Deviation**<br>Standard deviation is always associated w

 $\mathbf{r}$  $\frac{SD}{1.11} = \frac{1}{1}$ *AM*

Coefficient of  $SD = \frac{SD}{AM} = \frac{1}{x}$ <br> **portance of Standard Deviation**<br>
Standard deviation is always associated with the<br>
an. It gives satisfactory information about the **Coefficient of SD** =  $\frac{dD}{dM} = \frac{1}{x}$ <br> **Importance of Standard Deviation**<br>
Standard deviation is always associated with the<br>
mean. It gives satisfactory information about the<br>
effectiveness of mean as a representative Example 1 and Standard Deviation<br>
Standard deviation is always associated with the<br>
mean. It gives satisfactory information about the<br>
effectiveness of mean as a representative of the data.<br>
More is the value of the standa Importance of Standard Deviation<br>Standard deviation is always associated with the<br>mean. It gives satisfactory information about the<br>effectiveness of mean as a representative of the data.<br>More is the value of the standard d Standard deviation is always associated with the<br>mean. It gives satisfactory information about the<br>effectiveness of mean as a representative of the data.<br>More is the value of the standard deviation less is the<br>concentratio Standard deviation is always associated with the<br>mean. It gives satisfactory information about the<br>effectiveness of mean as a representative of the data.<br>More is the value of the standard deviation less is the<br>concentratio mean. It gives satisfactory information about the<br>effectiveness of mean as a representative of the data.<br>More is the value of the standard deviation less is the<br>concentration of the observations about the mean and<br>vice ver Full venture of the standard deviation less is the<br>ncentration of the observations about the mean and<br>ce versa. Whenever the standard deviation is small<br>an is accepted as a good average.<br>According to the definition of stan concentration of the standard deviation less is the<br>concentration of the observations about the mean and<br>vice versa. Whenever the standard deviation is small<br>mean is accepted as a good average.<br>According to the definition Standard deviation is always associated with the<br>mean. It gives satisfactory information about the<br>effectiveness of mean as a representative of the data.<br>More is the value of the standard deviation less is the<br>concentrati

eoncentration of the observations about the mean and<br>vice versa. Whenever the standard deviation is small<br>mean is accepted as a good average.<br>According to the definition of standard deviation, it<br>can never be negative. Whe of the standard deviation is small<br>mean is accepted as a good average.<br>According to the definition of standard deviation, it<br>can never be negative. When all the observations are<br>equal standad deviation is zero. Therefore a Hean is accepted as a good average.<br>According to the definition of standard deviation, it<br>can never be negative. When all the observations are<br>equal standad deviation is zero. Therefore a small value<br>of s suggests that the According to the definition of standard deviation, it<br>can never be negative. When all the observations are<br>equal standad deviation is zero. Therefore a small value<br>of s suggests that the observations are very close to<br>each can never be negative. When all the observative<br>equal standad deviation is zero. Therefore a sma<br>of s suggests that the observations are very<br>each other and a big value of s suggests t<br>observations are widely different fro or s suggests that the observations are very close to<br>each other and a big value of s suggests that the<br>observations are widely different from each other.<br>**Properties of Standard Deviation**<br>*1. Standard deviation is not af* Fractory information about the<br>
as a representative of the data.<br>
the standard deviation less is the<br>
observations about the mean and<br>  $\pm$  the standard deviation is small<br>  $\pm$  good average.<br>
finition of standard deviati wice versa. Whenever the standard deviation is small<br>mean is accepted as a good average.<br>mean is accepted as a good average.<br>Can never be negative. When all the observations are<br>equal standad deviation is zero. Therefore concentration of the observations<br>vice versa. Whenever the standard<br>mean is accepted as a good avera<br>coording to the definition of s<br>can never be negative. When all<br>equal standad deviation is zero. The<br>of s suggests that vice versa. Whenever the standard<br>
mean is accepted as a good aver<br>  $\alpha$  according to the definition of<br>
can never be negative. When all<br>
equal standard deviation is zero. The<br>
of suggests that the observation<br>
each other  $+ \overline{x}^2$ <br>
More is the value of the standard denotes of mean as a representation of the baservalue of the standard denotentiation of standard denotentiation of standard and  $x$  is accepted as a good average<br>
can never be

each othe<br>observatio<br>**Properti**<br>*1. Standa<br>origin.*<br>Proof **Properti**<br>*Properti*<br>*1. Standa*<br>*origin.*<br>Proof Let  $x_1$ **Properties of**<br>1. Standard de<br>origin.<br>**Proof** Let  $x_1, x_2$ , **ex of Standard Deviation**<br> *rd deviation is not affected by change*<br> *p*, *x*<sub>2</sub>,.... *x*<sub>*n*</sub> be a set of n observations.

 $1, 4, 2, 1$ Let  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  be a set of n observations.<br>
Then  $s_x = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum (x_i - \overline{x})^2}$ <br>
Choose  $y_i = x_i + c$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3...$  n<br>
Then  $\overline{V} = \overline{x} + c$ 

$$
= \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum (x_i - \overline{x})^2}
$$

$$
\therefore y_i - \overline{y} = x_i - \overline{x}
$$
  
\n
$$
\sum (y_i - \overline{y})^2 = \sum (x_i - \overline{x})^2
$$
  
\n
$$
\frac{1}{n} \sum (y_i - \overline{y})^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum (x_i - \overline{x})^2
$$
  
\ni.e.,  $\sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum (y_i - \overline{y})^2} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum (x_i - \overline{x})^2}$   
\nii.e.,  $s_y = s_x$   
\nHence the proof  
\n2. Standard deviation is affected by change of scale.  
\nProof  
\nLet  $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n$  be a set of n observations.  
\nThen  
\n
$$
s_x = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum (x_i - \overline{x})^2}
$$
  
\nChoose  $y_i = c x_i + d$ , i = 1, 2, 3, ... n and c and d are constants. This fulfils  
\nthe idea of changing the scale of the original values.  
\nNow  
\n
$$
\overline{y} = c \overline{x} + d
$$
  
\n
$$
\therefore y_i - \overline{y} = c(x_i - \overline{x})
$$
  
\n
$$
\sum (y_i - \overline{y})^2 = c^2 \sum (x_i - \overline{x})^2
$$
  
\n
$$
\sum (y_i - \overline{y})^2 = c^2 \sum (x_i - \overline{x})^2
$$
  
\n
$$
\sum \frac{1}{n} \sum (y_i - \overline{y})^2 = c^2 \frac{1}{n} \sum (x_i - \overline{x})^2
$$
  
\n
$$
\sum \frac{1}{n} \sum (y_i - \overline{y})^2 = c^2 \frac{1}{n} \sum (x_i - \overline{x})^2
$$
  
\n
$$
\sum \frac{1}{n} \sum (y_i - \overline{y})^2 = c^2 \frac{1}{n} \sum (x_i - \overline{x})^2
$$
  
\n
$$
\sum \frac{1}{n} \sum (y_i - \overline{y})^2 = c^2 \sum (x_i - \overline{x})^2
$$
  
\n
$$
\sum \frac{1}{n} \sum \frac{1}{n} \sum \frac{1}{n} (\overline{x})^2 = c^2 \sum (x_i -
$$

*2. Standard deviation is affected by change of scale.* **Proof**

Let  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  be a set of n observations.

Choose  $y_i = c x_i + d$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3...$  n and c and d are constants. This fulfils the idea of changing the scale of the original values.

$$
\frac{1}{n}\sum (y_i - \overline{y})^2 = \frac{1}{n}\sum (x_i - \overline{x})^2
$$
\n
$$
i.e., \sqrt{\frac{1}{n}\sum (y_i - \overline{y})^2} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n}\sum (x_i - \overline{x})^2}
$$
\n
$$
ii.e., \qquad \begin{aligned}\ns_y &= s_x \\
\text{Hence the proof} \\
2. \text{ Standard deviation is affected by change of scale.} \\
\text{Then} \\
s_x &= \sqrt{\frac{1}{n}\sum (x_i - \overline{x})^2} \\
\text{Choose } y_i &= c x_i + d, i = 1, 2, 3, \dots \text{ and } c \text{ and } d \text{ are constants. This fulfils} \\
\text{The the idea of changing the scale of the original values.} \\
\text{Now} \\
\overline{y} &= c \overline{x} + d \\
\therefore y_i - \overline{y} &= c(\overline{x}_i - \overline{x}) \\
\sum (y_i - \overline{y})^2 &= c^2 \sum (x_i - \overline{x})^2 \\
\sum (y_i - \overline{y})^2 &= c^2 \frac{1}{n}\sum (x_i - \overline{x})^2 \\
\text{the side of the original values.} \\
\text{Now} \\
\overline{y} &= c \overline{x} + d \\
\therefore y_i - \overline{y} &= c(\overline{x}_i - \overline{x}) \\
\sum (y_i - \overline{y})^2 &= c^2 \sum (x_i - \overline{x})^2 \\
\text{the, } \frac{1}{n}\sum (y_i - \overline{y})^2 &= c^2 \frac{1}{n}\sum (x_i - \overline{x})^2 \\
\text{is a a percentage. } b \text{ of variation is the as a percentage. } d \text{ to be more than } c \text{ as a increase. } d \text{ to be a constant, } d \text{ to be a constant. } d \text{ to be a constant
$$

SD of y values  $= c \times SD$  of x values Hence the proof.

Statistics-Basic Statistics and Probability 63 62 Statistics-Basic Statistics and Probability 63

# **Note**

 If there are k groups then the S.D. of the k groups combined is given by the formula.

te  
\nIf there are k groups then the S.D. of the k groups combined is given  
\nthe formula.  
\n
$$
(n_1 + n_2 + .... + n_k) \tau^2 = n_1 \tau_1^2 + n_2 \tau_2^2 + .... + n_k \tau_k^2
$$
\n
$$
+ n_1 d_1^2 + n_2 d_2^2 + .... + n_k d_k^2
$$
\n**Coefficient of Variation**  
\nCoefficient of variation (CV) is the most important  
\native measure of dispersion and is defined by the formula

# **Coefficient of Variation**

Coefficient of variation (CV) is the most important relative measure of dispersion and is defined by the formula. The k groups combined is given<br>  $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{2} + \dots + n_k + \frac{2}{k}$ <br>  $\therefore + n_k d_k^2$ <br> **of Variation**<br>
V) is the most important<br>
d is defined by the formula.<br>
Standard deviation<br>
Standard deviation<br>  $\therefore$  100<br>  $\frac{1}{x} \times 100$ <br>
D

Coefficient of variation (CV) is the most important  
lative measure of dispersion and is defined by the formula.  
Coefficient of Variation = 
$$
\frac{\text{Standard deviation}}{\text{Arithmetic mean}} \times 100
$$

$$
CV = \frac{SD}{AM} \times 100 = \frac{1}{\overline{x}} \times 100
$$

the k groups combined is given<br>  $+\frac{2}{2} + ... + n_k + \frac{2}{k}$ <br>  $+n_k d_k^2$ <br> **Of Variation**<br>
() is the most important<br>
is defined by the formula.<br>
tandard deviation  $\times$  100<br>
Arithmetic mean  $\times$  100<br>
() to the mean, expressed<br>
arl Coefficient of Variation =  $\frac{1}{\text{Arithmetic mean}} \times 100$ <br>
CV =  $\frac{SD}{AM} \times 100 = \frac{1}{\overline{X}} \times 100$ <br>
CV is thus the ratio of the SD to the mean, expressed<br>
a percentage. According to Karl Pearson, Coefficient  $CV = \frac{SD}{AM} \times 100 = \frac{1}{X} \times 100$ <br>CV is thus the ratio of the SD to the mean, expressed<br>as a percentage. According to Karl Pearson, Coefficient<br>of variation is the percentage variation in the mean.  $CV = \frac{SD}{AM} \times 100 = \frac{1}{\overline{X}} \times 100$ <br>CV is thus the ratio of the SD to the mean, expressed<br>as a percentage. According to Karl Pearson, Coefficient<br>of variation is the percentage variation in the mean. CV is thus the ratio of the SD to the mean, expressed<br>a percentage. According to Karl Pearson, Coefficient<br>variation is the percentage variation in the mean.<br>Coefficient of Variation is the widely used and most<br>pular relat

as a percentage. According to Karl Pearson, Coefficient<br>of variation is the percentage variation in the mean.<br>Coefficient of Variation is the widely used and most<br>popular relative measure. The group which has less C.V<br>is s of variation is the percentage variation in the mean.<br>Coefficient of Variation is the widely used and most<br>popular relative measure. The group which has less C.V<br>is said to be more consistent or more uniform or more<br>stable Coefficient of Variation is the widely used and most<br>popular relative measure. The group which has less C.V<br>is said to be more consistent or more uniform or more<br>stable. More coefficient of variation indicates greater<br>vari Coefficient of Variation is the widely used and most<br>popular relative measure. The group which has less C.V<br>is said to be more consistent or more uniform or more<br>stable. More coefficient of variation indicates greater<br>vari Coerricie<br>popular rela<br>is said to be<br>stable. More<br>variability.<br>stability. **Example 3**<br>**Example 9**<br>**Example 9**<br>**Calculate SD** of Fiability or less consistency or less uniformity or less<br>bility.<br>**ample 9**<br>Calculate SD of 23, 25, 28, 31, 38,<br>46





231 436  
\n
$$
\overline{x}
$$
 = 231/7=33  
\nSD =  $\sqrt{\frac{\sum (x_i - \overline{x})^2}{n}}$  = 7.89  
\nExample 10  
\nCalculate SD of 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9,

=  $\sqrt{\frac{\sum (x_i - \overline{x})^2}{n}}$  = 7.89<br>
ample 10<br>
Calculate SD of 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10<br>
lution  $\mathbf{SD} = \sqrt{\frac{n}{n}}$ <br> **Example 10**<br>
Calculate SD of<br> **Solution**<br>  $\frac{x}{1}$  2 3 **ample 10**<br>
Calculate SD of 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10<br> **ution**<br>
x 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10  $x^2$  1 alculate SD of 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10<br>
ation<br>
2 1 4 9 16 25 36 49 64 81 100<br>  $\sum x = 55$ **n**<br>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10<br>
4 9 16 25 36 49 64 81 100<br>  $\sum x = 55$ <br>  $\sum x^2 = 385$  $\Sigma x^2 = 385$ 4 9 16 25 36 49 64 81<br>  $x = 55$ <br>  $x^2 = 385$ 13 169<br>
436<br>  $\frac{1}{\overline{X}y^2} = 7.89$ <br>
S<br>
S<br>
S<br>
S<br>  $\frac{3}{4}$  5 6 7 8 9 10<br>
9 16 25 36 49 64 81 100<br>
55<br>
385<br>
S<br>  $\frac{-5}{10}$  = 5.5<br>  $\frac{\sum x^2}{n} - \overline{x}^2 = \sqrt{\frac{385}{10} - 5.5^2}$ 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10<br>
5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10<br>
5. 36. 49. 64. 81. 100<br>
5. 5.<br>
5.<br>
5.<br>  $\frac{1}{2} = \sqrt{\frac{385}{10} - 5.5^2}$ <br>  $\frac{1}{2} = \sqrt{8.25} = 2.87$  $\frac{(-\overline{x})^2}{n}$  = 7.89<br>
10<br>
10<br>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10<br>
2 9 16 25 36 49 64 81 100<br>
2 3 5 5  $\frac{1}{2}$ <br>
5 **SD** =  $\sqrt{\frac{2(x - x)}{n}}$  = 7.89<br> **SD** =  $\sqrt{\frac{2(x - x)}{2}}$  50.25 36.49.64 81 100<br>  $\frac{x}{2} = \frac{5}{2}$ <br>  $\frac{5}{2}x^2 = 385$ <br>  $\frac{2x}{n} = \frac{55}{10} = 5.5$ <br>  $\frac{x^2}{n} = \frac{55}{10} = 5.5$ <br>  $\frac{x}{38.5 - 30.25} = \sqrt{8.25} = 2.87$ <br> **SD** =  $\sqrt{\frac{2x^2}{$ 

$$
\bar{x} = \frac{\sum x}{n} = \frac{55}{10} = 5.5
$$

$$
\bar{x} = \frac{\sum x}{n} = \frac{55}{10} = 5.5
$$
  
SD = 
$$
t = \sqrt{\frac{\sum x^{2}}{n} - \bar{x}^{2}} = \sqrt{\frac{385}{10} - 5.5^{2}}
$$
  
= 
$$
\sqrt{38.5 - 30.25} = \sqrt{8.25} = 2.87
$$

Calculate SD of 42, 48, 50, 62, 65 **Calculate SD on**<br>**Solution** 



65 15 225  
\nTotal 17 437  
\n
$$
SD = \sqrt{\frac{\sum d^2}{n} - (\frac{\sum d}{n})^2} = 8.70
$$
\nExample 12  
\nCalculate SD of the following of



$$
t = \sqrt{\frac{\sum fx^2}{N} - \left(\frac{\sum fx}{N}\right)^2} = \sqrt{\frac{3828}{20} - \left(\frac{247}{20}\right)^2}
$$
  
=  $\sqrt{191.4 - (13.7)^2} = \sqrt{191.40 - 187.69} = \sqrt{3.71} = 1.92$   
**Example 13**  
Calculate SD of the following data

$$
\sqrt{N} \quad (\sqrt{N}) \quad \sqrt{20} \quad (20)
$$
\n
$$
= \sqrt{191.4 - (13.7)^2} = \sqrt{191.40 - 187.69} = \sqrt{3.71} = 1.92
$$
\n**Example 13**

\n**Calculate SD of the following data**

\n**Classes:** 0-4 4-8 8-12 12-16 16-20





$$
t = \sqrt{\frac{\sum fx^2}{N} - \left(\frac{\sum fx}{N}\right)^2} = \sqrt{\frac{4608}{40} - \left(\frac{400}{40}\right)^2}
$$

$$
= \sqrt{115.2 - 100} = \sqrt{15.2} = 3.89
$$
  
Example 14  
Calculate mean, SD and CV for the fol

=  $\sqrt{115.2 - 100} = \sqrt{15.2} = 3.89$ <br> **ample 14**<br>
Calculate mean, SD and CV for the following data<br>
Classes: 0-6 6-12 12-18 18-24 24-30 ample 14<br>Calculate mean, SD and CV for the following data<br>Classes:  $0.6$   $6.12$   $12.18$   $18.24$   $24.30$ <br>f:  $5$   $12$   $30$   $10$   $3$ **ample 14**<br>Calculate mean, SD and CV for the following dat<br>Classes: 0-6 6-12 12-18 18-24 24-30<br>f: 5 12 30 10 3 Classes :<br>f :<br>**Solution** 



# **M** 14.4<br>**Merits and Demerits**<br>**Merits**

- $14.4$   $14.4$   $14.5$   $1.4$ <br> **Merits and Demerits**<br>
1. It is rigidly defined and its value is always definite rits and Demerits<br>"its<br>It is rigidly defined and its value is always definite<br>and based on all the observations and the actual<br>signs of deviations are used. rits and Demerits<br>
its<br>
It is rigidly defined and its value<br>
and based on all the observatio<br>
signs of deviations are used.<br>
As it is based on arithmetic mo **Nerits**<br>
1. It is rigidly defined and its value is always definite<br>
and based on all the observations and the actual<br>
signs of deviations are used.<br>
2. As it is based on arithmetic mean, it has all the<br>
merits of arithmet It is rigidly defined and its val<br>and based on all the observa<br>signs of deviations are used.<br>As it is based on arithmetic<br>merits of arithmetic mean.<br>It is the most important and 3 and based on all the observations and the actual<br>signs of deviations are used.<br>2. As it is based on arithmetic mean, it has all the<br>merits of arithmetic mean.<br>3. It is the most important and widely used measure<br>of disper
- igns of deviations are used.<br>
2. As it is based on arithmetic mean, it has all the<br>
merits of arithmetic mean.<br>
3. It is the most important and widely used measure<br>
of dispersion.
- 

- 7. If the mean deviation of a distribution is 20.20, the standard deviation of the distribution is: If the mean deviation of a distribution is 20.2<br>standard deviation of the distribution is:<br>a. 15.15 b. 25.25 If the mean deviation of a distribution<br>standard deviation of the distribution<br>a. 15.15 b. 25.25<br>c. 30.30 d. none of the standard deviation of the distribution is:<br>
a. 15.15 b. 25.25<br>
c. 30.30 d. none of the above<br>
8. The mean of a series is 10 and its coefficient of
	-
	-
- 9. If the mean deviation of a distribution is 20.20, the<br>standard deviation of the distribution is:<br>a. 15.15 b. 25.25<br>c. 30.30 d. none of the above<br>8. The mean of a series is 10 and its coefficient of<br>variation is 40 perce standard deviation of the distribution is:<br>
a. 15.15 b. 25.25<br>
c. 30.30 d. none of the above<br>
The mean of a series is 10 and its coefficient of<br>
variation is 40 percent, the variance of the series<br>
is: is: c. 30.30 d. none of the above<br>The mean of a series is 10 and its coefficient of<br>variation is 40 percent, the variance of the series<br>is:<br>a. 4 b. 8 c. 12 d. none of the above<br>Which measure of dispersion can be calculated in 9. The mean of a series is 10 and its coefficient of<br>variation is 40 percent, the variance of the series<br>is:<br>a. 4 b. 8 c. 12 d. none of the above<br>9. Which measure of dispersion can be calculated in<br>case of open end interva

- variation is 40 percent, the varia<br>is:<br>a. 4 b. 8 c. 12 d. non<br>Which measure of dispersion can<br>case of open end intervals?<br>a. range b. stai is:<br>
a. 4 b. 8 c. 12 d. none of the above<br>
Which measure of dispersion can be calculated in<br>
case of open end intervals?<br>
a. range b. standard deviation<br>
c. coefficient of variation d. quartile deviation a. 4 b. 8 c. 12 d. none of the above<br>9. Which measure of dispersion can be calculated in<br>case of open end intervals?<br>a. range b. standard deviation<br>c. coefficient of variation d. quartile deviation<br>**Very Short Answer Quest** 
	-
	-

- 
- 2. Case of open end intervals?<br>
2. coefficient of variation d. quartile deviancy<br>
2. Coefficient of variation d. quartile deviancy<br>
2. What are the uses of standard deviation?<br>
2. What are the uses of standard deviation?<br> 21. Tange b. standard deviation<br>
21. coefficient of variation<br>
21. Questions<br>
21. What are the uses of standard deviation?<br>
21. Why measures of dispersion are called averages of<br>
32. Second order? c. coefficient of variation d. quartile<br> **Very Short Answer Questions**<br>
10. What are the uses of standard deviation?<br>
11. Why measures of dispersion are called av<br>
second order?
- **Very Short Answer Questions**<br>
10. What are the uses of standard deviation?<br>
11. Why measures of dispersion are called averages of<br>
second order?<br>
12. For the numbers 3 and 5 show that SD =  $(1/2)$ <br>
Range. What are<br>Why meas<br>second or<br>For the n<br>Range.<br>Define CV 11. Why measures of dispersion are<br>second order?<br>12. For the numbers 3 and 5 sho<br>Range.<br>13. Define CV and state its use.<br>14. State the desirable propertie second order?<br>
12. For the numbers 3 and 5 show that SD = (1/2)<br>
Range.<br>
13. Define CV and state its use.<br>
14. State the desirable properties of a measure of<br>
dispersion For the number<br>Range.<br>Define CV and s<br>State the desira<br>dispersion<br>Define Quartile c
- 
- Range.<br>
13. Define CV and state its use.<br>
14. State the desirable propert<br>
dispersion<br>
15. Define Quartile deviation.<br>
16. Give the empirical relation co
- 
- 13. Define CV and state its use.<br>
14. State the desirable properties of a measure of<br>
dispersion<br>
15. Define Quartile deviation.<br>
16. Give the empirical relation connecting QD, MD and<br>
SD. 14. State the desirable prope<br>dispersion<br>15. Define Quartile deviation.<br>16. Give the empirical relation of<br>SD.<br>**Short Essay questions**<br>17. Define coefficient of va

- 15. Define Quartile deviation.<br>16. Give the empirical relation connecting QD, MD and<br>SD.<br>**Short Essay questions**<br>17. Define coefficient of variation. What is its<br>relevance in economic studies? Give the empirical relation connecting<br>SD.<br>**rt Essay questions**<br>Define coefficient of variation.<br>relevance in economic studies?
- **Short Essay questions**<br>
17. Define coefficient of variation. What is its<br>
relevance in economic studies?<br>
18. What is a relative measure of dispersion?<br>
Distinguish between absolute and relative measure Define coefficient of variation. What is its<br>relevance in economic studies?<br>What is a relative measure of dispersion?<br>Distinguish between absolute and relative measure<br>of dispersion. relevance in ecor<br>What is a rela<br>Distinguish betwe<br>of dispersion.

19. Calculate coefficient of variation for the following<br>distribution. Calculate coeffi<br>distribution.<br>x : 0 1 Calculate coefficient of variation for the follow<br>distribution.<br>x : 0 1 2 3 4 5 6<br>f : 1 4 13 21 16 7 3





- 20. For the following data compute standard deviation,<br>  $x$  : 10 20 30 40 50 60<br>  $f$  : 3 5 7 20 8 7<br>
21. Calculate median and quartile deviation for the<br>
following data For the following da<br>  $x$  : 10 20 30<br>  $f$  : 3 5<br>
Calculate median a<br>
following data<br>  $x$  : 60 62 6 x : 10 20 30 40 50 60<br>
f : 3 5 7 20 8 7<br>
Calculate median and quartile deviation<br>
following data<br>
x : 60 62 64 66 68 70 72<br>
f : 12 16 18 20 15 13 9 f : 3 5 7 20 8 7<br>Calculate median and quartile deviation<br>following data<br>x : 60 62 64 66 68 70 72<br>f : 12 16 18 20 15 13 9
	-
	-
- 22. Calculate SD for the following data<br>
22. Calculate SD for the following data<br>
22. Calculate SD for the following data<br>
23. Calculate SD for the following data<br>
23. Class interval: 0-5 5-10 10-15 15-20 20x : 60 62 64 66 68 70 72<br>
f : 12 16 18 20 15 13 9<br>
Calculate SD for the following data<br>
Class interval: 0-5 5-10 10-15 15-20 20-25 25-30<br>
Frequency : 4 8 14 6 3 I r : 12 16 18 20 15 13 9<br>
Calculate SD for the following data<br>
Class interval: 0-5 5-10 10-15 15-20 20-25 25-30<br>
Frequency : 4 8 14 6 3 I<br> **ig Essay Questions** 22. Calculate SD for the follow<br>Class interval: 0-5 5-10 10-<br>Frequency : 4 8 1.<br>**Long Essay Questions**<br>23. Compute coefficient of va 22. Calculate SD for the following data<br>
Class interval: 0-5 5-10 10-15 15-20 20-25 25-30<br>
Frequency : 4 8 14 6 3 I<br> **Long Essay Questions**<br>
23. Compute coefficient of variation from the data<br>
given below. Class interval:  $0-5$  5-10 10-15 15-20 20-25 25-30<br>Frequency : 4 8 14 6 3 I<br>Long Essay Questions<br>23. Compute coefficient of variation from the data<br>given below.<br>Marks Less than: 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100

Frequency : 4 8 14 6 3 I<br> **ig Essay Questions**<br>
Compute coefficient of variation from the data<br>
given below.<br>
Marks Less than: 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100<br>
No. of students: 5 13 25 48 65 80 92 97 99 100 **Ig Essay Questions**<br>Compute coefficient of variation from the data<br>given below.<br>Marks Less than: 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100<br>No. of students: 5 13 25 48 65 80 92 97 99 100<br>Calculate the standard deviation of the follow

23. Compute coefficient of variation from the data<br>given below.<br>Marks Less than: 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100<br>No. of students: 5 13 25 48 65 80 92 97 99 100<br>24. Calculate the standard deviation of the following<br>series. M given below.<br>
Marks Less than: 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100<br>
No. of students: 5 13 25 48 65 80 92 97 99 100<br>
Calculate the standard deviation of the following<br>
series. More than : 0 10 20 30 40 50<br>
60 70 Marks Less than: 1<br>No. of students :<br>Calculate the sta<br>series. More than<br>60 70<br>Frequency : 100 No. of students: 5 13 25 48 65 80 92 97 99 100<br>Calculate the standard deviation of the following<br>series. More than : 0 10 20 30 40 50<br>60 70<br>Frequency : 100 90 75 50 25 15 5 0<br>The mean and the standard deviation of a group 24. Calculate the standard deviation of the following<br>series. More than :  $0$  10 20 30 40 50<br>60 70<br>Frequency : 100 90 75 50 25 15 5 0<br>25. The mean and the standard deviation of a group of<br>50 observations were calculated t

series. More than : 0 10 20 30 40 50<br>60 70<br>Frequency : 100 90 75 50 25 15 5 0<br>The mean and the standard deviation of a group of<br>50 observations were calculated to be 70 and 10<br>respectively, It was later discovered that an frequency: 100 90 75 50 25 15 5 0<br>The mean and the standard deviation of a group of<br>50 observations were calculated to be 70 and 10<br>respectively, It was later discovered that an<br>observation 17 was wrongly-recorded as 70. F Frequency: 100 90 75 50 25 15 5 0<br>The mean and the standard deviation of a group of<br>50 observations were calculated to be 70 and 10<br>respectively, It was later discovered that an<br>observation 17 was wrongly-recorded as 70. F The mean and the standard deviation of a group of<br>50 observations were calculated to be 70 and 10<br>respectively, It was later discovered that an<br>observation 17 was wrongly-recorded as 70. Find<br>the mean and the standard devi The mean and the standard deviation of a group of<br>50 observations were calculated to be 70 and 10<br>respectively, It was later discovered that an<br>observation 17 was wrongly-recorded as 70. Find<br>the mean and the standard devi o b b s e raturated to be 70 and<br>respectively, It was later discovered that<br>observation 17 was wrongly-recorded as 70. Fir<br>the mean and the standard deviation (i) if the<br>incorrect observation is omitted (ii) if the incorre

11. Write short notes on

a. Method of least squares

b. Curve fitting

c. Normal equations.

# **Long essay questions**

12. Fit a straight line by the method of least squares to the following data.



13. Fit a straight line  $y = a + bx$  to the following data.



14. Fit a straight line  $y = ax + b$  to the following data.



15. Fit a parabola  $y = a + bx + x^2$  to the following data: x: 0 1 2 3 4



16. Fit a curve of the form  $y = ax + bx^2$  for the data given below.



# **CORRELATIONAND REGRESSION UNIT - III**

In the earlier chapters we have discussed the characteristics and shapes of distributions of a single variable, eg, mean, S.D. and skewness of the distributions of variables such as income, height, weight, etc. We shall now study two (or more) variables simultaneously and try to find the quantitative relationship between them. For example, the relationship between two variables like (1) income and expenditure (2) height and weight, (3) rainfall and yield of crops, (4) price and demand, etc. will be examined here. The methods of expressing the relationship between two variables are due mainly to Francis Galton and Karl Pearson.

# **Correlation**

Correlation is a statistical measure for finding out degree (or strength) of association between two (or more) variables. By 'association' we mean the tendency of the variables to move together. Two variables X and Y are so related that movements (or variations) in one, say X, tend to be accompanied by the corresponding movements (or variations) in the other Y, then X and Y are said to be correlated. The movements may be in the same direction (i.e. either both X, Y increase or both of them decrease) or in the opposite directions (ie., one, say X, increases and the other Y decreases). Correlation is said to be positive or negative according as these movements are in the same or in the opposite directions. If Y is unaffected by any change in X, then X and Y are said to be uncorrelated.

In the words L.R. Conner:

*If two or more quantities vary in sympathy so that movements in the one tend to be accompanied by corresponding movements in the other, then they are said to be correlated."*

Correlation may be linear or non-linear. If the amount of variation in X bears a constant ratio to the corresponding amount of variation in Y, then correlation between X and Y is said to be linear. Otherwise it is non-linear. Correlation coefficient (r) measures the degree of linear relationship, (i.e.,

linear correlation) between two variables.

# **Determination of Correlation**

Correlation between two variables may be determined by any one of the following methods:

- 1. Scatter Diagram
- 2. Co-variance Method or Karl Pearson's Method
- 3. Rank Method

# **Scatter Diagram**

The existence of correlation can be shown graphically by means of a *scatter diagram.* Statistical data relating to simultaneous movements (or variations) of two variables can be graphically represented-by points. One of the two variables, say X, is shown along the horizontal axis OX and the other variable Y along the vertical axis OY. All the pairs of values of X and Y are now shown by points (or dots) on the graph paper. This diagrammatic representation of bivariate data is known as scatter diagram.

The scatter diagram of these points and also the direction of the scatter reveals the nature and strength of correlation between the two variables. The following are some scatter diagrams showing different types of correlation between two variables.

In Fig. 1 and 3, the movements (or variations) of the two variables are in the same direction and the scatter diagram shows a linear path. In this case, correlation is positive or direct.

In Fig. 2 and 4, the movements of the two variables are in opposite directions and the scatter shows a linear path. In this case correlation is negative or indirect.



In Fig. 5 and 6 points (or dots) instead of showing any linear path lie around a curve or form a swarm. In this case correlation is very small and we can take  $r = 0$ .

In Fig. 1 and 2, all the points lie on a straight line. In these cases correlation is perfect and  $r = +1$  or  $-1$  according as the correlation is positive or negative.

# **Karl Pearson's Correlation Coefficient**

We have remarked in the earlier section that a scatter diagram gives us only a rough idea of how the two variables, say x and y, are related. We cannot draw defensible conclusions by merely examining data from the scatter diagram. In other words, we cannot simply look at a scatter diagram

variables. On the other hand, neither can we conclude that the correlation at all. We need a quantity (represented by a number), which is a measure of the extent to which x and y are related. The quantity that is used for this purpose is known as the Co-efficient of Correlation, usually denoted by  $r_{xy}$  or r. The co-efficient of correlation  $r_{xy}$  measures the degree (or extent) of relationship between the two variables x and y and is given by the following formula: other hand, neither can we conclude that the correlation<br>antity (represented by a number), which is a measure<br>h x and y are related. The quantity that is used for this<br>s the Co-efficient of Correlation, usually denoted by nd, neither can we conclude that the correlation<br>presented by a number), which is a measure<br>efficient of Correlation, usually denoted by<br>efficient of Correlation, sustaining denoted by<br>orrelation r<sub>x</sub> measures the degree (*n*, neither can we conclude that the correlation<br>
are related. The quantity which is a measure<br>
are related. The quantity that is used for this<br>
efficient of Correlation, usually denoted by<br>
relation  $r_x$ , measures the ther can we conclude that the correlation<br>
fied by a number), which is a measure<br>
elated. The quantity that is used for this<br>
ent of Correlation, usually denoted by<br>
ent of Correlation, usually denoted by<br>
variables x and **x**<br>
can we conclude that the correlation<br>
by a number), which is a measure<br>
of Correlation, usually denoted by<br>  $r_x$  measures the degree (or extent)<br>
ables x and y and is given by the<br>  $\overline{X}$ )( $Y_i - \overline{Y}$ )<br>  $\frac{1}{x + y}$ Figure 2.1 The conclude that the correlation<br>
Figure 1.1 The quantity, which is a measure<br>
Figure 1.2 The quantity that is used for this<br>
cient of Correlation, usually denoted by<br>  $\mathbf{x}_1 = \mathbf{x}_2 + \mathbf{x}_3 + \mathbf{x}_4$ <br>  $\mathbf{x}_2 = \math$ variables. On the other hand, neither can we conclude that the correlation<br>of the extent to which x and y are related. The quantity that is used for this<br>purpose is known as the Co-efficient of Correlation, usually denote and, neither can we conclude that the correlation<br>
presented by a number), which is a measure<br>
or are related. The quantity that is used for this<br>
defined by<br>
efficient of Correlation, usually denoted by<br>
efficient of Cor seneta by a number), which is a measure<br>  $(\mathbf{X}_i - \overline{\mathbf{X}})(\mathbf{Y}_i - \overline{\mathbf{Y}})$ <br>  $(\mathbf{X}_i - \overline{\mathbf{X}})(\$ theream we conclude that the correlation<br>
find by a number), which is a measure<br>
ent of Correlation, usually denoted by<br>
ent of Correlation, usually denoted by<br>
ent of Correlation sets find by<br>
ent of Correlation sets of **nd**, neither can we conclude that the correlation<br> **n** and *N* is a measure of the coefficient of correlation (9) between the square of the coefficient of Correlation (9) between the square of example the square of examp

$$
r_{xy} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \overline{X})(Y_i - \overline{Y})}{n \uparrow_x \uparrow_y}
$$
 .... (1)

where  $X_t$  and  $Y_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ ) are the two sets of values of x and y and standard deviations so that

The other hand, neither can we conclude that the correlation  
\na quantity (represented by a number), which is a measure  
\nwhich is and are related. The quantity that is used for this  
\nwhich is a measure  
\nwhich is a measure  
\nwhich is a measure  
\nand Y is defined by  
\nefficient of correlation 
$$
r_{xy}
$$
 measures the degree (or extent)  
\nbetween the two variables x and y and is given by the  
\nfunction of the coordinates x and y and is given by the  
\n
$$
r_{xy} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \overline{X})(Y_i - \overline{Y})}{n \overline{1}_x \overline{1}_y}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \overline{X})(Y_i - \overline{Y})}{n \overline{1}_x \overline{1}_y}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \overline{X})(Y_i - \overline{Y})}{n \overline{1}_x \overline{1}_y}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \overline{X})(Y_i - \overline{Y})}{n \overline{1}_x \overline{1}_y}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \overline{X})^2}{n \overline{1}_x \overline{1}_y}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \overline{X})^2}{n \overline{1}_x \overline{1}_y}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \overline{X})^2}{n \overline{1}_x \overline{1}_y}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \overline{X})^2}{n \overline{1}_x \overline{1}_y}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \overline{X})^2}{n \overline{1}_x \overline{1}_y}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \overline{X})^2}{n \overline{1}_x \overline{1}_y}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \overline{X})^2}{n \overline{1}_x \overline{1}_y}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \overline{X})^2}{n \overline{1}_x \overline{1}_y}
$$
\nand is called *Karl Pearson's Correlation Coefficient* after

The above definition of the correlation co-efficient was given by Karl Pearson in 1890 and is called *Karl Pearson's Correlation Co-efficient* after his name.

# **Definition**

If  $(X_1, Y_1)$ ,  $(X_2, Y_2)$  ....  $(X_n, Y_n)$  be n pairs of observations on two variables  $X$  and  $Y$ , then the covariance of  $X$  and  $Y$ , written as cov  $(X, Y)$  is defined by

$$
Cov(X,Y) = \frac{1}{n} \Sigma (X_i - \overline{X})(Y_i - \overline{Y})
$$

Covariance indicates the joint variations between the two variables.

So the correlation coefficient or the coefficient of correlation (r) between X and Y is defined by

$$
= \frac{\text{Cov}(X, Y)}{\uparrow_x \uparrow_y}
$$

befficient or the coefficient of correlation (r) between<br>  $r = \frac{Cov (X, Y)}{\frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{y}}$ <br>
<br>
<br> **Example 12 and 2** respectively.<br>
<br>
Correlation Coefficient r may be written in different ent or the coefficient of correlation (r) between<br> **Cov (X, Y)**<br>  $\uparrow x \uparrow y$ <br>
lard deviations of X and Y respectively.<br>
lation Coefficient r may be written in different<br>  $X = \overline{X}$  and  $V = Y = \overline{Y}$ So the correlation coefficient or the coefficient of correlation (r) between<br>
nd Y is defined by<br>  $r = \frac{Cov(X, Y)}{\uparrow_X \uparrow_Y}$ <br>
where  $\uparrow_X$ ,  $\uparrow_Y$  are standard deviations of X and Y respectively.<br>
The formula for the Correlat The formula for the Correlation Coefficient r may be written in different forms. befficient or the coefficient of correlation (r) between<br>  $\mathbf{r} = \frac{\mathbf{Cov}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})}{\uparrow \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}}$ <br>
standard deviations of X and Y respectively.<br>
Correlation Coefficient r may be written in different<br>  $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{X}$ 

i. If 
$$
x_i = X - \overline{X}
$$
 and  $y_i = Y - \overline{Y}$ 

 $\sum$   $\mathbf{X}_i$   $\mathbf{y}_i$  $n \uparrow_{\mathbf{x}} \uparrow_{\mathbf{v}}$  (1) *i* **x<sup>i</sup>** *y*

(1)

So the correlation coefficient or the coefficient of correlation (r) between  
\nnd Y is defined by  
\n
$$
r = \frac{Cov(X, Y)}{\uparrow_X \uparrow_Y}
$$
\nwhere  $\uparrow_X$ ,  $\uparrow_Y$  are standard deviations of X and Y respectively.  
\nThe formula for the Correlation Coefficient r may be written in different  
\nms.  
\ni. If  
\n
$$
x_i = X - \overline{X} \text{ and } y_i = Y - \overline{Y}
$$
\nthen  
\n
$$
r = \frac{\sum x_i y_i}{n \uparrow_X \uparrow_Y}
$$
\n(i)  
\n
$$
\therefore \text{ from (1), } r = \frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum x_i y_i}{\sqrt{\frac{\sum x_i^2}{n}} \times \sqrt{\frac{\sum y_i^2}{n}}} = \frac{\sum x_i y_i}{\sqrt{\sum x_i^2} \times \sqrt{\sum y_i^2}}
$$
\nii. We have  
\n
$$
Cov(X, Y) = \frac{1}{n} \sum (X_i - \overline{X})(Y_i - \overline{Y})
$$

ii. We have

relation coefficient or the coefficient of correlation (r) between  
\ndefined by

\n
$$
r = \frac{Cov(X, Y)}{T_X + Y_X}
$$
\n
$$
x + y = \text{standard deviations of } X \text{ and } Y \text{ respectively.}
$$
\nin the correlation Coefficient range, we written in different

\n
$$
x_i = X - \overline{X} \text{ and } y_i = Y - \overline{Y}
$$
\n
$$
r = \frac{\sum x_i y_i}{n \sum x_i^2 y_i} \qquad (1)
$$
\n
$$
r = \frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum x_i y_i}{\sqrt{\frac{\sum x_i^2}{n} \sum \frac{y_i^2}{n}}} = \frac{\sum x_i y_i}{\sqrt{\sum x_i^2} \times \sqrt{\sum y_i^2}}
$$
\nwe

\n
$$
Cov(X, Y) = \frac{1}{n} \sum (X_i - \overline{X})(Y_i - \overline{Y})
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{n} \sum (X_i Y_i - X_i \overline{Y} - \overline{X} Y_i + \overline{X} \overline{Y})
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{\sum X_i Y_i}{n} - \overline{Y} \frac{\sum X_i}{n} - \overline{X} \frac{\sum Y_i}{n} + \frac{n \overline{X} \overline{Y}}{n}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{\sum X_i Y_i}{n} - \overline{X} \overline{Y} - \overline{X} \overline{Y} + \overline{X} \overline{Y}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{\sum X_i Y_i}{n} - \overline{X} \overline{Y} - \overline{X} \overline{Y} + \overline{X} \overline{Y}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{\sum X_i Y_i}{n} - \overline{X} \overline{Y} - \overline{X} \overline{Y} + \overline{X} \overline{Y}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{\sum X_i Y_i}{n} - \overline{X} \overline{Y} - \overline{X} \overline{Y} + \overline{X} \overline{Y}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{\sum X_i Y_i}{n} - \overline{X} \overline{Y} - \overline{X} \overline{Y} + \overline{X} \overline{Y}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{\sum X_i Y_i}{n} - \overline{X} \overline{Y} -
$$

and conclude that since more than half of the points appear to be nearly in a straight line, there is a positive or negative correlation between the

Now, r <sup>=</sup> ov ( , ) *x y C X Y*  <sup>=</sup> 2 2 2 2 *i i i i i i i i X Y X Y n n n X X Y Y n n n n* ...(2) 2 2 2 2 ( )( ) ( ) ( ) *i i i i i i i i n X Y X Y n X X n Y Y* ( ) ( ) *x x y y* 

iii. By multiplying each term of (2) by  $n^2$ , we have

$$
\mathbf{r} = \frac{n \Sigma X_i Y_i - (\Sigma X_i)(\Sigma Y_i)}{\sqrt{n \Sigma X_i^2 - (\Sigma X_i)^2} \times \sqrt{n \Sigma Y_i^2 - (\Sigma Y_i)^2}}
$$

# **Theorem**

The correlation coefficient is independent (not affected by) of the change of origin and scale of measurement.

# **Proof**

Let  $(x_1, y_1)$ ,  $(x_2, y_2)$  ....  $(x_n, y_n)$  be a set of n pairs of observations.

$$
= \frac{n}{\sqrt{\frac{\sum X_i^2}{n} - (\frac{\sum X_i}{n})^2} \times \sqrt{\frac{\sum Y_i^2}{n} - (\frac{\sum Y_i}{n})^2}}
$$
...(2)  
\n(iii. By multiplying each term of (2) by n<sup>2</sup>, we have  
\n
$$
\mathbf{r} = \frac{n \sum X_i Y_i - (\sum X_i)(\sum Y_i)}{\sqrt{n \sum X_i^2 - (\sum X_i)^2} \times \sqrt{n \sum Y_i^2 - (\sum Y_i)^2}}
$$
  
\n**orem**  
\nThe correlation coefficient is independent (not affected by) of the change  
\norigin and scale of measurement.  
\n**Let** (x<sub>1</sub>, y<sub>1</sub>), (x<sub>2</sub>, y<sub>2</sub>) .... (x<sub>n</sub>, y<sub>n</sub>) be a set of n pairs of observations.  
\nLet us transform x<sub>i</sub> to u<sub>i</sub> and y<sub>i</sub> to v<sub>i</sub> by the rules,  
\n
$$
u_i = \frac{x_i - x_0}{c_1}
$$
 and  $v_i = \frac{y_i - y_0}{c_2}$ ...(1)  
\n
$$
u_i = \frac{x_i - x_0}{c_1}
$$
 and  $v_i = \frac{y_i - y_0}{c_2}$ ...(2)  
\nwhere x<sub>0</sub>, y<sub>0</sub>, c<sub>1</sub>, c<sub>2</sub> are arbitrary constants.  
\nFrom (2), we have

Let us transform  $x_i$  to  $u_i$  and  $y_i$  to  $v_i$  by the rules,

$$
u_i = \frac{x_i - x_0}{c_1} \text{ and } v_i = \frac{y_i - y_0}{c_2} \qquad \dots (2)
$$

where  $x_0$ ,  $y_0$ ,  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$  are arbitrary constants.

From (2), we have

- $x_i = c_1 u_i + x_0$  and  $y_i = c_2 v_i + y_0$ <br>  $\overline{x} = x_0 + c_1 \overline{u}$  and  $\overline{y} = y_0 + c_2 \overline{v}$ <br>
and  $\overline{v}$  are the means  $u_i^s$  and  $v_i^s$  respectively.<br>  $c_1 (u_i \overline{u})$  and  $y_i \overline{y} = c_2 (v_i \overline{v})$  $x_i = c_1 u_i + x_0$  and  $y_i = c_2 v_i + y_0$ <br>  $\overline{x} = x_0 + c_1 \overline{u}$  and  $\overline{y} = y_0 + c_2 \overline{v}$ <br>
and  $\overline{v}$  are the means  $u_i^s$  and  $v_i^s$  respectively.<br>  $c_1 (u_i - \overline{u})$  and  $y_i - \overline{y} = c_2 (v_i - \overline{v})$ <br>
ong these values in (1), we g
- 

where  $\overline{u}$  and  $\overline{v}$  are the means  $u_i^s$  and  $v_i^s$  respectively.

$$
x_i - \overline{x} = c_1 (u_i - \overline{u})
$$
 and  $y_i - \overline{y} = c_2 (v_i - \overline{v})$ 

Substituting these values in (1), we get

$$
x_i = c_1 u_i + x_0 \text{ and } y_i = c_2 v_i + y_0
$$
  
\n
$$
\overline{x} = x_0 + c_1 \overline{u} \text{ and } \overline{y} = y_0 + c_2 \overline{v}
$$
  
\nwhere  $\overline{u}$  and  $\overline{v}$  are the means  $u_i^s$  and  $v_i^s$  respectively.  
\n
$$
x_i - \overline{x} = c_1 (u_i - \overline{u}) \text{ and } y_i - \overline{y} = c_2 (v_i - \overline{v})
$$
  
\nSubstituting these values in (1), we get  
\n
$$
r_{xy} = \frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_1 (u_i - \overline{u}) c_2 (v_i - \overline{v})}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_1^2 (u_i - \overline{u})^2} \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_2^2 (v_i - \overline{v})^2}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (u_i - \overline{u}) (v_i - \overline{v})}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (u_i - \overline{u})^2} \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (v_i - \overline{v})^2}}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (u_i - \overline{u}) (v_i - \overline{v})}{n \uparrow_u \uparrow_v}
$$
\nHere, we observe that if we change the origin and choose a new scale, correlation co-efficient remains unchanged. Hence the proof.  
\nHere,  $r_{uv}$  can be further simplified as

$$
r_{xy} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i^2 (u_i - \overline{u})^2} \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_2^2 (v_i - \overline{v})^2}
$$
  
\n
$$
= \frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (u_i - \overline{u})(v_i - \overline{v})}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (u_i - \overline{u})^2} \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (v_i - \overline{v})^2}}
$$
  
\n
$$
= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (u_i - \overline{u})(v_i - \overline{v})}{n \uparrow_u \uparrow_v}
$$
  
\n
$$
= \frac{n \uparrow_u \uparrow_v}{n \uparrow_u \uparrow_v}
$$
  
\n
$$
r_{xy} = \frac{Cov(u, v)}{\uparrow_u \uparrow_v}
$$
  
\n
$$
r_{xy} = \frac{Cov(u, v)}{\uparrow_u \uparrow_v}
$$

$$
= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (u_i - \overline{u})(v_i - \overline{v})}{n \uparrow_u \uparrow_v} = r_{uv}
$$

Here, we observe that if we change the origin and choose a new scale, the correlation co-efficient remains unchanged. Hence the proof.

Here,  $r_{\text{uv}}$  can be further simplified as

$$
r_{xy} = \frac{Cov(u, v)}{t_u + v}
$$

$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} u_i v_i - \overline{u} \overline{v}$	100	
$= \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum u_i^2 - \overline{u}^2} \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum v_i^2 - \overline{v}^2}$	and	$r_{xy} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i Y_i}{n \sum_{i} \sum_{i} \overline{v_i}}$
<b>Limits of Correlation Co-efficient</b>	$= \sqrt{n \sum u_i^2 - (\sum u_i)^2} \sqrt{n \sum v_i^2 - (\sum v_i)^2}$	Now we have
$= \sqrt{n \sum u_i^2 - (\sum u_i)^2} \sqrt{n \sum v_i^2 - (\sum v_i)^2}$	$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{X_i}{t} \pm \frac{Y_i}{t_y}\right)^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i^2}{t_x^2} + \frac{Y_i}{t_x^2}$	
<b>Limits of Correlation Co-efficient</b>	$= \frac{n \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i}{t_x^2} + \frac{n$	

# **Limits of Correlation Co-efficient**

 We shall now find the limits of the correlation coefficient between two variables and show that it **P r o of**<br>
Let  $(x_1, y_1)$ ,  $(x_2, y_2)$  ....  $(x_n, y_n)$  be the given **mits of Correlation Co-efficient**<br>
We shall now find the limits of the correlation<br>
fficient between two variables and show that it<br>
i.  $-1 \le r_{xy} < +1$ <br>
Left hand side<br>
n numbers and hen<br>
Left (x<sub>p</sub>, y<sub>p</sub>), (x<sub>p</sub>, y<sub>p</sub>) ..  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{n \sum y_i^2 - (\sum y_i)^2}$ <br> **Co-efficient**<br>
limits of the correlation<br>
bles and show that it<br>  $y < +1$ <br>
Left in number<br>  $(x_n, y_n)$  be the given<br>  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} - \frac{\sqrt{x}}{y_i - \sqrt{y}}$ <br>  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (y_i - \sqrt{y})^2$ **n Co-efficient**<br>
e limits of the correlation<br>  $\leq r_{xy} < +1$ <br>
Left hand<br>  $\leq r_{xy} < +1$ <br>
Left hand<br>  $\therefore$   $(x_n, y_n)$  be the given<br>  $\leq (x_i - \overline{x})(y_i - \overline{y})$ <br>  $\leq (\overline{x}_i - \overline{x})^2 \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum (y_i - \overline{y})^2}$ <br>  $\leq \frac{1}{n} \cdot \frac{1}{n}$ <br>

ie., 
$$
-1 \le r_{xy} < +1
$$

pairs of observations.

 $1 \frac{1}{\sum (x - \overline{x}) (x - \overline{x})}$ *n*<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>

We put

$\sqrt{n} \times u_{\overline{i}} - (\frac{1}{2}u_{\overline{j}} + \frac{1}{2}u_{\overline{j}})$	$\frac{1}{i-1} (\frac{1}{1-x} \pm \frac{1}{1-y}) = \frac{i-1}{1-x} + \frac{1}{2-x}$			
<b>Correlation</b> Co-efficient	$\frac{n+2}{i^2} + \frac{1}{i^2} + \frac{1}{i^2}$			
1	1	1	1	1
i.e., $-1 \le r_{xy} < +1$	1	1		
ii.e., $-1 \le r_{xy} < +1$	1	1		
iii, $1 \le 2n \pm 2n$				
iv, $1 \le 2n \pm 2n$				
iv, $1 \le 2n \pm 2n$				
iv, $1 \le 2n \pm 2n$				
iv, $1 \le 2n \pm 2n$				
v, $1 \le 2n \pm 2n$				
vi, $1 \le 2n \pm 2n$				
vi, $1 \le 2n \pm 2n$				
vi, $1 \le 2n \pm 2n$				
vi, $1 \le 2n \pm 2n$				
vi, $1 \le 2n \pm 2n$				
vi, $1 \le 2n \pm 2n$				
vi, $1 \le 2n \pm 2n$				
vi, $1 \le 2n \pm 2n$				
vi, $1 \le 2n \$				

Similarly 
$$
\frac{2}{y} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i^2
$$
 (1)  
... (2)

and *xy r* = <sup>1</sup> *n i i i x y X Y n*  ...(3)

Now we have

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{n}}\sum u_i v_j - \overline{\sigma} \overline{v}
$$
\nand  $r_{xy} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i Y_i}{n \sum x_i^2 - \overline{\sigma}^2 \sqrt{\frac{1}{n}}\sum v_i^2 - \overline{v}^2}$ \nand  $r_{xy} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i Y_i}{n \sum x_i^2}$  ...(3)  
\nNow we have  
\n
$$
= \frac{n \sum u_i v_i - \sum u_i \sum v_i^2 - (\sum v_i)^2}{\sqrt{n \sum u_i^2 - (\sum v_i)^2} \sqrt{n \sum v_i^2 - (\sum v_i)^2}}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{n \sum (X_i + \frac{Y_i}{T})^2}{\frac{1}{T^2}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i^2}{\frac{1}{T^2}} + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i^2}{\frac{1}{T^2}} + \frac{2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i Y_i}{\frac{1}{T^2}} + \frac{2 \sum_{i=1
$$

Left hand side of the above identity is the sum of the squares of n numbers and hence it is positive or zero.

ie., the correlation co-efficient lies between  $-1$  and  $+1$ . Hence the proof.

# **Note:**

- If  $r_{xy} = 1$ , we say that there is perfect positive correlation between x and y.
- If  $r_{xy} = -1$ , we say that there is perfect negative correlation between x and y.
- If  $r_{xy} = 0$ , we say that there is no correlation between the two variables, i.e., the two variables are uncorrelated.
- If  $r_{xy} > 0$ , we say that the correlation between x and y is positive (direct).
- If  $r_{xy} < 0$ , we say that the correlation between x and y is negative (indirect).



$$
\overline{X} = \frac{\Sigma X}{n} = \frac{28}{7} = 4 \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{Y} = \frac{\Sigma Y}{n} = \frac{70}{7} = 10
$$

Karl Pearson's coefficient of correlation (r) is given by

$$
= \frac{\sum xy}{\sqrt{\sum x^2} \sqrt{\sum y^2}}
$$

$$
= \frac{29}{\sqrt{28} \sqrt{42}} = 0.8457
$$

# **Example 9**

Karl Pearson's coefficient of correlation between two variables X and Y is 0.28 their covariance is  $+7.6$ . If the variance of X is 9, find the standard deviation of Y-series.  $x^2 \sqrt{2}y^2$ <br>  $\frac{29}{3\sqrt{42}} = 0.8457$ <br>
of correlation between two variables X and<br>
+7.6. If the variance of X is 9, find the<br>
of correlation r is given by<br>  $\frac{(X, Y)}{x \, 1_y}$ <br>
7.6 and  $1_x^2 = 9$ ;  $1_x = 3$ . d  $\overline{Y} = \frac{\Sigma Y}{n} = \frac{70}{7} = 10$ <br>
of correlation (r) is given by<br>  $\frac{\Sigma xy}{\Sigma x^2 \sqrt{\Sigma y^2}}$ <br>  $\frac{29}{28 \sqrt{42}} = 0.8457$ <br>
of correlation between two variables X and<br>
s +7.6. If the variance of X is 9, find the<br>
i.<br>
of correlatio

# **Solution**

Karl Pearson's coefficient of correlation r is given by

$$
r = \frac{\text{cov}(X, Y)}{t_x t_y}
$$

Using (1) 
$$
0.28 = \frac{7.6}{31y}
$$
  
\nor,  $0.841y = 7.6$ , or  $1y = \frac{7.6}{0.84} = \frac{760}{84}$   
\n $= 9.048$   
\nExample 10  
\nCalculate Pearson's coefficient of correlation between advertisement  
\nest and sales as per the data given below:  
\n1vt cost in '000Rs: 39 65 62 90 82 75 25 98 36 78  
\nfalse in lakh Rs: 47 53 58 86 62 68 60 91 51 84  
\n1olution  
\nKarl Pearson's coefficient of correlation (r) is given by  
\n $r = \frac{\sum xy}{\sqrt{\sum x^2} \times \sqrt{\sum y^2}}$  where  $x = X - \overline{X}$  and  $y = Y - \overline{Y}$   
\n $X = X - \overline{X}$   $y = Y - \overline{Y}$   $x^2$   $y^2$  xy  
\n39 47 -26 -19 676 361 494  
\n65 53 0 -13 0 169 0

# **Example 10**

Calculate Pearson's coefficient of correlation between advertisement cost and sales as per the data given below:



# **Solution**

Karl Pearson's coefficient of correlation (r) is given by

$$
r = \frac{\Sigma xy}{\sqrt{\Sigma x^2} \times \sqrt{\Sigma y^2}}
$$
 where  $x = X - \overline{X}$  and  $y = Y - \overline{Y}$ 



# **Example 8**



# **Example 11**

Calculate Pearson's coefficient of correlation from the following taking 100 and 50 as the assumed average of X and Y respectively:





# **Example 12**

A computer while calculating the correlation coefficient between two

variables X and Y from 25 pairs of observations obtained the following results:<br>  $n = 25$ ,  $\Sigma X = 125$ ,  $\Sigma Y = 100$ ,  $\Sigma X^2 = 650$ ,  $\Sigma Y^2 = 460$  and<br>  $\Sigma XY = 508$ . It was, however, discovered at the time of checking that two pairs of observations were not correctly copied. They were taken as  $(6, 14)$  and (8, 6), while the correct values were (8, 12) and (6, 8). Prove that the correct value of the correlation coefficient should be 2/3.

# **Solution**

When the two incorrect pairs of observations are replaced by the correctpairs, the revised results for the whole series are:

 $\sum X$  = 125 – (Sum of two incorrect values of X) +

(Sum of two correct values of X)

$$
= 125 - (6+8) + (8+6) = 125
$$

Similarly

$$
\Sigma Y = 100 - (14 + 6) + (12 + 8) = 100
$$
  
\n
$$
\Sigma X^2 = 650 - (6^2 + 8^2) + (8^2 + 6^2) = 650
$$
  
\n
$$
\Sigma Y^2 = 460 - (14^2 + 6^2) + (12^2 + 8^2)
$$
  
\n= 460 - 232 + 208 = 436 and

$$
= 508 - 132 + 144 = 520;
$$

Correct value of the correlation co efficient is

$$
= 508 - 132 + 144 = 520 ;
$$
  
\nCorrect value of the correlation coefficient is  
\n
$$
= \frac{n \sum Y - (\sum X)(\sum Y)}{\sqrt{n \sum X^2 - (\sum X^2)} \sqrt{n \sum Y^2 - (\sum Y^2)}}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{25 \times 520 - 125 \times 100}{\sqrt{25 \times 650 - 125^2} \sqrt{25 \times 436 - 100^2}}
$$
\n
$$
= 2/3
$$
\n**Rank Correlation Coefficient**  
\nSimple correlation coefficient (or product-moment correlation  
\nperification is based on the magnitudes of the variables. But in many  
\n\nBut the ranks of n individuals an  
\n
$$
= \frac{\sum (x_i - y_i) (x_i - y_j) (x_i - y_j)
$$

# **Rank Correlation Coefficient**

Simple correlation coefficient (or product-moment correlation coefficient) is based on the magnitudes of the variables. But in many situations it is not possible to find the magnitude of the variable at all. For example, we cannot measure beauty or intelligence quantitatively. In this case, it is possible to rank the individuals in some order. Rank correlation is based on the rank or the order and not on the magnitude of the variable. It is more suitable if the individuals (or variables) can be arranged in order of merit or proficiency. If the ranks assigned to individuals range from 1 to n, then the Karl Pearson's correlation coefficient between two series of ranks is called Rank correlation coefficient. Edward Spearman's formula for Rank correlation coefficient (R) is given by. **Example 10**<br> **Example 10**<br>
In some order<br>
in some order<br>  $\therefore x_p, x_2,...$ <br>
the magnitude of the variable at all. For<br>  $\therefore \Sigma x =$ <br>  $\therefore \Sigma x =$ <br>
In oto in **Efficient**<br>
in some or<br>
in some or<br>
gnitudes of the variables. But in many<br>
in some or<br>
d the magnitude of the variable at all. For<br>
unty or intelligence quantitatively. In this<br>
in some order. Rank correlation<br>
and not 6 5 6 1 1 2 6 1 1 2 6 2 6 1 1 2 3 4 3 4 1 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 1 2 3 4 1 1 2 3 4 1 1 2 3 4 1 1 2 3 4 1 1 2 3 4 1 1 2 3 4 1 1 2 3 4 1 1 2 3 4 1 1 2 3 4 1 1 2 3 4 1 1 2 3 4 1 2 4 1 2 4 1 2 *n n n n*  $\frac{\sqrt{25} \times 100}{\sqrt{n \times 25}}$ <br>  $\frac{25 \times 100}{\sqrt{25 \times 436 - 100^2}}$ <br>  $\frac{25 \times 436 - 100^2}{\sqrt{25 \times 436 - 100^2}}$ <br> **SEXELAGE EXECUTE:**<br> **SECUTE:**<br> **SECUTE:**<br> **SECUTE:**<br> **SECUTE:**<br> **SECUTE:**<br> **SECUTE:**<br> **SECUTE:**<br> **SECUTE:**<br> **SECUTE**  In the magnitude of the value of the value of the time of the time of the magnitude of the variables) can be arranged in order<br>
values in some order. Rank correlation<br>
variables) can be arranged in order<br>
variables) can b

$$
R = 1 - \frac{6 \Sigma d^2}{n(n^2 - 1)} \text{ or } 1 - \frac{6 \Sigma d^2}{(n^3 - n)}
$$

where d is the difference between the ranks of the two series and n is the number of individuals in each series.

# **Derivation of Spearman's Formula for Rank Correlation Coefficient**

$$
R = 1 - \frac{6 \Sigma d^2}{n(n^2 - 1)}
$$

# **Proof:**

Let  $(x_1, y_1)$ ,  $(x_2, y_2)$ ,....  $(x_n, y_n)$  be the ranks of n individuals in two characters (or series) Edward Spearman's Rank correlation coefficient R is the product-moment correlation coefficient between these ranks and, therefore, we can write. 2).....  $(x_n, y_n)$  be the ranks of n individuals in two<br>Edward Spearman's Rank correlation coefficient R<br>t correlation coefficient between these ranks and,<br>te.<br> $R = \frac{Cov(x, y)}{\uparrow_x \uparrow_y}$  ...(1)<br> $y$  =  $\frac{\Sigma\{(x_i - \overline{x})(y_i - \overline{y})\}}{$ *x<sub>n</sub>*) be the ranks of n individuals in two<br>pearman's Rank correlation coefficient R<br>incon coefficient between these ranks and,<br> $\frac{v(x,y)}{x+y}$  ...(1)<br> $\frac{x_i - \overline{x}(y_i - \overline{y})}{n}$ <br>are the natural numbers 1, 2,.... n arranged *x<sub>n</sub>*, *y<sub>n</sub>*) be the ranks of n individuals in two<br>d Spearman's Rank correlation coefficient R<br>elation coefficient between these ranks and,<br> $\frac{Cov(x,y)}{\frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{y}}$  ...(1)<br> $\frac{\sum \{(x_i - \overline{x})(y_i - \overline{y})\}}{n}$ <br>als are the nat *x<sub>n</sub>*) be the ranks of n individuals in two<br>Spearman's Rank correlation coefficient R<br>ation coefficient between these ranks and,<br> $\frac{ov(x,y)}{\frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{y}}$  ...(1)<br> $\frac{\{(x_i - \overline{x})(y_i - \overline{y})\}}{n}$ <br>Is are the natural numbers 1, **Example 12**<br> **EXAMPLE 12**  $(x_n, y_n)$  be the ranks of n individuals in two<br>d Spearman's Rank correlation coefficient R<br>elation coefficient between these ranks and,<br> $\frac{Cov(x, y)}{\uparrow x \uparrow y}$  ...(1)<br> $\frac{\sum \{(x_i - \overline{x})(y_i - \overline{y})\}}{n}$ <br>aals are the natural number

$$
R = \frac{Cov(x, y)}{T_x T_y}
$$
...(1)

where cov (x, y) = 
$$
\frac{\sum \{ (x_i - \overline{x})(y_i - \overline{y}) \}}{n}
$$

But the ranks of n individuals are the natural numbers 1, 2,.... n arranged in some order depending on the qualities of the individuals.

 $\therefore$   $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  are the numbers 1, 2... n in some order.

44 = 520 ;  
\n
$$
250 \times 10^{-10}
$$
  
\n $250 \times 10^{-10}$   
\n $250 \times 10^{-1$ 

similarly,

$$
\bar{y}
$$
 =  $\frac{n+1}{2}$  and  $t_y^2 = \frac{n^2-1}{12}$ 

Calculate the rank correlation coefficient.

$$
\frac{z \times d_f^2}{n} = \frac{\sum ((x_i - \overline{x}) - (y_i - \overline{y})]^2}{n}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{\sum (x_i - \overline{x})^2}{n} + \frac{\sum (y_i - \overline{y})^2}{n} - \frac{2\sum (x_i - \overline{x})(y_i - \overline{y})}{n}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{x} + \frac{2}{y} - 2\cos(x, y)
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{x} + \frac{2}{y} - 2\cos(x, y)
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{x} + \frac{2}{y} - 2\cos(x, y)
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{x} + \frac{2}{y} - 2\cos(x, y)
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{x} + \frac{2}{y} - 2\cos(x, y)
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{x} + \frac{2}{y} - 2\cos(x, y)
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{x} + \frac{2}{y} - 2\cos(x, y)
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{x} + \frac{2}{y} - \frac{2}{y} - \frac{2}{y}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{x} - \frac{2}{y} - \frac{2}{y} - \frac{2}{y}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{x} - \frac{2}{y} - \frac{2}{y} - \frac{2}{y}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{x} - \frac{2}{y} - \frac{2}{y} - \frac{2}{y}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{x} - \frac{2}{y} - \frac{2}{y} - \frac{2}{y}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{x} - \frac{2}{y} - \frac{2}{y} - \frac{2}{y}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{x} - \frac{2}{y} - \frac{2}{y} - \frac{2}{y}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{x} - \frac{2}{y} - \frac{2}{y} - \frac{2}{y}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{x} - \frac{2}{y} - \frac{2}{y} - \frac{2}{y}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{x} - \frac{2}{y} - \frac{2}{y} -
$$

Hence, from (1), we get

R = 
$$
\left(\frac{n^2 - 1}{12} - \frac{\sum d_i^2}{2n}\right) / \left(\frac{n^2 - 1}{12}\right)
$$
  
=  $1 > \frac{6 d d^2}{n(n^2 > 1)}$  [omitting i]

# **Example 13**



 $2 \times 1$  [*CHRODER 1]* 

**1**)

In Mathematics, Student with Roll No. 3 gets the highest mark 98 and is ranked 1; Roll No. 7 securing 90 marks has rank 2 and so on. Similarly, we can find the ranks of students in statistics.



Applying Edward Spearman's formula:

$$
R = 1 - \frac{6 \Sigma d^2}{n(n^2 - 1)}
$$
  
=  $1 - \frac{6 \times 30}{10(10^2 - 1)} = 1 - \frac{18}{99}$   
=  $1 - \frac{2}{11} = \frac{9}{11} = 0.82$ 

# **Regression**

In some situations, one may need to know the probable value of one variable corresponding to certain value of another variable. This is possible using the mathematical relation between the two variables. Scatter diagram, explained above helps to ascertain the nature of relationship such as linear (straight line), second degree polynomial (parabola), etc. Discussion in this book is restricted to linear relation between two variables.

During study of hereditary characteristics, Sir Francis Galton found  $t_{\rm t}$  is the set of the interest of the set of  $\alpha$  is the new term of  $\alpha$  regress, that is to *go back* towards the overall average height of all groups of fathers. He called the lines of the average relationship as the lines of the regression. It is also referred to as the estimating equations because based on the value of one variable one can predict or estimate the value of the other variable.

Suppose we are given n pairs of values  $(x_1, y_1)$   $(x_2, y_2)$ , ....  $(x_n$ *n , y<sup>n</sup>* ) of two variables x and y. If we fit a straight line to this data by taking x as independent variable and y as dependent variable, then the straight line obtained is called the *regression line of y on x.*Its slope is called the *regression coefficient of y on x.* Similarly, if we fit a straight line to the data by taking y as independent variable and x as dependent variable, the line obtained is the *regression line of x on y;* the reciprocal of its slope is called the *regression coefficient of x on y.* reditary characteristics, Sir Francis Galaton found the second of the average selections of the intersect contours and the correct of the second and proposed in the second for the second proposed in the second proposed in *xx* towars the overtain werge neight of all groups of<br> *xi* waves the external werge relationship as the lines of the<br>
also referred to as the estimating equations because based<br>
one variable one can predict or stima *x* If we fit a straight line to this data by taking<br> *y* as dependent variable, then the straight line<br> *p in the of y on x*. Its slope is called the *regression*<br> *x x* is the find that by taking<br>  $\alpha$  is exampled t **n** pairs of values  $(x_1, y_1) (x_2, y_2) ..... (x_n)$ <br>
if we fit a straight line to this data by taking<br>
as dependent variable, then the straight line<br>
if we fit a straight line to the data by taking<br>
if we fit a straight line to and scale *nearging somo annear* of *y* on x. Issingle Scale the *negression* and *g* or *y*. The single scale the *a* in the point of *y* on *x*. Similarly, if we fit a straight line to the data by taking independent var

# **Equation for regression lines**

Let 
$$
y = a + bx
$$
 ... (1)

be the equation of the regression line of y on x, where *a* and b are determined by solving the normal equations obtained by the principle of least squares.

$$
\sum y_i = n\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b} \sum x_i \qquad \qquad \dots (2)
$$

$$
\sum x_i y_i = a \sum x_i + b \sum x_i^2 \qquad \qquad \dots (3)
$$

Divide the equation (2) by n, we get

**On for regression lines**  
\n
$$
y = a + bx
$$
 .... (1)  
\n**Similary, when**   
\n $y = a + bx$    
\n $\Sigma y_i = na + b \Sigma x_i$    
\n $\Sigma y_i = na + b \Sigma x_i$  .... (2)  
\n $\Sigma x_i y_i = a \Sigma x_i + b \Sigma x_i^2$  .... (3)  
\n  
\nLet us denote  
\n $\frac{1}{n} \Sigma y_i = a + b \overline{x}$  .... (4)  
\nand  $\overline{y}$  are the means of x and y series. Substituting for a from  
\n $y - \overline{y} = b(x - \overline{x})$  .... (5)  
\n $\frac{1}{n} \Sigma y_i = a + b \overline{x}$  .... (4)  
\n $\Sigma x_i = \frac{1}{n} \Sigma x_i$    
\n $\Sigma y_i = \frac{1}{n} \Sigma y_i$    
\n

where  $\bar{x}$  and  $\bar{y}$  are the means of x and y series. Substituting for *a* from (4) in (1), we get the equation,

$$
y - \overline{y} = b(x - \overline{x}) \qquad \qquad \dots (5)
$$

Solving the equations (2) and (3) for b after eliminating *'a'* we get the value of b as

It is given by:

\nIt is given by:

\n
$$
y_{1} = \frac{n \sum x_{i} y_{i} - (\sum x_{i})(\sum y_{i})}{n \sum x_{i}^{2} - (\sum x_{i})^{2}}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{\frac{\sum x_{i} y_{i}}{n} - \overline{x} \overline{y}}{\frac{\sum x_{i}^{2}}{n} - \overline{x}^{2}}, \text{ dividing each term by } n^{2}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{\frac{\sum x_{i} y_{i}}{n} - \overline{x}^{2}}{\frac{1^{2}}{1^{2}}} = \frac{P_{xy}}{1^{2}}.
$$
\n(5), we get the regression equation of y on x as

\n
$$
\mathbf{y} - \overline{\mathbf{y}} = \frac{P_{xy}}{1^{2}} (\mathbf{x} > \overline{\mathbf{x}}) \quad \text{(6)}
$$
\n
$$
\mathbf{x} \text{ is depending on y, the regression equation of x on y is}
$$
\n
$$
\mathbf{x} - \overline{\mathbf{x}} = \frac{P_{xy}}{1^{2}} (\mathbf{y} - \overline{\mathbf{y}}) \quad \text{(7)}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{P_{xy}}{1^{2}} \text{ as } b_{yx} \text{ and } \frac{P_{xy}}{1^{2}} \text{ as } b_{xy}
$$

$$
= \frac{\text{Cov}(x,y)}{\tau_x^2} = \frac{P_{xy}}{\tau_x^2}
$$

Substituting b in (5), we get the regression equation of y on x as

$$
\mathbf{y} - \overline{\mathbf{y}} = \frac{\mathbf{P}_{xy}}{\mathbf{x}^2} (\mathbf{x} > \overline{\mathbf{x}}) \qquad \qquad \dots (6)
$$

Similarly, when x is depending on y, the regression equation of x on y is obtained as

$$
x - \overline{x} = \frac{P_{xy}}{\frac{1}{2} (y - \overline{y})}
$$
 .... (7)

Let us denote 
$$
\frac{P_{xy}}{t_x^2}
$$
 as  $b_{yx}$  and  $\frac{P_{xy}}{t_x^2}$  as  $b_{xy}$ 

Thus 
$$
b_{yx} = \frac{P_{xy}}{\frac{2}{x}} \text{ as } b_{xy} = \frac{P_{xy}}{\frac{2}{x}}
$$

Here  $b_{yx}$  is called the regression coefficient of y on x and  $b_{xy}$  is called the regression coefficient of x on y.  $y - \bar{y} = \frac{P_{xy}}{1 + \frac{2}{x}} (x > \bar{x})$  .... (6)<br>
illarly, when x is depending on y, the regression equation of x on y is<br>
inned as<br>  $x - \bar{x} = \frac{P_{xy}}{1 + \frac{2}{y}} (y - \bar{y})$  .... (7)<br>
Let us denote  $\frac{P_{xy}}{1 + \frac{2}{x}}$  as  $b_{yx}$  and

So we can rewrite the regression equation of y on x as

$$
y - \overline{y} = b_{yx} (x - \overline{x})
$$

and the regression equation of x on y as

$$
x - \overline{x} = b_{xy}(y - \overline{y})
$$

# **Some remarks**

Fig.  $x - \overline{x} = b_{xy} (y - \overline{y})$ <br> **Example 21**<br> **Example 21**<br> **Example 21**<br> **Example 21**<br> **Example 21**<br> **Example 21**<br>
Calculate the code of y on x is  $b_{xy} = \frac{r \uparrow y}{\uparrow x}$  and the slope<br>  $\uparrow y$ <br>
Age of husband<br>
Age of wife 1. The slope of the regression line of y on x is  $b_{xy} = \frac{1+y}{x}$  and the slope  $r t_v$  and  $\frac{1}{x}$  and the slope

of the regression line of x on y is the reciprocal of  $b_{xy}$  which is  $\frac{dy}{dt}$ . **Solution** *x*  $\mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{v}}$ 

- r has the same sign as that of  $b_{\rm yr}$ .
- 2. Since  $b_{xy} = r(f_x / f_y)$  we readly find that  $(b_{xy})(b_{xy}) = r^2$ . Since  $r = 0$  *y*  $f(x, y) = f(x, y)$  and  $f(x, y) = f(x, y)$  are positive, it follo **3.** Since *b*<sub>*xy*</sub> =  $f(x)$  *x* or *x* is *b*<sub>*xy*</sub> =  $\frac{f(y)}{f(x)}$  and the slope<br>
3. Since *b*<sub>*xy*</sub> =  $f(y)$  *x* is *x* be regression line of *y* on *x* is *b*<sub>*xy*</sub> =  $\frac{f(y)}{f(x)}$ .<br>
2. Since *b<sub>xy</sub>* =  $f(f(y) \mid x)$  and  $f(x$ <sup>2</sup>. Since  $r^2$  $> 0$ . It follows that  $b_{xy}$  has the same sign as that of  $b_{yx}$ . Thus, r,  $b_{xy}$ **Example 21**<br> **C**<br> **Example 21**<br> **C**<br> **Example 21**<br> **C**<br> **C**<br> **Example 21**<br> **C**<br> |r| is the geometric mean of  $b_{xx}$  and  $b_{yx}$ . Since  $|r| < 1$  it follows that  $b_{yx} > 1$  whenever  $b_{xy} < 1$  and vice-versa.
- 4. Since the arithmetic mean is always greater than the geometric mean for any two numbers, we have  $\frac{1}{2}(b_{yx} + b_{xy}) > \sqrt{b_{yx} \times b_{xy}} = |r|$ .

Thus, the arithmetic mean of  $b_{xy}$  and  $b_{yx}$  is always greater than the coefficient of correlation.

- 
- 6*.* The regression equation of y on x is need for estimating or predicting the value of y for a given value of x and the regression equation of x on y is used for estimating or predicting x for a specified value of y.

# **SOLVED PROBLEMS**

# **Example 21**

Calculate the coefficient of correlation for the following ages of husbands and wives.



# **Solution**

We have, 
$$
\overline{x} = \frac{1}{n} \Sigma x_i = \frac{311}{10} = 31.1
$$

$$
\bar{y} = \frac{1}{n} \Sigma y_i = \frac{257}{10} = 25.7
$$

We prepare the following table.



Now, 
$$
r = {\frac{\sum x_i y_i}{\sqrt{\sum x_i^2} \sqrt{\sum y_i^2}}} = {\frac{178.30}{\sqrt{202.90} \times \sqrt{158.10}}} = 0.9955
$$

# **Example 22**





# **Solution**

Here we prepare the following table



Y 
$$
X^2
$$
 Y<sup>2</sup> XY  
\n9 36 81 54  
\n11 4 121 22  
\n5 100 25 50  
\n8 16 64 32  
\n7 64 49 56  
\n40 220 340 214  
\n $r = \frac{n \sum XY - (\sum X)(\sum Y)}{\sqrt{5 \times 220 - 30^2} \sqrt{5 \times 340 - 40^2}}$   
\n $= \frac{5 \times 214 - 30 \times 40}{\sqrt{200} \sqrt{100}} = 0.919$   
\nExample 24  
\nExample 24  
\nCalculate the rank of  
\n33  
\n14 30  
\n  
\nExample 24  
\nCalculate the rank of  
\n34  
\nCalculate the rank of  
\n35  
\n16  
\nExample 24  
\nCalculate the rank of  
\nRank in the fi

# **Example 23**

Find the correlation coefficient between X and Y given



# **Solution**

Here we prepare the following table



2 8 4 64 16  
\n-1 0 1 0 0  
\n-2 2 4 4 -4  
\n1 1 1 1 1  
\n3 5 9 25 15  
\n0 5 0 25 0  
\n-1 16 35 144 48  
\n
$$
xy = r_{uv} = \frac{n \sum u_i v_i - (\sum u_i)(\sum v_i)}{\sqrt{n \sum u_i^2 - (\sum u_i)^2} \sqrt{n \sum v_i^2 - (\sum v_i)^2}}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{7 \times 48 - (-1) \times 16}{\sqrt{7 \times 35 - (-1)^2} \sqrt{7 \times 144 - 16^2}}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{336 + 16}{\sqrt{245 - 1} \sqrt{1008 - 256}}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{352}{\sqrt{244} \sqrt{752}} = 0.82
$$
\ne rank correlation coefficient from the following data

\nanks of 7 students in two subjects.

\ni the first subject: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

\ne second subject: 4 3 1 2 6 5 7

# **Example 24**

Calculate the rank correlation coefficient from the following data specifying the ranks of 7 students in two subjects.



# **Solution**

Here  $n = 7$ . Let x and y denote respectively the ranks in the first and

second subjects. We prepare the following table.



The Spearman's rank correlation coefficient is

$$
R = 1 - \frac{6 \Sigma d_i^2}{n(n^2 - 1)} = 1 - \frac{6 \times 20}{7 \times (7^2 - 1)} = 0.643
$$

# **Example 25**

Find the rank correlation coefficient between marks in two subjects A and B scored by 10 students



# **Solution**





 $R = 1 - \frac{6 \Sigma d_i^2}{n(n^2 - 1)}$  $1 - \frac{6 \Sigma d_i^2}{2}$  $(-1)$  $= 1 - \frac{1}{10 \times 10^{2} - 1}$  $-\frac{6\times38}{2}$  $= 1 - 0.2303 = 0.7697$ 

# **Example 26**

The coefficient of rank correlation of marks obtained by 10 students in two subjects was computed as 0.5. It was later discovered that the difference in marks in two subjects obtained by one of the students was wrongly taken as 3 instead of 7. Find the correct coefficient of rank correlation.

# **Solution**

Here given  $R = 0.5$ , and  $n = 10$ .

Then we have,

 $0.5 =$ 

$$
or = 82.5
$$

Deleting the wrong item from this and adding the correct item to it we obtain corrected

$$
= 82.5 \, 3^2 + 72 = 122.5.
$$

Consequently, the correct coefficient of rank correlation is

$$
R = = 0.2576
$$

# **Example 27**

The following are the data on the average height of the plants and weight of yield per plot recorded from 10 plots of rice crop.



Find (i) correlation coefficient between X and Y (ii) the regression coefficient and hence write down regression equation of y on x and that of x on y (iii) probable value of the yield of a plot having an average plant height of 98 cms.

# **Solution**

Here we prepare the following table.



$$
-7 \t 42 \t 219 \t 624 \t 277
$$
  

$$
r_{xy} = r_{uv} = \frac{n \sum u_i v_i - (\sum u_i)(\sum v_i)}{\sqrt{n \sum u_i^2 - (\sum u_i)^2} \sqrt{n \sum v_i^2 - (\sum v_i)^2}}
$$
  

$$
= \frac{10 \times 277 - (-7) \times 42}{\sqrt{10 \times 219 - (-7)^2} \sqrt{10 \times 624 - (42)^2}}
$$
  

$$
= \frac{3064}{46.271 \times 66.903} = 0.989
$$
  
i. The regression coefficient of y on x is  

$$
b_{yx} = \frac{n \sum u_i v_i - (\sum u_i \sum v_i)}{n \sum u_i^2 - (\sum u_i)^2}
$$

$$
= \frac{10 \times 277 - (-7) \times 42}{\sqrt{10 \times 219 - (-7)^2} \sqrt{10 \times 624 - (42)^2}}
$$

$$
=\frac{3064}{46.271\times66.903}=0.989
$$

ii. The regression coefficient of y on x is

$$
b_{yx} = \frac{n \sum u_i v_i - (\sum u_i \sum v_i)}{n \sum u_i^2 - (\sum u_i)^2}
$$

$$
=\frac{3064}{2140.99}=1.431
$$

The regression coefficient of x on y is

$$
\frac{3064}{2140.99} = 1.431
$$
  
\nThe regression coefficient of x on y is  
\n
$$
b_{xy} = \frac{n \sum u_i v_i - (\sum u_i \sum v_i)}{n \sum v_i^2 - (\sum v_i)^2}
$$
  
\n
$$
= \frac{3064}{4476.01} = 0.684
$$
  
\nThe regression equation of y on x is  
\n
$$
y - \overline{y} = b_{yx} (x - \overline{x})
$$
  
\n
$$
y - \overline{y} = b_{yx} (x - \overline{x})
$$
  
\n
$$
= 34 + \frac{-7}{10} = 33.3
$$
  
\n
$$
y - \overline{y} = 1.431x - 36.55
$$
  
\n
$$
= 80 + \frac{42}{10} = 84.2
$$
  
\nThe regression equation of x on y is  
\n
$$
x - \overline{x} = b_{xy} (y - \overline{y})
$$
  
\n
$$
= 80 + \frac{42}{10} = 84.2
$$
  
\nThe regression equation of x on y is  
\n
$$
x - \overline{x} = b_{xy} (y - \overline{y})
$$
  
\n
$$
= 80 + \frac{42}{10} = 84.2
$$
  
\nThe regression equation of x on y is  
\n
$$
x - \overline{x} = b_{xy} (y - \overline{y})
$$
  
\nThe sum of y in x is  
\n
$$
y = 1.431x - 36.55
$$
  
\n
$$
y = 1.431x - 36.55 = 103.69kg
$$
  
\n
$$
y = 1.431x - 36.55 = 103.69kg
$$
  
\n
$$
y = 1.431x - 36.55 = 103.69kg
$$
  
\n
$$
y = 1.431x - 36.55 = 103.69kg
$$

The regression equation of y on x is  $\overline{X} = A + \frac{\sum u_i}{n}$ 

$$
n
$$

i.e., 
$$
y-84.2 = 1.431(x-33.3)
$$
  $\overline{y} = B + \frac{\sum_{1}^{x} x}{1.64} = 1.431(x-33.3)$ 

i.e., 
$$
y = 1.431x - 36.55 = 80 + \frac{42}{10} = 84.2
$$

The regression equation of 
$$
x
$$
 on  $y$  is

$$
x - \overline{x} = b_{xy} (y - \overline{y})
$$

ie.,  $x = 0.684y - 24.29$ 

iii. To estimate the yield  $(y)$ , the regression equation of y on x is

 $y = 1.431x - 36.55$ **Example 27**

The regression equation of y on x is  $\bar{x} = A + \frac{\sum u_i}{n}$ <br>  $y - \bar{y} = b_{yx} (x - \bar{x})$ <br>
i.e.,  $y - 84.2 = 1.431 (x - 33.3)$   $\bar{y} = B + \frac{\sum v_i}{n}$ <br>
Here positiv<br>
i.e.,  $y = 1.431x - 36.55$   $= 80 + \frac{42}{10} = 84.2$ <br>
The regression equation o (a) the mean values of x and y, (b) the coefficient of correlation between x and y, and (c) the variance of y given that the variance of x is 9.

# **Solution**

10

$$
4\,\overline{x}-5\,\overline{y}+33\,=0
$$

Since the lines of regression pass through  $(\overline{x}, \overline{y})$  we have<br>  $4\overline{x} - 5\overline{y} + 33 = 0$ <br>  $20\overline{x} - 9\overline{y} - 107 = 0$ <br>
Solving these equations, we get the mean values of x and y as Fince the lines of regression pass through  $(\overline{X}, \overline{y})$  we have<br>  $4\overline{x} - 5\overline{y} + 33 = 0$ <br>  $20\overline{x} - 9\overline{y} - 107 = 0$ <br>
Solving these equations, we get the mean values of x and y as<br>  $-13$ ,  $\overline{y} = 17$ . We rewrite the g Fince the lines of regression pass through  $(\overline{x}, \overline{y})$  we have<br>  $4\overline{x} - 5\overline{y} + 33 = 0$ <br>  $20\overline{x} - 9\overline{y} - 107 = 0$ <br>
Solving these equations, we get the mean values of x and y as<br>  $x = 13$ ,  $\overline{y} = 17$ . We rewrite the Solving these equations, we get the mean values of x and y as **Solution**<br>
Since the lines of regression pass through  $(\overline{x}, \overline{y})$  we have<br>  $4\overline{x} - 5\overline{y} + 33 = 0$ <br>  $20\overline{x} - 9\overline{y} - 107 = 0$ <br>
Solving these equations, we get the mean values of x and y as<br>  $\overline{x} = 13$ ,  $\overline{y} = 17$ .  $-5\bar{y} + 33 = 0$ <br>  $-9\bar{y} - 107 = 0$ <br>  $-9\bar{y} - 107 = 0$ <br>
ing these equations, we get the mean values of x and y as<br>  $\bar{y} = 17$ . We rewrite the given equations respectively as<br>  $\frac{4}{5}x + \frac{33}{5}$ ,  $x = \frac{9}{20}y + \frac{107}{20}$ The lines of regression pass through  $(\overline{x}, \overline{y})$  we have<br>  $-5\overline{y} + 33 = 0$ <br>  $-9\overline{y} - 107 = 0$ <br>
ing these equations, we get the mean values of x and y as<br>  $\overline{y} = 17$ . We rewrite the given equations respectively as<br> **g**<br> *y*  $4\bar{x} - 5\bar{y} + 33 = 0$ <br> **20** $\bar{x} - 9\bar{y} - 107 = 0$ <br> **207**  $-9\bar{y} - 107 = 0$ <br> **50 y g** these equations, we get the mean values of x and y as<br> **7 17 17 we rewrite the given equations respectively as<br>** we have<br>
lues of x and y as<br>
spectively as<br>  $\frac{4}{5}$ ,  $b_{xy} = \frac{9}{20}$ <br>
x and y is<br>  $\frac{y}{20}$ <br>
x are positive. we have<br>
lues of x and y as<br>
spectively as<br>  $\frac{4}{5}$ ,  $b_{xy} = \frac{9}{20}$ <br>
x and y is<br>  $\frac{4}{20}$ <br>
x are positive.  $(\overline{x}, \overline{y})$  we have<br>
ean values of x and y as<br>
ions respectively as<br>  $b_{yx} = \frac{4}{5}$ ,  $b_{xy} = \frac{9}{20}$ <br>
etween x and y is<br>
6<br>
and  $b_{yx}$  are positive. **30000000**<br>
Since the lines of regression<br>  $4\overline{x} - 5\overline{y} + 33 = 0$ <br>  $20\overline{x} - 9\overline{y} - 107 = 0$ <br>
Solving these equations<br>  $\overline{x} = 13, \overline{y} = 17$ . We rewrite<br>  $y = \frac{4}{5}x + \frac{33}{10}$ ,  $x = \frac{9}{20}y$ <br>
Therefore, the coefficie **Solution**<br>
Since the lines of regression<br>  $4\overline{x} - 5\overline{y} + 33 = 0$ <br>  $20\overline{x} - 9\overline{y} - 107 = 0$ <br>
Solving these equations, v<br>  $\overline{x} = 13$ ,  $\overline{y} = 17$ . We rewrite the<br>  $y = \frac{4}{5}x + \frac{33}{5}$ ,  $x = \frac{9}{20}y +$ <br>
Therefore, the

$$
y = \frac{4}{5}x + \frac{33}{5}
$$
,  $x = \frac{9}{20}y + \frac{107}{20}$  so that  $b_{yx} = \frac{4}{5}$ ,  $b_{xy} = \frac{9}{20}$ 

Therefore, the coefficient of correlation between x and y is

$$
r = \sqrt{(b_{xy})(b_{yx})} = 0.6
$$

Here positive sign is taken since both  $b_{xy}$  and  $b_{yx}$  are positive.  $\sum V_i$ 

The regression coefficient of x on y is  
\n
$$
b_{xy} = \frac{3064}{n \sum u_y v_y - (\sum u_x \sum v_y)} = \frac{9064}{n \sum v_x^2 - (\sum v_y)^2}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{3064}{4476.01} = 0.684
$$
\n
$$
y - \overline{y} = b_y x (x - \overline{x})
$$
\n
$$
y - \overline{y} = b_y x (x - \overline{x})
$$
\n
$$
= 80 + \frac{47}{10} = 33.3
$$
\n
$$
y = 4.23 - 1431(x - 33.3)
$$
\n
$$
y = 4.31x - 36.55 = 80 + \frac{42}{10} = 84.2
$$
\n
$$
y = 1.431x - 36.55 = 80 + \frac{42}{10} = 84.2
$$
\n
$$
y = 1.431x - 36.5
$$
\n
$$
y = 1.431x - 36.5
$$
\n
$$
y = 1.431x - 36.5
$$
\n
$$
y = 8 - 2.44x - 2.
$$