## **Cauvery College for Women (Autonomous)**

## Nationally Accredited (III Cycle) with 'A' Grade by NAAC

## Annamalai Nagar, Tiruchiappalli-18.





differentiation,gauss legendre integration method and lobatto integration method.

bilinear the following values of 
$$
f(x) = 1x
$$
,  $f(x)$  and  $f(x)$   
\napproximate value of  $f(x)$  to  $x$ ,  $f(x)$  and  $f(x)$   
\nquadrator. Also obtain an upper bound on  $f(x)$   
\n $g(x)$   
\n $g(x)$   
\n $g(x)$   
\n $g(x)$   
\n $h(x)$   
\n $g(x)$   
\n $h(x)$   
\n

2. The following data for the function 
$$
f(x): y_4
$$
  
\n $x = 0.4$  0.6 0.8  
\n $f(x) = 0.0256$  0.1296 0.1296  
\n $f(x) = 0.0356$  0.1296 0.1406  
\n $f'(0.8) = 0.1296$  0.4006  
\n $f''(0.8) = 0.1296$  0.4006  
\n $f'''(0.8) = 0.1296$  0.4006  
\n $f'''(0.8) = 0.1296$  0.4007  
\n $f'''(0.8) = \frac{1}{2(0.2)} [0.0256 - 4(0.1296) + 3(0.4096)]$   
\n $f'''(0.8) = \frac{1}{16} [0.0256 - 4(0.1296) + 3(0.4096)]$   
\n $= 1.84$   
\n $f'''(0.8) = \frac{1}{16} [0.0256 - 2(0.1296) + 3(0.4096)]$   
\n $= 1.84$   
\n $f'''(0.8) = \frac{1}{16} [0.0256 - 2(0.1296) + 0.4096]$   
\n $= 4.4$   
\n $f(x) = x^4$   
\n $f''(x) = 4x^3$  0.4006  
\n $f'''(x) = 4x^3$  0.4106  
\n $f'''(x) = 4x^3$  0.411  
\n $f'''(x) = 4x^2$   
\n $= 4.08$   
\n $f'''(x) = 4x^3$  0.412  
\n $f'''(x) = 12.08$   
\n $f'''(x) = 12.0$ 

perme permettes por me first dirivative  $g_+$  y=  $f(x)$  of  $o(h^2)$  using derivative (i) Forunned difference approximations. (ii) back wood difference approximations. (iii) contral difference approximations, when  $f(x) = \sin x$ , estemate  $f'(\pi_A)$  with  $h = \frac{\pi}{12}$ wing the above formula obtain the bounds on<br>using the above formula obtain the bounds on exact solution. i) Neuton's Forward difference formula is given  $f(x) \approx f_0 + \cup \Delta f_0 + \frac{1}{2} u(u-1) \Delta^2 f_0$  $|b|$ where  $u = \frac{(x - x_0)}{L}$  and  $E = \frac{1}{4}$  u(u-i)(u-2)  $h^3 f'''(\xi)$ we have  $f'(x) = \frac{df}{du} \cdot \frac{du}{dx}$  $=\frac{1}{h} \left[ \Delta f_0 + \frac{1}{2} \left( 2u - 1 \right) \Delta^2 f_0 \right]$ and  $|E'(x_0)| = |E'(u=0)|$  $\leq \frac{h^2}{2}$  M<sub>3</sub> where  $M_3 = max \quad |f'''(x)|$  $26 \leq x \leq x_2$ 11) Newton's backwoord difference approximation is given by  $f(x) = f_2 + u \nabla f_2 + \frac{1}{2} u(u+1) \nabla^2 f_2$ where  $u = \frac{2c-2c_2}{h}$  and  $E = \frac{1}{6} u (u + i) (u + 2) h^3 f'''(\xi)$ We have  $f'(x) = \frac{1}{h} [ \nabla f_2 + \frac{1}{2} (2u + 1) \nabla^2 f_2 ]$ 

and 
$$
|E'(x_2)| = |E'(u_20)| \le \frac{1}{2}M_3
$$
  
\nii) The (entxal difference approximation is given by  
\n
$$
\int |x| = |E'(u_20)| \le \frac{1}{2}h \left[\frac{5}{2} \int_{y_2} + \frac{5}{2} \int_{z_2} -1 \int_{z_2} \int_{z_2}
$$

$$
x_{2} = \pi_{\mu}, x_{1} = \pi_{\beta}, x_{0} = \pi_{\gamma_{2}}, u = 0
$$
\n
$$
f'(\pi_{\mu}) = \frac{12}{\pi} \left( \sqrt{3}x + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{3}x \right)
$$
\n
$$
\sqrt{1}x = f(x_{2}) - f(x_{1})
$$
\n
$$
= f(\pi_{\mu}) - f(\pi_{\mu})
$$
\n
$$
= \sin(\pi_{\mu}) - \sin(\pi_{\mu})
$$
\n
$$
= \sin(\pi_{\mu}) - 2\sin(\pi_{\mu})
$$
\n
$$
= 0.2041
$$
\n
$$
\sqrt{3}x = \frac{1}{\pi} \left( \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{2}{\pi} \left( \frac{x_{1}}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{x_{0}}{2} \right) + \sin(\pi_{\mu}) \right)
$$
\n
$$
= 0.0341
$$
\n
$$
f'(\pi_{\mu}) = \frac{12}{\pi} \left[ \frac{\pi}{2} \left( \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{\pi}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{\pi}{2} \right) \right]
$$
\n
$$
= 0.4311
$$
\n
$$
f'(\pi_{\mu}) = \frac{12}{\pi} \left[ \frac{\pi}{2} \left( \frac{\pi}{2} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\pi}{2} \right) \right]
$$
\n
$$
= 0.6111
$$
\n
$$
= 0.7411
$$
\n
$$
=
$$

 $\mathbf{y}$ 

r

Ľ

 $\overline{r}$ 

 $\ddot{ }$ 

 $1.11$  M  $1 -$ 

 $\cdot$ 

j

$$
M_{3} = \frac{max}{\frac{\pi}{12} \le x \le \pi_{j_{4}}}
$$
\n
$$
|E| \le \left(\frac{x}{3}\right)M_{3}=0.0099
$$
\n
$$
M_{3} = \frac{Max}{\frac{\pi}{6} \le x \le \frac{\pi}{3}}
$$
\n
$$
M_{3} = \frac{Max}{\frac{\pi}{6} \le x \le \frac{\pi}{3}}
$$
\n
$$
M_{3} = \frac{Max}{\frac{\pi}{6} \le x \le \frac{\pi}{3}}
$$
\n
$$
A \text{ differentiation rule of the form}
$$
\n
$$
h_{3}^{11}(x_{3}) = 40 \text{ ft} \cdot 1 = 60 \text{ ft}
$$
\n
$$
h_{3}^{11}(x_{4}) = 40 \text{ ft} \cdot 1 = 60 \text{ ft}
$$
\n
$$
h_{3}^{11}(x_{5}) = 40 \text{ ft} \cdot 1 = 60 \text{ ft}
$$
\n
$$
h_{3}^{11}(x_{6}) = 40 \text{ ft} \cdot 1 = 60 \text{ ft}
$$
\n
$$
h_{3}^{11}(x_{7}) = 1 = 60 \text{ ft}
$$
\n
$$
h_{3}^{11}(x_{8}) = 60 \text{ ft} \cdot 1 = 60 \text{ ft}
$$
\n
$$
h_{3}^{11}(x_{9}) = 60 \text{ ft} \cdot 1 = 60 \text{ ft}
$$
\n
$$
h_{3}^{11}(x_{1}) = 60 \text{ ft}
$$
\n
$$
h_{3}^{11}(x_{1}) = 60 \text{ ft}
$$
\n
$$
h_{3}^{11}(x_{1}) = 60 \text{ ft}
$$
\n
$$
h_{3}^{11}(x_{2}) = 60 \text{ ft}
$$
\n
$$
h_{3}^{11}(x_{3}) = 60 \text{
$$

 $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ 

$$
f(x_{c}+h) = f(x_{c}) + f'(x_{c})h + \frac{1}{2} \frac{r(x_{c})}{x_{c}}h^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{r(x_{c})}{x_{c}}h^{3} + \dots
$$
\n
$$
x_{c} + x_{1} + x_{2} + x_{3} = 0 \longrightarrow 0
$$
\n
$$
-2x_{c} - x_{1} + x_{2} + x_{3} = 0 \longrightarrow 0
$$
\n
$$
+x_{0} + x_{1} + x_{2} + x_{3} = 0 \longrightarrow 0
$$
\n
$$
-x_{0} - x_{1} + x_{2} + x_{3} = 0 \longrightarrow 0
$$
\n
$$
-x_{0} - x_{1} + x_{2} + x_{3} = 0 \longrightarrow 0
$$
\n
$$
x_{0} + x_{1} + x_{2} + x_{3} = 0 \longrightarrow 0
$$
\n
$$
x_{0} + x_{1} + x_{2} + x_{3} = 0 \longrightarrow 0
$$
\n
$$
x_{0} + x_{1} + x_{2} + x_{3} = 0 \longrightarrow 0
$$
\n
$$
x_{0} + x_{1} + x_{2} + x_{3} = 0 \longrightarrow 0
$$
\n
$$
x_{0} + x_{1} + x_{2} + x_{3} = 0 \longrightarrow 0
$$
\n
$$
x_{0} + x_{1} + x_{2} + x_{3} = 0 \longrightarrow 0
$$
\n
$$
x_{0} + x_{1} + x_{2} + x_{3} = 0 \longrightarrow 0
$$
\n
$$
x_{0} + x_{2} + x_{3} = 0 \longrightarrow 0
$$
\n
$$
x_{0} + x_{1} + x_{2} + x_{3} = 0 \longrightarrow 0
$$
\n
$$
x_{0} + x_{1} + x_{2} + x_{3} = 0 \longrightarrow 0
$$
\n
$$
x_{0} + x_{1} + x_{2} + x_{3} = 0 \longrightarrow 0
$$
\n
$$
x_{0} + x_{1} + x_{2} + x_{3} = 0 \longrightarrow 0
$$
\n
$$
x_{1} + x_{2} + x_{3} = 0 \longrightarrow 0
$$
\n
$$
x_{1} + x_{2} + x_{3} = 0 \longrightarrow 0
$$
\n

$$
|\mathcal{R}E| = \frac{1}{ph} |e_{b} - 8e_{1} + 8e_{2} - e_{3}|
$$
\n
$$
\frac{2}{3h}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{3e}{2h}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{1}{2h} \text{where } e = max [|e_{b}|, |e_{1}|, |e_{3}|, |e_{3}|]
$$
\n
$$
\frac{2in(a, 1)}{2} = 0.09983
$$
\n
$$
Sin(a, 1) = 0.09983
$$
\n
$$
Sin(a, 2) = 0.19867
$$
\n
$$
Sin(a, 3) = 0.19867
$$
\n
$$
Sin(a, 4) = 0.38942
$$
\n
$$
Sin(a, 5) = 0.47943
$$
\n
$$
f'(0.3) = \frac{1}{1.2} [0.09983 - 8(0.19867) + 8(0.38949) - 0.47943]
$$
\n
$$
= 0.95534
$$
\n
$$
= 0.95534
$$
\nThe exact value is

\n
$$
f'(0.3) = Cos(0.3)
$$
\n
$$
= 0.95534
$$
\nAssume that  $f(x)$  has a minimum with the interval  $2n\bar{p} \le x \le 2n\bar{p}$  to the value of  $f(x)$  by a polynomial of second degree yields the polynomial of second degree yields the polynomial  $\bar{p} = -\frac{1}{8} \left[ \frac{(fn\bar{p} + n - f\bar{p} - 1)^2}{fn\bar{p} + n - 2fh + fn\bar{p}} \right], \quad (fr = 5)(2x)$ \nSo

\nSo

\nThe differential polynomial function of  $f(x)$ .

\nSo

\nThe hyperbola  $(x_{n-1}, f_{n-1}) = (x_{n}, f_{n})$  and  $(x_{n+1}, f_{n+1})$ 

\nCan be to written as,

 $f(x) = f_{n-1} + \frac{1}{h} (x - x_{n-1}) \Delta f_{n-1} + \frac{1}{n^2 h^2} (x - x_{n-1})$  $(x - x_n) \Delta^2 + n - \sqrt{D}$ For minimum value of  $f(x)$ ,  $f'(x) = 0$  which gives,  $\pm$  (x-xn-1)  $\Delta$  fn-1 +  $\frac{1}{2h^2}$  [2x - (xn-1 +xn)]  $\Delta^2$ fn-1 =0  $(0)$  $lim_{n \to \infty} = \frac{1}{2}$   $(x_n - x_{n-1}) - h \frac{\Delta f_{n-1}}{2}$ Sub this value of x in 1 a<sup>2fn-1</sup> obtain the  $minimum$  value of  $f(x)$  as,  $f_{min} = f_{n-1} + \frac{1}{n} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1} \right) - h \frac{\Delta f_{n-1}}{\Delta^2 f_{n-1}} \right] \Delta f_{n-1}$  $+ \frac{1}{2h^2} \left[ \frac{1}{2} (x_n - x_{n-1}) - h \frac{\Delta f_{n-1}}{\Delta^2 f_{n-1}} \right]$  X  $\left[\frac{1}{2}(x_{n+1}-x_n)-h\frac{\Delta f_{n-1}}{\Delta^2 f_{n-1}}\right]\Delta^2 f_{n-1}.$ 6. Determine the optimal value of h, using the Criteria  $(i)$   $[RE] = [TE]$  $(i) |RE| + |TE|$  = minimum Using This method and value of h obtained<br>from the Criterian REI=ITE determine an approximate value of  $f'(0.0)$  forom the following tabulated values of  $f(x) = \ln x$  $X$  2.0 2.0  $2.0$  2.0 2.12  $f(x)$  0.69315 0.69813 0.70310 0.72271 0.75142 If  $\epsilon_0$ ,  $\epsilon_1$ ,  $\epsilon_2$  are the round off arror in  $Soln$ : function evaluation forfir f2 respectively then we have

$$
f'(x_0) = -\frac{3f_0 + 4f_1 - f_2}{ah} + \frac{-3f_0 + 4f_1 - f_2}{ah} + \frac{-3f_0 + 4f_1 - f_2}{ah} + \frac{h_2^2}{3}f_2^2
$$
  
\n
$$
= -\frac{3f_0 + 4f_1 - f_2}{ah} + \frac{h_2^2}{ah} + \frac{h_1^2}{2}
$$
  
\n
$$
= -\frac{3f_0 + 4f_1 - f_2}{ah} + \frac{h_1^2}{ah} + \frac{h_2^2}{2}
$$
  
\nUsing  $\mathcal{E} = \max \left\{ |f'''(x)| \right\}$   
\n
$$
M_3 = \max \left\{ |f'''(x)| \right\}
$$
  
\nWe obtain  $|RE| \le \frac{8\epsilon}{ah}$ ,  $|TE| \le \frac{h^2 M_3}{a}$   
\nWe obtain  $|RE| \le \frac{8\epsilon}{ah}$ ,  $|TE| \le \frac{h^2 M_3}{a}$   
\n
$$
\frac{8\epsilon}{ah} = \frac{h^2 M_3}{3}
$$
  
\nWe use  $|RE| = |TE|$  we get  
\n
$$
h^3 = \frac{1.2\epsilon}{M_3}
$$
  
\n
$$
(or) \text{ hopt} = \left( \frac{1.2\epsilon}{M_3} \right)^{1/3}
$$
  
\nand  $|RE| = |TE| = \frac{8\epsilon}{\sqrt[3]{(\frac{12\epsilon}{M_3})}}^{1/3}$   
\n
$$
= \frac{8\epsilon}{a(n)^{1/3} \epsilon^{1/3}}
$$
  
\n
$$
= \frac{4\epsilon^{2/3} M_3^{1/3}}{(\frac{12}{3})^{\frac{1}{3}}}
$$
  
\nWe use in (12.1)<sup>3</sup>  
\n
$$
|RE| + |TE| = \frac{minimum}{a}
$$
, we get

$$
\frac{8e}{a^{2}h} + \frac{M_{3}h^{2}}{3} = \text{minimum}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{4e}{h} + \frac{M_{3}h^{2}}{3} = 0
$$
\n
$$
\frac{4e}{h^{2}} + \frac{M_{3}h^{2}}{3} = 0
$$
\n
$$
-4e \times 3 + 2 M_{3}h^{3} = 0
$$
\n
$$
-4e \times 3 + 2 M_{3}h^{3} = 0
$$
\n
$$
-4e \times 3 + 2 M_{3}h^{3} = 0
$$
\n
$$
-4e \times 3 + 2 M_{3}h^{3} = 0
$$
\n
$$
-4e \times 3 + 2 M_{3}h^{3} = 0
$$
\n
$$
-4e \times 3 + 2 M_{3}h^{3} = 0
$$
\n
$$
-4e \times 3 + 2 M_{3}h^{3} = 0
$$
\n
$$
-4e \times 3 + 2 M_{3}h^{3} = 0
$$
\n
$$
-4e \times 3 + 2 M_{3}h^{3} = 0
$$
\n
$$
-4e \times 3 + 2 M_{3}h^{3} = 0
$$
\n
$$
-4e \times 3 + 2 M_{3}h^{3} = 0
$$
\n
$$
-4e \times 3 + 2 M_{3}h^{3} = 0
$$
\n
$$
-4e \times 3 + 2 M_{3}h^{3} = 0
$$
\n
$$
-4e \times 3 + 2 M_{3}h^{3} = 0
$$
\n
$$
-4e \times 3 + 2 M_{3}h^{3} = 0
$$
\n
$$
-4e \times 3 + 2 M_{3}h^{3} = 0
$$
\n
$$
-4e \times 3 + 2 M_{3}h^{3} = 0
$$
\n
$$
-4e \times 3 + 2 M_{3}h^{3} = 0
$$
\n
$$
-4e \times 3 + 2 M_{3}h^{3} = 0
$$
\n
$$
-4e \times 3 + 2 M_{3}h^{3} = 0
$$
\n
$$
-4e \times 3 + 2 M_{3}h^{3} = 0
$$
\n<

## $= 6.49850$

The laact value is  $f'(2.0) = 0.5$ . This verifies that for h< hopt, the results deteriorate. Consider the 4 point formula,  $f'(x_2) = \frac{1}{6h} \left[ -2 f(x_1) - 3 f(x_2) + b f(x_3) - f(x_4) \right]$ where  $x_j = x_0 + jh$ ,  $j = 4, 2, 3, 4$  and  $TE$ ,  $RE$ are respectively the truncation error and i) Determine tre form of TE and RE. round off error. ii) obtain the optimum step length h satisfying The exitesion (TE) = [RE]. iii) Determine the total error. TE =  $f'(x_2) - \frac{1}{bh}$   $[-2f(x_1) - 3f(x_2) + bf(x_3) - f(x_4)]$  $\mathcal{S}$ oln:  $= f'(x_1) - \frac{1}{6h} \left[ -2f(x_2 - h) - 3f(x_2) + 6f(x_2 + h) \right]$  $-f(x_{2}+2h)$ =  $f'(x_2) - \frac{1}{6h} \left[ -2f\left( (x_2) - hf'(x_2) - \frac{h^2}{2} f''(x_2) \right) \right]$  $-\frac{h^3}{b}f'''(\alpha_2) + \frac{h^4}{24}f^{(\mu)}(\alpha_2)+\frac{1}{2}$  $=f'(x_2) + \frac{1}{3h}f(x_2) + \frac{f'(x_2)}{h} - \frac{h}{12}f''(x_2)^+$  $\frac{h^2}{36}$  f "(x<sub>2</sub>)  $-\frac{h^3}{24(b)}$  f (4) (x<sub>2</sub>)  $-\frac{1}{6h}$  [-3f(x<sub>2</sub>)  $+6f(x_2) +6h f'(x_2) +3h^2f''(x_2)+h^3f'''(x_2)$  $3h^{4} f^{(4)}(x_{2}) - f(x_{2}) - 2h f'(x_{2}) - \frac{4h^{2}}{2}$  $f''(x_2) - g h^3 f'''(x_2) - \frac{2}{3} h^4 f^{4/2}(x_2)$ 

$$
= \int (x_3) + \frac{1}{3h} \int (x_2) + \frac{1}{2h} \int (x_3) + \frac{1}{2h} \int (x_3) + \frac{1}{2h} \int (x_3) + \frac{h^2}{2h} \int (x_3) + \frac{h^3}{2h} \int (x_3) + \frac{1}{2h} \int (x_2) - \frac{1}{2h} \int (x_3) + \frac{1}{3h} \int
$$

 $\ddot{\phantom{0}}$ 

$$
\frac{2}{5} \int_{1}^{2} f(x) dx = \int_{1}^{2} f(x) dx = \int_{1}^{2} f(x) dx
$$
\n
$$
\frac{2}{5} \int_{1}^{2} f(x) dx = \int_{1}^{2} f(x) dx = \int_{1}^{2} f(x) dx
$$
\n
$$
\frac{2}{5} \int_{1}^{2} f(x) dx = \int_{1}^{2} f(x) dx
$$
\n
$$
\frac{2}{5} \int_{1}^{2} f(x) dx = \int_{1}^{2} f(x) dx
$$
\n
$$
\frac{2}{5} \int_{1}^{2} f(x) dx = \int_{1}^{2} f(x) dx
$$
\n
$$
\frac{2}{5} \int_{1}^{2} f(x) dx = \int_{1}^{2} f(x) dx
$$
\n
$$
\frac{2}{5} \int_{1}^{2} f(x) dx = \int_{1}^{2} f(x) dx
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{2h} \int_{1}^{2} f(x) dx = \int_{1}^{2} f(x) dx
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{2h} \int_{1}^{2} f(x) dx = \int_{1}^{2} f(x) dx
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{2h} \int_{1}^{2} f(x) dx = \int_{1}^{2} f(x) dx
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{2h} \int_{1}^{2} f(x) dx = \int_{1}^{2} f(x) dx
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{2h} \int_{1}^{2} f(x) dx = \int_{1}^{2} f(x) dx
$$
\n
$$
= \int_{1}^{2} f(x) dx = \int_{1}^{2} f(x) dx
$$
\n
$$
= \int_{1}^{2} f(x) dx = \int_{1}^{2} f(x) dx
$$
\n
$$
= \int_{1}^{2} f(x) dx = \int_{1}^{2} f(x) dx
$$
\n
$$
= \int_{1}^{2} f(x) dx = \int_{1}^{2} f(x) dx
$$
\n
$$
= \int_{1}^{2} f(x) dx = \int_{1}^{2} f(x) dx
$$
\n
$$
= \int_{1}^{2} f(x) dx = \int_{1}^{2} f(x) dx
$$
\n
$$
= \int_{1}^{2} f
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{2}(4+4y_{0}) - \frac{1}{2}(4+4y_{0})
$$
  
\n
$$
y'(0.318) \approx -\frac{y(0.400) + 4y(0.319) - y(0.318)}{-x(0.001)}
$$
  
\n
$$
= 1.0795
$$
  
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{3} \times 10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}
$$
  
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{3} \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}
$$
  
\n
$$
= 1.0700
$$
  
\n
$$
= 1.070
$$

$$
\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\right) (x_{i}, y_{j}) = \frac{f_{i,j+1} - f_{i,j-1}}{\hat{a}k}
$$
\nwith  $h=k=1$ .  
\n
$$
\sinh^{-1} \ln \arcsin \arctan \arctan \frac{1}{\hat{a}k} \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}
$$
\n
$$
J = \left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\right]
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial x-1}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial x-1}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial x-1}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{f_{1}(1+h, 1) - f_{1}(1+h, 1)}{\hat{a}} - \frac{f_{1}(2+h) - f_{1}(1+h, 1)}{\hat{a}}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{f_{1}(2+h) - f_{1}(1+h, 1)}{\hat{a}}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{f_{1}(1, 2) - f_{1}(1, 0)}{\hat{a}}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1 + \hat{b}}{\hat{a}} = \hat{a}
$$
\n
$$
\left(\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial y}\right)_{(1,1)} = \frac{f_{2}(1+h, 1) - f_{2}(1, 1+h, 1)}{\hat{a}k}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1 + \hat{b}}{\hat{a}} = \hat{a}
$$
\n
$$
\left(\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial x}\right)_{(1,1)} = \frac{f_{2}(1+h, 1) - f_{2}(1+h, 1)}{\hat{a}h}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1 + \hat{b}}{\hat{a}} = \hat{a}
$$
\n
$$
\left(\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial x}\right)_{(1,1)} = \frac{f_{2}(1
$$

$$
\left(\frac{\partial f_2}{\partial y}\right)(t, t) = \frac{f_2(t, t) + K - f_3(t, t) + K}{4K}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{f_2(t, t) - f_2(t, t) + K}{2}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{f_2(t, t) - f_3(t, t) + K}{2}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{f_2(t, t) - f_2(t, t) + K}{2}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{f_2(t, t) - f_3(t, t) + K}{2}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{f_2(t, t) - f_2(t, t) + K}{2}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{f_2(t, t) - f_3(t, t) + K}{2}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{f_2(t, t) - f_3(t, t) + K}{2}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{f_2(t, t) - f_3(t, t) + K}{2}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{f_2(t, t) - f_3(t, t) + K}{2}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{f_2(t, t) - f_3(t, t) + K}{2}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{f_2(t, t) - f_3(t, t) + K}{2}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{f_2(t, t) - f_3(t, t) + K}{2}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{f_2(t, t) - f_3(t, t)}{2}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{f_2(t, t) - f_3(t, t)}{2}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{f_2(t, t) - f_3(t, t)}{2}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{f_2(t, t) - f_3(t, t)}{2}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{f_2(t, t) - f_3(t, t)}{2}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{f_2(t, t) - f_3(t, t)}{2}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{f_2(t, t) - f_3(t, t)}{2}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{f_2(t, t) - f_3(t, t)}{2}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{f_2(t, t) - f_3(t, t)}{2}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{f_2(t, t) - f_3(t, t)}{2}
$$
\n<math display="</math>

 $\mathcal{L}$ 

$$
x = \left(\frac{b-4}{2}\right) + \left(\frac{b+4}{2}\right)
$$
\n
$$
= \left(\frac{3-1}{2}\right) + \left(\frac{2+1}{2}\right)
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{3}{2} = \frac{1+3}{2}
$$
\n
$$
dx = \frac{d}{2}
$$
\n
$$
J = \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{\sqrt{1 + 3}}{1 + \left(\frac{1 + 3}{2}\right)^4} \frac{dt}{dt}
$$
\n
$$
= \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{1 + 3}{1 + \left(\frac{1 + 3}{2}\right)^4} \frac{dt}{dt}
$$
\n
$$
= \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{1 + 3}{1 + \left(\frac{1 + 3}{2}\right)^4} \frac{dt}{t^2}
$$
\n
$$
= \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{1 + 3}{1 + 1 + 1 + 3} \frac{dt}{t^2}
$$
\n
$$
= \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{8(1+3)}{1 + 1 + 1 + 3} \frac{dt}{t^2}
$$
\n
$$
= \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{1 + 1 + 1 + 3}{1 + 1 + 1 + 3} \frac{dt}{t^2}
$$
\n
$$
= \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{1 + 1 + 1 + 3}{1 + 1 + 1 + 3} \frac{dt}{t^2}
$$
\n
$$
= \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{1 + 1 + 1 + 3}{1 + 1 + 1 + 3} \frac{dt}{t^2}
$$
\n
$$
= \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{1 + 1 + 3}{1 + 1 + 1 + 3} \frac{dt}{t^2}
$$
\n
$$
= \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{1 + 1 + 3}{1 + 1 + 1 + 3} \frac{dt}{t^2}
$$
\n
$$
= \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{1 + 3}{1 + 1 + 3} \frac{dt}{t^2}
$$
\n
$$
= \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{1 + 3}{1 + 1 + 3} \frac{dt}{t^2}
$$
\n
$$
= \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{1 + 3}{1 + 1 + 1 +
$$

$$
T = 0.3842 + 0.1592
$$
  
\n= 0.5434  
\n= 0.5434  
\nUsing 3-pt,  $3.42 + 0.1592$   
\n
$$
T = \frac{1}{9} \left[ 5 \left( \frac{3}{5} \right) + 8 \left( \frac{1}{9} \right) + 5 \left( \frac{3}{5} \right) \right]
$$
  
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{9} \left[ 5 (0.4393) + 8 (0.241) + 5 (0.1379) \right]
$$
  
\n
$$
T = 0.5406
$$
  
\n
$$
T = 0.5406
$$
  
\n
$$
T = \frac{1}{9} \left[ 5 (0.4393) + 8 (0.241) + 5 (0.1379) \right]
$$
  
\n
$$
T = 0.5406
$$
  
\n
$$
T = \frac{1}{9} \left[ 5 (0.4393) + 8 (0.241) + 5 (0.1379) \right]
$$
  
\n
$$
T = 0.5406
$$
  
\n
$$
T = \frac{1}{9} \left[ 5 (0.4393) + 8 (0.241) + 5 (0.1379) \right]
$$
  
\n
$$
T = \frac{1}{9} \left[ 5 (0.4393) + 8 (0.241) + 5 (0.1379) \right]
$$
  
\n
$$
T = \frac{1}{9} \left[ 5 (0.41) + 5 (0.241) + 5 (0.1379) \right]
$$
  
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{9} \left[ \frac{1}{9} \left( \frac{1}{10} \right) + \left( \frac{1}{10} \right) \right]
$$
  
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{10} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{10} \right) + \left( \frac{1}{10} \right) \right]
$$
  
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{(10+1)^2 + 2 + 1 + 2} \right]
$$
  
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{1
$$

 $\lambda$ 

Lobalto 3-pt formula is  $f(-1) = \frac{1}{(-1+1)^2 + 2(-1)+1} = \frac{1}{2}$  $f(0) = \frac{1}{4}$  $f(1) = \frac{1}{(1+1)^2 + 2(1) + 1} = \frac{1}{10}$  $T = \frac{1}{3} \left[ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{10} \right]$  $= 0.46667$ The local soli is given by,  $T = \int_{0}^{1} \frac{dx}{2x^2+2x+1}$ Methods Boused on interpolation. Guiven the values of  $f(x)$  at a set of points xo, x, ... xn, the general approach for deriving the numerical differentiation methods h to 1st obteun the interpolating polynomial Prior) and then differentierte this polynomial 2 temes (n>v) to get  $P_n^{(\tau)}(x)$ . The value of  $P_n^{(r)}(x_R)$  gives the approximate value of  $f^{(r)}(x)$  at the modal point  $x_k$ . It may be noted that through  $Pn(x)$  .  $f(x)$  have seeme values at the nodal points. yet the derivative may differ considerably at there points. The approximation may further, deteriorate as the ander of derivative increases. The quantity

 $E^{(\tau)}(x) = f^{(\tau)}(x) - P_n^{(\tau)}(x)$ .

 $\hat{p}_i$  called the error of approximation is  $t_{i,j}$ 

$$
\Rightarrow N \text{ in - } unit form \text{ Nodal point:}
$$
\n
$$
\exists \{ (x_i, f_i) \mid i = 0, 1, \ldots, n \text{ one } n+1 \text{ distinct}
$$
\n
$$
\exists \{ (x_i, f_i) \mid i = 0, 1, \ldots, n \text{ even } n \text{ distinct} \}
$$
\n
$$
\downarrow \text{the line } \exists x_i \text{ (in } x_i \text{ and } x_i \text{ (in } x_i \text{)}
$$
\n
$$
\downarrow \text{the line } \exists x_i \text{ (in } x_i \text{ and } x_i \text{ (in } x_i \text{)}
$$
\n
$$
\downarrow \text{the line } \exists x_i \text{ (in } x_i \text{ and } x_i \text{ (in } x_i \text{)}
$$
\n
$$
\downarrow \text{the line } \exists x_i \text{ (in } x_i \text{ and } x_i \text{ (in } x_i \text{)}
$$
\n
$$
\downarrow \text{the line } \exists x_i \text{ (in } x_i \text{ and } x_i \text{ (in } x_i \text{)}
$$
\n
$$
\downarrow \text{the line } \exists x_i \text{ (in } x_i \text{ and } x_i \text{ (in } x_i \text{)}
$$
\n
$$
\downarrow \text{the line } \exists x_i \text{ (in } x_i \text{ and } x_i \text{ (in } x_i \text{)}
$$
\n
$$
\downarrow \text{the line } \exists x_i \text{ (in } x_i \text{)}
$$
\n
$$
\downarrow \text{the line } \exists x_i \text{ (in } x_i \text{)}
$$
\n
$$
\downarrow \text{the line } \exists x_i \text{ (in } x_i \text{)}
$$
\n
$$
\downarrow \text{the line } \exists x_i \text{ (in } x_i \text{)}
$$
\n
$$
\downarrow \text{the line } \exists x_i \text{ (in } x_i \text{)}
$$
\n
$$
\downarrow \text{the line } \exists x_i \text{ (in } x_i \text{)}
$$
\n
$$
\downarrow \text{the line } \exists x_i \text{ (in } x_i \text{)}
$$
\n
$$
\downarrow \text{the line } \exists x_i \text{ (in } x_i \text{)}
$$
\n
$$
\downarrow \text{the line } \exists x_i \text{ (in } x_i \text{)}
$$
\n
$$
\downarrow \text{
$$

The function 
$$
f_1(x)
$$
 in the  $2^{\pi}$  term on the Ris  
\n $u_nh_n(u,v)$  we cannot directly evaluate  $Er'(x)$ .  
\n $u_n(u,v)$  we get,  
\n $u_n(u,v)$  are  $u_n(u)$  when  $u_n(u)$  we get,  
\n $u_n(u)$  be  $u_n(u)$  when  $u_n(u)$  by  $u_n(u)$  when  
\n $u_n(u)$  we obtain  $u_n(u)$  by  $u_n(u)$  by  $u_n(u)$   
\n $u_n(u)$  by  $u_n(u)$  when  $u_n(u)$  by  $u_n(u)$   
\n $u_n(u)$  by using the *z* relation.  
\n $u_n(u)$  by using the *z* function.  
\n $u_n(u)$  by  $u_n(u)$  by  $u_n(u)$  by  $u_n(u)$   
\n $u_n(u)$  by using the *z* function.  
\n $u_n(u)$  by  $u_n(u)$  by  $u_n(u)$  by  $u_n(u)$   
\n $u_n(u)$  by  $u_n(u)$ 

 $\sim$ 

ii) 
$$
\theta
$$
uadrable. Integrable  
\nFor quadratic differentiation, we have  
\n
$$
L_{p}(x) = \frac{(x-x_{1})(x-x_{2})}{(x_{0}-x_{1})(x_{0}-x_{2})}, \quad L_{p}(x) = \frac{2x-x_{0}-x_{2}}{(x_{0}-x_{1})(x_{0}-x_{2})}
$$
\n
$$
L_{p}(x) = \frac{(x-x_{0})(x-x_{2})}{(x_{1}-x_{0})(x_{1}-x_{2})}, \quad L_{p}(x) = \frac{2x-x_{0}-x_{1}}{(x_{1}-x_{0})(x_{1}-x_{2})}
$$
\n
$$
L_{2}(x) = \frac{(x-x_{0})(x-x_{1})}{(x_{2}-x_{0})(x_{2}-x_{1})}, \quad L_{3}(x) = \frac{2x-x_{0}-x_{1}}{(x_{2}-x_{0})(x_{2}-x_{1})}
$$
\n
$$
L_{3}(x) = \frac{L_{3}(x) + L_{4}(x) + L_{4}(x) + L_{4}(x) + L_{5}(x) + L_{6}(x) + L_{7}(x) + L_{8}(x) + L_{9}(x) + L_{1}(x) + L_{1}(x) + L_{1}(x) + L_{2}(x) + L_{1}(x) + L_{1}(x) + L_{2}(x) + L_{1}(x) + L_{2}(x) + L_{3}(x) + L_{4}(x) + L_{5}(x) + L_{6}(x) + L_{7}(x) + L_{8}(x) + L_{9}(x) + L_{1}(x) + L_{1}(x) + L_{1}(x) + L_{1}(x) + L_{2}(x) + L_{1}(x) + L_{2}(x) + L_{3}(x) + L_{4}(x) + L_{5}(x) + L_{6}(x) + L_{7}(x) + L_{8}(x) + L_{9}(x) + L_{1}(x) + L_{1}(x) + L_{1}(x) + L_{1}(x) + L_{2}(x) + L_{1}(x) + L_{2}(x) + L_{3}(x) + L_{4}(x) + L_{5}(x) + L_{6}(x) + L_{7}(x) + L_{8}(x) + L_{9}(x) + L_{1}(x) + L_{1
$$

 $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n$ 

 $\bf{)}$ 

where 
$$
x_1, y_1, y_2 \in (x_0, x_3)
$$
  
\nsimilarity is related to the obtained at  $x_1x_1$   
\n $y_1$  when the digit of the  $x_0, x_1, ..., x_n$  are  
\n $y_n$  when the digit of the  $x_0, x_1, ..., x_n$  are  
\n $y_n$  when the digit of the  $x_0, x_1, ..., x_n$  are  
\n $y_n$  when the digit of the  $x_0, x_1, ..., x_n$  are  
\n $y_n$  when the digit of the  $x_0, x_1, ..., x_n$  are  
\n $y_n$  when the digit of the  $x_0, x_1, ..., x_n$   
\n $f: f(x_1)$   
\n $f: f(x_1) \in P_1(x_0) = \frac{f_1 - f_0}{h} \rightarrow 0$   
\n $f'(x_1) \in P_1(x_0) = \frac{f_1 - f_0}{h} \rightarrow 0$   
\nand  $f_1(x_0) = f'(x_0) = \frac{f_1 - f_0}{h} \rightarrow 0$   
\n $f: (x_0) = f'(x_0) = \frac{f_1 - f_0}{h} \rightarrow 0$   
\n $f: (x_0) = \frac{f_1 - f_0}{h} \rightarrow 0$   
\n $f: (x_0) = \frac{f_1 - f_0}{h} \rightarrow 0$   
\n $f: (x_0) = \frac{f_1 - f_0}{h} \rightarrow 0$   
\n $f: (x_0) = \frac{f_1 - f_0}{h} \rightarrow 0$   
\n $f: (x_0) = \frac{f_1 - f_0}{h} \rightarrow 0$   
\n $f: (x_0) = \frac{f_1 - f_0}{h} \rightarrow 0$   
\n $f: (x_0) = \frac{f_1 - f_0}{h} \rightarrow 0$   
\n $f: (x_0) = \frac{f_1 - f_0}{h} \rightarrow 0$   
\n $f: (x_0) = \frac{f_1 - f_0}{h} \rightarrow 0$   
\n $f: (x_0) = \frac{f_1 - f_0}{h} \rightarrow 0$   
\n $f: (x_0) = \frac{$ 

Ĵ

ii) 
$$
\int \sqrt{1} \, dx
$$
  $\int \sqrt{1} \, dx$   $\int \sqrt{1} \, dx$ 

$$
= -\frac{h^{2}}{3} f''' (x_{0}) + \cdots
$$
\n
$$
= -\frac{h^{2}}{3} f''' (\xi_{1}) , \quad x_{0} \leq \xi \leq x_{2} \rightarrow 0
$$
\n
$$
= f'(x_{1}) - \frac{1}{2h} \left[ f(x_{1}h) - f(x_{1}) \right]
$$
\n
$$
= -\frac{h^{2}}{6} f''' (x_{1}) + \cdots
$$
\n
$$
= -\frac{h^{2}}{6} f''' (x_{1}) + \cdots
$$
\n
$$
= -\frac{h^{2}}{6} f''' (x_{1}) + \cdots
$$
\n
$$
= -\frac{h^{2}}{6} f''' (x_{1}) + \cdots
$$
\n
$$
= -\frac{h^{2}}{6} f''' (x_{1}) + \cdots
$$
\n
$$
= -\frac{h^{2}}{6} f''' (x_{1}) + \cdots
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{6} \left[ f(x_{2} - 2h) - 4f(x_{1}) + 3f(x_{2}) \right]
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{3} \left[ f(x_{2} - 2h) - 4f(x_{1}) + 3f(x_{2}) \right]
$$
\n
$$
= -\frac{h^{2}}{3} f''' (x_{2}) + \cdots
$$
\n
$$
= -\frac{h^{2}}{3} f''' (x_{2}) + \cdots
$$
\n
$$
= -\frac{h^{2}}{3} f''' (x_{2}) + \cdots
$$
\n
$$
= -\frac{h^{2}}{3} f''' (x_{2}) + \cdots
$$
\n
$$
= -\frac{h^{2}}{3} f''' (x_{2}) + \cdots
$$
\n
$$
= -\frac{h^{2}}{3} f''' (x_{2}) + \cdots
$$
\n
$$
= -\frac{h^{2}}{3} f''' (x_{2}) + \cdots
$$
\n
$$
= -\frac{h^{2}}{3} f''' (x_{2}) + \cdots
$$
\n
$$
= -\frac{h^{2}}{3} f''' (x_{2}) + \cdots
$$
\n
$$
= -\frac{h^{2}}{3} f''' (x_{2}) + \frac{h^{2}}{3} f(x_{2}) + \
$$

the taylor expansions are woulter Where about vo, v, and v2 respectively. We now define the order of a numerical differentiale, me thod. Definition! numerical differentiation method is  $\overline{A}$  $\bigoplus$ said to be order p if,  $|f^{(r)}(x)-p^{(r)}(x)| \le ch^p \rightarrow \circ$ where c is a constant independent of h. Thus, the methods  $f'(x_0) \approx P'(x_0) = \frac{f_1 - f_0}{h}$  $f''(x_2) \approx p_2'(x_2) = \frac{1}{L^2} [f_0-2f_1+f_2]$ cute of 1st order, where as methods  $f'(x_0) = \frac{1}{2h} \left[ -3 f_0 + 4 f_1 - f_2 \right]$  $f'(x_1) = \frac{1}{2h} [f_2 - f_0]$  $f'(x_2) = \frac{1}{2h} \int_{0}^{1} f_0 - 4 f_1 + 3 f_2$ and  $f''(x_1) \approx p_2''(x_1) = \frac{1}{h^2} [f_0 - 2f_1 + f_2]$  are of 2nd order Methods based on finite Differences: We consider the relation,  $E f(x) = f(x+h) = f(x) + h f'(x) + \frac{h^2}{2!} f''(x) + ...$ =  $(1 + hD + \frac{h^2D^2}{d!} + \cdots)$   $f(x)$ in which  $D = \frac{d}{dx}$  is called the clifferential<br>operator Symbolically, we get forom (D  $e^{hD} = E$  (or)  $hD = [gE]$ 

$$
A^{1,80}, \, S = (E^{1/2} - E^{-1/2}) = (e^{hD/2} - e^{-hD/2})
$$
\n
$$
= 2 \sinh(hD/2) \longrightarrow 0
$$

Thus, we have  
\n
$$
AD = Log \left(1 - x\right) = \frac{1}{2}x^{3} + \frac{1}{3}x^{3} + \cdots
$$
  
\n $\int Log (1-x) = \frac{1}{2}x^{3} + \frac{1}{3}x^{3} + \cdots$   
\n $\int Log (1-x) = \frac{1}{2}x^{3} + \frac{1}{3}x^{3} + \cdots$   
\n $AD = 2 sinh^{-1}(\delta/2) = \delta - \frac{1}{2^{2}3!} \delta^{3} + \cdots$   
\n $AD = 2 sinh^{-1}(\delta/2) = \delta - \frac{1}{2^{2}3!} \delta^{3} + \cdots$   
\n $\Rightarrow \int \Delta f_{K} - \frac{1}{2} \Delta^{2} f_{K} + \frac{1}{3} \Delta^{3} f_{K} + \cdots$   
\n $\Rightarrow \int \Delta f_{K} - \frac{1}{2} \Delta^{2} f_{K} + \frac{1}{3} \Delta^{3} f_{K} + \cdots$   
\n $\therefore U = \sqrt{\frac{1 + \delta^{2}}{4!}}$   
\n $U = \sqrt{\frac{1 - \delta^{2}}{4!}} = \frac{3 \sinh^{-1}(\delta/2)}{1 - \delta^{2} \cdot 3!}$   
\n $U = \sqrt{\frac{1 - \delta^{2}}{4!}} = \frac{3 \cdot \delta + \frac{1^{2} \cdot 2^{2}}{5!}}{1 - \delta^{2} \cdot 3!}$   
\n $$ 

$$
\begin{array}{ll}\n\text{[1] } \text{[1] } \text{
$$

 $\frac{6}{3}$ 

It can be verified that the methods (B & CD)<br>are of i<sup>st</sup> brider where as the method (IQ is<br>of and order. Methods boused on undetermined Co-efficients Numerical differentiation methods based on interpolating polynomials inpress f<sup>(v)</sup>(x)<br>as a linear combination of the values of f(x) at a set of pre-chosen tabuler point in the<br>method of undetermined co-efficients we express f<sup>(r)</sup> (x) as a linear compination of the values of f(x) at an artitrarily chosen set of tabular points. For eg, if we assume that the Labulars points are equispaced with step length to we wonte.  $h^r f^{(r)} (x_k) = \sum_{r=1}^{p} a_r f_{k+v} \longrightarrow 0$ for symmetric corrangement of takulari points,  $h^{\gamma} f^{(r)}(x_{k}) = \sum_{v=1}^{p} a_{v} f_{k+v} \rightarrow 0$ <br>for non-symmetric convargement of tabulous point. The local truncation error is defined by,  $E^{(r)}(x_{k}) = \frac{1}{h^{r}} [h^{r}f^{(r)}(x_{k}) - \sum_{v=0}^{p} a_{v}f_{k+v}] \rightarrow 0$  $\int_{E^{(r)}(x_k)} f(x_k) = \frac{1}{h^r} \left[ h^r f^{r}(x_k) - \frac{f}{x} a_v \, dx \right] \longrightarrow 0$  $(0)$ The co-efficients av's in (D 6r) (2) are determined by requiring the method to be of a positicular order we expand the RHS in  $\hat{\sigma}$  or  $\hat{\sigma}$  in Taylor's series about the point xx and on equating the co-efficients of various order derivative on both sides, we obtain the required number of

 $\big)$  (

equations to determine these to-efficients  
\nThe 1<sup>st</sup> non-zero to term in ③ (or) ② gives  
\nthe 2*r* or ④ approximation. As an ④9, *comid*  
\nthe value 
$$
x = a
$$
 and  $P = a$  in ① and ②4,  
\n
$$
h^2 f''(xk) = a - a f k - 2 + a - 1 f k - 1 + a_0 f k + a_1 f k + 1 + a_2 f k + 2
$$
\n
$$
= [a_{12} + a_{-1} + a_0 + a_1 + a_{21} + a_{12} + a_{21} + a_{11} + a_{22} + a_{22} + a_{21} + a_{21} + a_{22} + a
$$

Error =  $\frac{h^4}{a}$   $f^{\nu_1}(\xi)$ ,  $g_{\mu_2}(\xi)$   $\in \xi$   $\in$   $\Re_{k+2}$ Thus, the method (s) is of 4th order. optimum Choice of step length: In numerical differentiation methods, error approximation or the truncation errors, or of the form ch<sup>e</sup> which tends to 1016 as h-ro, How ever the method which approximations  $f^{(r)}(x)$  h<sup>r</sup> in the denominator. As h n<sup>1</sup> surroundy decreased to smaller values, the truncation liver de creases, but the round off error in the method may increase as we one dividing by a small number. It may happen that after a certain critical value of h, the riound error may become more dominant than the truncation error and the numerical results obtained may start co is further reduced. When flow is given in tabular form these values may not themselves be exact. These values contain the otound off lemons, that in  $f(x_i) = f_i + \epsilon_i$ , where  $f(x_i)$  is the exact value and fin the tabulated value To see the effect of this round off error in numerical différentieration method, we consider the method.  $f'(x_0) = f(x_1) - f(x_0) - \frac{h}{2} f''(\xi)$ ,  $x_0 < \xi < x_1 \rightarrow 0$ 

If the siound off virors in  $f(x_0)$  and  $f(x_1)$ are to and  $e_1$  respectively, then we have  $f'(x_0) = \frac{f_1 - f_0}{h} + \frac{\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_0}{h} - \frac{h}{2}$   $f''(\xi) \rightarrow 0$ 



(6) 
$$
f'(x_0) = \frac{f_1 \cdot f_0}{h} + RE + TE \rightarrow 0
$$
  
\nwhere  $RE$  and  $TE$  denote the should of  
\n $\therefore$  terms and truncation every respectively.  
\n $f'(x_0) = \frac{f_1 \cdot f_0}{h} + RE + TE \rightarrow 0$   
\nwhere  $RE$  and  $TE$  defined  $\therefore$   
\n $\therefore$   $CP$  is a product of  $CP$  and  $PL = max |f''(x)|$   
\nand  $M_2 = max |f''(x)|$   
\nand  $M_3 = max |f'''(x)|$   
\nthen, we get  
\n $|RE| = \frac{ae}{h}$ ,  $|TE| \le \frac{h}{2}M_2$ .  
\nWe may call that value of h as an  
\noptimal value for which one of the following  
\n $Cx$  is the  $Cx$  is the  $Cx$  is the  $Cx$  is the  $LCx$  is the  $LCx$ 

 $\mathbf{E}$ 

 $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ 

the local truncation error is always propositional to some pouror of h, the same lechnique can re used to determine an optimal value of h be any numerical method which approximates

 $f^{(r)}(x_{k}), x_{2}$ 

Extrapolation Methods!

Let g(h) denote the approximate value obtained by using a method of orderp, of 9, with step length h and g(qh) dende the value of g obtained by using the same method of order P. with step length 9h. we have,  $g(h) = g + ch^{p} + o(h^{p+1})$  $g(qh) = g + eq^p h^p + o(h^{p+1})$ 

Eliminating c forom the above equations, we get

$$
q = \frac{q^{p} g(h) - g(qh)}{q^{p-1}} + o(h^{p+1})
$$

Thus, we obtain

$$
g^{(1)}(h) = \frac{q^{p}g(h) - g(qh)}{q^{p-1}} = g + o(h^{p+1}) \rightarrow 0
$$

which is of order p+1, This fechnique of two Computed voilues obtained by using the same method with two different step sizes, to obtain a higher order method is called the Entropolation method Cer) - Richard son's extra polation.

If the local truncation error associated with the method is Known as a power series in h then by stepeating the latine polation procedure a numbor of times, we can obtain the methods of any contitrary order. The application of this procedure becomes when the step length form a geometric sequence. For simplicity, we generally take  $q=\frac{1}{2}$  . The illustrate the procedure we Consider the method.  $f'(x_0) = \frac{f_1 - f_{-1}}{ah} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$ 

where  $f_1 = f(x_0 + h)$  and  $f_1 = f(x_0 - h)$ . The local truncation error associated with the method (2) is obtained as.

 $E'(x_0) = C_1h^2 + C_2h^4 + C_3h^6 + \cdots$   $\rightarrow 6$ 

Where C1, C2, C3. core constants independent of h. Let grai=f'(xo) be the quantity which is to be obtained and g (h/2r) denote the approximate Value of g(x) obtained by using the method of with step length  $\frac{h}{a^r}$ ,  $r = 0.12...$ Thus we have

$$
g(h) = g(x) + C_1 h^2 + C_2 h^4 + C_3 h^{6} + ...
$$
  
\n
$$
g(\frac{h}{2}) = g(x) + C_1 \frac{h^2}{4} + \frac{C_2 h^4}{16} + \frac{C_3 h^6}{64} + ... \rightarrow 0
$$
  
\n
$$
g(\frac{h}{2}) = g(x) + C_1 \frac{h^2}{4} + \frac{C_2 h^4}{16} + \frac{C_3 h^6}{4096} + ...
$$

Eliminating ci forom the above egns we obtain  $g^{(1)}(h) = \frac{1}{1}g(h/2) - g(h)$ 

$$
g^{(1)}(\frac{h}{2}) = 49(\frac{h}{2}) - 3(\frac{h}{2})^{\frac{3}{6}}
$$

=  $g(x)$  -  $\frac{1}{64}$   $G - h^4$  -  $\frac{5}{1024}$   $G - h^6$  ...  $\rightarrow$  5 Thus,  $g^{(1)}(h)$ ,  $g^{(1)}(\frac{h}{2})$ ,  $g^{(ven)}(\frac{h}{2})$  are  $\frac{1}{2}$ approximations to grx) Eliminating a from 6  $use - obtain$ 

$$
q^{(3)}(h) = \frac{1}{h^{2}-1} \cdot q^{(1)}(\frac{h}{2}) - q^{(1)}(h) + \frac{1}{b\frac{h}{2}} c_{3} h^{\frac{h}{2}} + \cdots
$$
\nwhich gives an o(h<sup>h</sup>) approximation. Thus, the  
\nguticesive, higher order set the can be  
\nobtained from the formula  
\n
$$
q^{(m)}(h) = \frac{1}{h^{m}} \cdot q^{(m-1)}(\frac{h}{2}) - q^{(m-1)}(h)
$$
\nwhere  $q^{(n)}(h) = q(h)$   
\n
$$
q^{(n)}(h) = q(h)
$$
\n
$$
q^{(n)}(h) = q^{(n-1)}(h)
$$
\n<math display="</math>

for a given cirror to lerance e

 $\overline{1}$ 

Extrapolatron table

order second Foweth sixth Evgth<br>
h g(h)<br>
h/2 g(h/2)<br>
h/2 g(h/2)<br>
g'(h/2)<br>
g'(

Partial Differentiation.

We can use any of the three techniques discussed in the pose vious sections to obtain<br>numerical partial differentiation methods. We<br>consider only one Variable at a time and treat The remaining variables as Constants. we consider cheve a function  $f(x,y)$  of two variables only. Let the values of the function  $f(x,y)$  be given<br>at a set of point  $(x_i, y_i)$  in the  $(x_i, y)$  plane<br>with sparing h and k in x and y direction respectively we have.

 $x_i = x_0 + i h$   $y_j = y_0 + j k$ ,  $i, j = 1, 2...$ We can now won't

$$
\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right)_{(\mathbf{x}_{i},y_{j})} = \left\{\begin{array}{l}\left[f_{i+1,j} - f_{i,j}\right]/h_j + o(h) \\ \left[\int f_{i+1,j} - f_{i+1,j}\right]/h_j + o(h) \longrightarrow 0 \\ \left[\int f_{i+1,j} - f_{i-1,j}\right]/(2h_j) + o(h^2)\end{array}\right\}
$$

where  $f_i$  =  $f(x_i, y_j)$ n<sup>y</sup> we can would

$$
\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\right) \left(\mathbf{x}_{i}, y_{j}\right) = \begin{cases}\n\left[\left(f_{i,j+1} - f_{i,j}\right)/k\right] + b(k) \\
\left[\left(f_{i,j+1} - f_{i,j-1}/k\right) + b(k) \right] + \delta\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right) \\
\left[\left(f_{i,j+1} - f_{i,j-1}/k\right) + b(k^{2}) \right] + \delta\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right) \\
\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x^{2}}\right) \left(\mathbf{x}_{i}, y_{j}\right) = \frac{1}{h^{2}} \left[\left(f_{i,j-1} - 2f_{i,j} + f_{i+1,j}\right) + b(k^{2}) - \delta\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y^{2}}\right)\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}, y_{j}\right)\right] = \frac{1}{k^{2}} \left(f_{i,j-1} - 2f_{i,j} + f_{i,j-1}\right) + b(k^{2} - \delta\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right)\right) \\
\therefore \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x \partial y} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right) \\
\text{where } \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right) \left(\mathbf{x}_{i}, y_{j}\right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\right) \left(\mathbf{x}_{i}, y_{j}\right)
$$

$$
= \frac{3}{215} \left( \frac{f_{(1,1)+1} - f_{(1,-1)+1}}{2k} \right)
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{215} \left[ \frac{f_{(1,1)+1} - f_{(1,-1)+1}}{2h} + \frac{f_{(1,1)-1} - f_{(1,-1)-1}}{2h} \right]
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{f_{(1,1)+1} - f_{(1,-1)+1} - f_{(1,-1)+1} - f_{(1,-1)-1} - f_{(1,-1)-1}}{2h} \right]
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{3}{215} \left( \frac{34}{21} \right) (x_{1,1} + \frac{3}{21}) = \frac{3}{215} \left( \frac{34}{21} \right) (x_{1,1} - f_{1-1})
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{3}{215} \left( \frac{54}{21} \right) (x_{1,1} - f_{1-1})
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{3}{215} \left( \frac{54}{21} \right) (x_{1,1} - f_{1-1})
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{3}{215} \left( \frac{54}{21} \right) (x_{1,1} + \frac{3}{21} \right)
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{3}{215} \left[ \frac{5(11,1) - 5(11,1) - 5(11,1) - 5(11,1) + 5(11,1) - 5(11,1) + 5(11,1) - 5(11,1) - 5(11,1) - 5(11,1) + 5(11,1)
$$

$$
R_{n} = \int_{0}^{b} b(x) \cdot f(x) dx = \int_{k=0}^{b} \lambda_{k} f_{n}
$$
\nand the depth, the such can be made because  
\nfor polynomial of degree *qpt* the system of *qpt*  
\nFor  $f(x)=1$  and  $x_{1}$  we get the system of *qpt*  
\n $f(x)=1$ ,  $x_{1}-x_{0} = \lambda_{0}+\lambda_{1}$  for  $h=\lambda_{0}+\lambda_{1}$   
\n $f(x)=x$ ,  $\frac{1}{2}(x_{1}-x_{0})$   $(x_{1}+x_{0}) = \lambda_{0}x_{0}+\lambda_{1}x_{1}$   
\nwe have  
\n $\frac{1}{2}(x_{1}-x_{0}) (x_{1}+x_{0}) = \lambda_{0}x_{0}+\lambda_{1}x_{1}$   
\nfor  $\frac{1}{2}h(x_{0}+h) = \lambda_{0}x_{0}+\lambda_{1}(x_{0}+h)$   
\nfor  $\frac{1}{2}h(x_{0}+h) = \lambda_{0}x_{0}+\lambda_{1}(x_{0}+h)$   
\nfor  $\frac{1}{2}h(x_{0}+h) = \lambda_{0}x_{0}+\lambda_{1}(x_{0}+h)$   
\nfor  $\frac{1}{2}h(x_{0}+h) = \lambda_{0}x_{0}+\lambda_{1}x_{1}$   
\nfor  $\frac{1}{2}h(x_{0}+h) = \lambda_{1}x_{0}+\lambda_{1}x_{1}$   
\nfrom the first equations, we get  $\lambda_{0} = h-\lambda_{1}=\frac{1}{2}$   
\nFrom the graph,  $c = \int_{0}^{h} f(x_{0}) + f(x_{0})$   
\n $g(x_{0}+x_{0}) = \frac{1}{2}h(x_{0}+x_{1})$   
\n $g(x_{0}+x_{0}) = \frac{1}{2}h(x_{0}+x_{0})$   
\nFrom the graph,  $c = \int_{0}^{h} x_{0}^{2} + x_{1}^{2} = \lambda_{0}(x_{1}^{2} - x_{0}) - \frac{1}{2}x_{0}^{2} + x_{1}^{2}$   
\n $c = \int_{0}^{h} x_{0}^{2} + x_{1}^{2} = \lambda_{$ 

 $h = (b-a)/2$  we would.

 $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^{n}$ 

$$
\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{0}} f(x)dx = \lambda_{0} f(x_{0}) + \lambda_{1} f(x_{1}) + \lambda_{2} f(x_{2})
$$
\n
$$
\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{0}} f(x)dx = \text{curl } \omega \text{ but } \omega \text{ is a model of the polynomial,}
$$
\n
$$
\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{0}} dx = \int_{0}^{x_{0}} |(\text{b}) \sin(\omega t) + \text{cot}(\omega t) \sin(\omega t) + \text{cot}(\omega t) \sin(\omega t))|_{x_{0}}^{x_{0}} = \int_{0}^{x_{0}} \omega(\omega t)^{2} \sin(\omega t) + \int_{0}^{x_{0}} (x_{0})^{2} \sin(\omega t) \sin(\omega t) + \int_{0}^{x_{0}} (x_{0})^{2} \sin(\omega t) \sin(\omega t) + \int_{0}^{x_{0}} (x_{0})^{2} \sin(\omega t) \sin(\omega t) \sin(\omega t) + \int_{0}^{x_{0}} (x_{0})^{2} \sin(\omega t) \sin(\omega t) \cos(\omega t) + \int_{0}^{x_{0}} (x_{0})^{2} \sin(\omega t) \cos(\omega t) + \int_{0}^{x_{0}} (x_{0})^{2} \sin(\omega t) \cos(\omega t) \cos(\omega t) + \int_{0}^{x_{0}} (x_{0})^{2} \sin(\omega t) \cos(\omega t) \cos(\omega t) + \int_{0}^{x_{0}} (x_{0})^{2} \sin(\omega t) \cos(\omega t) \cos(\omega t) + \int_{0}^{x_{0}} (x_{0})^{2} \sin(\omega t) \cos(\omega t) \sin(\omega t) \cos(\omega t) \sin(\omega t) \sin(\omega t) \cos(\omega t) \sin(\omega t) \sin(\omega
$$

and 
$$
R_2 = \frac{C}{h!} f''(p)
$$
  
\n $= -\frac{L_1}{h!} f''(p)$ ,  $34 \times 10 \times 25$   
\nThe method of undelromnad to-obflicienk can be  
\nused to domine quadrature formulas of a giv<sub>th</sub>  
\n*large* we illustrate such derivations through the  
\n $f$  (div)  $\frac{1}{h!}$  (div)  $\frac{1}{h!}$   
\n $f$  (div)  $\frac{1}{h!}$  (int)  $\frac{1}{h!}$   
\n $\frac{1}{h!}$  (div)  $\frac{1}{h!}$  (int)  $\frac{1}{h!}$   
\n $\frac{1}{h!}$  (int)  $\frac{1}{h!}$  (int)  $\frac{1}{h!}$  (int)  $\frac{1}{h!}$   
\n $\frac{1}{h!}$  (int)  $\frac{1}{h!}$  (int)  $\frac{1}{h!}$  (int)  $\frac{1}{h!}$  (int)  $\frac{1}{h!}$   
\n $\frac{1}{h!}$  (int)  $\frac{1}{h!}$  (int)  $\frac{1}{h!}$  (int)  $\frac{1}{h!}$  (int)  $\frac{1}{h!}$   
\n $\frac{1}{h!}$  (int)  $\frac{1}{h!}$  (int)  $\frac{1}{h!}$  (int)  $\frac{1}{h!}$  (int)  $\frac{1}{h!}$   
\n $\frac{1}{h!}$  (int)  $\frac{1}{h!}$  (int)  $\frac{1}{h!}$  (int)  $\frac{1}{h!}$   
\n $\frac{1}{h!}$  (int)  $\frac{1}{h!}$  (int)  $\frac{1}{h$ 

Find 
$$
\int f(x) \frac{dx}{\sqrt{x(1-x)}}
$$
 = a,  $f(0) + d_2 + 1/\sqrt{2} + d_3 + 1/\sqrt{2}$   
\nwhich  $x = 2$  and  $x = 0$  from  $u(x) = 1$  to  $x = 0$  and  $x = 0$   
\n $\int \frac{dx}{\sqrt{x-x^3}}$  and  $\int$   $\int$   $\int$   $\int$   $\int$   $\frac{dx}{\sqrt{x-x^3}}$   
\nSo  $\ln x$   
\n $\int$   $\ln x$  =  $\ln x$  and  $\int$   $\ln x$  are  $\ln x$  and  $\ln x$  are  $\ln x$  and  $\ln x$  are  $\ln x$ .  
\n $\int$   $\ln x$  =  $\int$   $\int$   $\frac{dx}{\sqrt{x(1-x)}}$  =  $\frac{1}{x} \int$   $\frac{dx}{\sqrt{x(1-x)}}$  =  $\frac{1}{x} \int$   $\frac{dx}{\sqrt{x(1-x)}}$   
\n $\int$   $\int$   $\ln x$  =  $\int$   $\frac{dx}{\sqrt{x(1-x)}}$  =  $\int$   $\frac{dx}{\sqrt{x(1-x)}}$  =  $\frac{1}{x} \int$   $\frac{dx}{\sqrt{x(1-x)}}$   
\n $\int$   $\ln x$  =  $\int$   $\frac{dx}{\sqrt{x(1-x)}}$  =  $\frac{1}{x} \int$   $\frac{dx}{\sqrt{x(1-x)}}$  =  $\int$   $\frac{dx}{\sqrt{x(1-x)}}$  =  $\int$   $\frac{dx}{\sqrt{x(1-x)}}$   
\n $\int$   $\frac{dx}{\sqrt{x(1-x)}}$  =  $\frac{2}{$ 

 $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 = 11$ 

$$
\frac{1}{2}a_2 + a_3 = \frac{\pi}{2}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{1}{4}a_2 + a_3 = \frac{2\pi}{8}
$$
\nwhich gives  $a_1 = \frac{\pi}{4}$ ,  $a_2 = \frac{\pi}{8}$ ,  $a_3 = \frac{\pi}{4}$  [to  $a_3 = \frac{\pi}{4}$ ]  
\nThe quadrature formula is given by,  
\n
$$
\int_{0}^{1} \frac{f(x)}{\sqrt{x(1-x)}} dx = \frac{\pi}{4} [f(0) + a_3(\frac{1}{2}) + f(1)]
$$
\nWe now use this formula to evaluate  
\n
$$
T = \int_{0}^{1} \frac{dx}{\sqrt{x-x^3}} = \int_{0}^{1} \frac{dx}{\sqrt{\pi x-x^3}} = \int_{0}^{1} \frac{f(x)}{\sqrt{x(1-x)}} dx
$$
\nwhere  $f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+x}}$   
\nwe obtain  
\n
$$
T = \frac{\pi}{4} [1 + \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}] \approx 2.62331
$$
\nThe exact value is  
\n
$$
T = \frac{\pi}{4} [1 + \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}] \approx 2.62331
$$
\n
$$
T = \frac{\pi}{4} [1 + \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}] \approx 2.62331
$$
\n
$$
T = \frac{\pi}{4} [1 + \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}] \approx 2.62331
$$
\n
$$
T = \frac{\pi}{4} [1 + \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}] \approx 2.62331
$$
\n
$$
T = \frac{\pi}{4} [1 + \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}] \approx 2.62331
$$
\n
$$
T = \frac{\pi}{4} [1 + \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}] \approx 2.62331
$$
\n
$$
T = \frac{\pi}{4} [1 + \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}]
$$

Finally, the method 
$$
2 \times \alpha t
$$
 for  $f(\alpha) = 1$ ,  
\n $f(x) = 1$ ,  $2 = \lambda_{0}$   
\n $f(x) = x$ ;  $0 = \lambda_{0}x_{0}$  (or)  $x_{0} = 0$   
\n $f(x) = x$ ;  $0 = \lambda_{0}x_{0}$  (or)  $x_{0} = 0$   
\n $f(x) = x$ ;  $0 = \lambda_{0}x_{0}$  (or)  $x_{0} = 0$   
\n $f(x) = x$ ;  $0 = \lambda_{0}x_{0}$  (or)  $x_{0} = 0$   
\n $f(x) = x$ ;  $f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$   
\n $f(x) = \frac{1}{x^{2}} + \frac{1}{x^{2}} + \frac{1}{x^{2}}$   
\n $f(x) = \frac{1}{x^{2}} + \frac{1}{x^{2}} + \frac{1}{x^{2}}$   
\n $f(x) = \frac{1}{x^{2}} - \frac{1}{x^{2}} + \frac{1}{x^{2}}$   
\n $f(x) = \frac{1}{x^{2}} - \frac{1}{x^{2}} - \frac{1}{x^{2}}$   
\n

Sub in (6), we get 
$$
\lambda_0 = \lambda_1 = 0
$$
 (by)  $\lambda_0 = \lambda_1$   
\nUsing (6) we get  $\lambda_0 = \lambda_1 = 1$   
\nUsing (6) we get,  
\n $x_0^2 = \frac{1}{3}$  (by)  $x_0 = \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$  and  $x_1 = \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$   
\n $\therefore$  The two point Gauss Laguerre method is  
\n
$$
\int_{0}^{1} f(x) dx = \int_{1}^{1} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\right) + \int_{1}^{1} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} - \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} + \frac{8}{\sqrt{3}}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} - \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} + \frac{8}{\sqrt{3}}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} - \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} + \frac{8}{\sqrt{3}}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} - \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} + \frac{8}{\sqrt{3}}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} - \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} + \frac{8}{\sqrt{3}}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} - \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} + \frac{8}{\sqrt{3}}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} - \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} + \frac{8}{\sqrt{3}}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} - \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} + \frac{8}{\sqrt{3}}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} - \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} + \frac{8}{\sqrt{3}}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} - \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} + \frac{8}{\sqrt{3}}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} - \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} + \frac{8}{\sqrt{3}}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} - \frac{8}{\sqrt{3}}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{8}{\sqrt{3}} - \frac{8}{\sqrt{3}} + \frac{1}{\
$$

Equating 
$$
\lambda_0
$$
 from (6), (6) and (6), (7) we get,  $\lambda_1x_1$  (a<sub>1</sub><sup>2</sup> - 26) +  $\lambda_2$  x<sub>2</sub> (a<sub>3</sub><sup>2</sup> - 26) = 0

\nEliminating  $\pm \lambda_0$  if (a<sub>1</sub> - 26) +  $\lambda_2$  x<sub>3</sub><sup>2</sup> (a<sub>2</sub><sup>2</sup> - 26) = 0

\nEliminating  $\pm \lambda_0$  if (a<sub>1</sub> - 26) +  $\lambda_1$  x<sub>1</sub><sup>3</sup> (a<sub>2</sub><sup>2</sup> - 26) = 0

\nEquating  $\lambda_1$  x<sub>1</sub><sup>3</sup> (a<sub>3</sub><sup>2</sup> - 26) -  $\lambda_2$  x<sub>2</sub> x<sub>1</sub><sup>2</sup> (a<sub>3</sub><sup>2</sup> - 26) = 0

\nEquating  $\lambda_1$  x<sub>2</sub><sup>3</sup> (a<sub>3</sub><sup>2</sup> - 26) (a<sub>3</sub><sup>2</sup> - 26) = 0

\nEquating  $\lambda_2$  x<sub>1</sub> x<sub>2</sub> 0, 0.000 (a<sub>1</sub> - 26) = 0

\nEquating  $\lambda_2$  x<sub>1</sub> 0, 0.001 (a<sub>2</sub> - 27)

\nEquating  $\lambda_2$  x<sub>2</sub> 0, 0.001 (a<sub>2</sub> - 27)

\nEquating  $\lambda_1$  x<sub>1</sub> 0, 0.001 (a<sub>2</sub> - 27)

\nEquating  $\lambda_2$  x<sub>2</sub> - 0.001 (a<sub>2</sub> - 27)

\nEquating  $\lambda_1$  x<sub>1</sub> 0, 0.001 (a<sub>2</sub> - 27)

\nEquating  $\lambda_2$  x<sub>2</sub> - 0

1. The 3-point of a class Legendre method is given by

\n
$$
\int_{0}^{1} f(x) dx = \frac{1}{4} \left[ 5f\left(-\frac{13}{5}\right) + 6f(0) + 5f\left(\sqrt{\frac{3}{5}}\right) \longrightarrow 6
$$
\n
$$
\int_{0}^{1} f(x) dx = \frac{1}{4} \left[ 5f\left(-\frac{13}{5}\right) + 6f(0) + 5f\left(\sqrt{\frac{3}{5}}\right) \longrightarrow 6
$$
\n11, we take  $x_{2} = x_{1}$ . Then we get  $x_{0} = 0$  and

\n
$$
x_{2} = \pm \frac{1}{5} \quad \text{given by } x_{0}
$$
\n
$$
x_{0} = \text{symmetrically placed about } x = 0, \text{ The } x_{0} = 0
$$
\n
$$
x_{0} = \text{symmetrically placed about } x = 0, \text{ the } x_{0} = 0
$$
\n
$$
x_{0} = \frac{1}{1}x_{0} + \frac
$$

 $10.7745966692$  $\stackrel{o}{\alpha}$ 0.5555 5555 56  $10.33998104.5$  $0.6521451549$  $10.8611363116$  $0.3473548451$  $\overline{3}$ 0.0000000000 0.568888889  $10.538469301$  $0.4786286705$  $\mu$  $10.9061798459$  $0.2369268857$  $+0.2386191861$  $0.4679139346$  $10.6612093865$ 0-3607615730  $5\overline{)}$  $+0.9324695142$  $0.1713244924$ b Evaluate the integral  $I = \int \frac{dx}{1+x}$ . Using<br>Grauss -legendre 3 point formula. Soln' First we transform the interval [0,1] to the interval [-1,1]. Let t=ax+b we have,  $-1 = b$ ,  $1 = 0 + b$  $(\alpha)$  a=2, b=-1 and  $t = 2\alpha - 1$  $I = \int \frac{dx}{1+x} = \int \frac{dt}{1+x}$ Veing Orauss legendre 3 point rule corresponding to n=2 ; we get  $I = \frac{1}{a} \left[ 8 \left( \frac{1}{613} \right) + 5 \left( \frac{1}{31/315} \right) + 5 \left( \frac{1}{3\sqrt{315}} \right) \right]$  $= 131 = 0.69322$  $189$ The exact soln is  $J = J_{h_2} = 0.693147$ .

17 Evaluate the integral  $I = \int_{1+2\pi}^{2} \frac{dx}{1+2\pi} dx$ ,  $U_{S_{u_{1}}}_{u_{1}}$ the biauss legendre 1-point, 2-point and 3- point Quadrative suiles. Compare with the research solution  $I = \tan^{-1}(4) - (\pi/k)^3 \frac{71}{4}$  $\mathcal{S}$ oln $\mathcal{S}$ To use the Giornis - legendre surles the intern  $[1,2]$  is to be reduced to  $[-1,1]$  writing  $x = at + b$ , we get  $1 = -a + b$ ,  $2 = a + b$ where soln k  $b = 3/2$ ,  $a = 1/2$ . Therefore,  $x = \frac{(t+3)}{2}$ ,  $dx = \frac{dt}{2}$  and  $T = \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{3(t+3)}{[16+(t+3)^4]} dt$  $= \int f(t) dt$ Using the 1-point, we get  $I = 2f(0) = 2 \left[ \frac{d^2H}{16+81} \right] = 0.4948$ Using the spoint surle, we get.<br>  $I = \frac{1}{9} [5f(-\frac{13}{5}) + 8f(0) + 5f(\sqrt{3}/5)]$ =  $\frac{1}{9}$   $\left[ 5(0.4393) + 8(0.2474) + 5(0.1379) \right]$  $= 0.5406$ The exact soln is  $I = 0.5404$ Lobatto Integration Methods:- $10<sub>w</sub>$ In this case, w(x)=1 and the end point -1 and 1 are always taken on nodes. There making n-1 nodes core to be determined.

Integration formula can be equivalent any, 
$$
\int_{\mathbb{T}} f(x) dx = \lambda_0 f(-1) + \lambda_0 f(1) + \sum_{K=1}^{n+1} \lambda_K f(x_K) \rightarrow 0
$$

\nTherefore,  $\int_{\mathbb{T}} f(x) dx = \lambda_0 f(-1) + \lambda_0 f(1) + \sum_{K=1}^{n+1} \lambda_K f(x_K) \rightarrow 0$ 

\nThus,  $\int_{\mathbb{T}} f(x) dx = \lambda_0 f(-1) + \lambda_1 f(x_1) + \lambda_2 f(1) \rightarrow \infty$ 

\nMultiply,  $\int_{\mathbb{T}} f(x) dx = \lambda_0 f(-1) + \lambda_1 f(x_1) + \lambda_2 f(1) \rightarrow \infty$ 

\nMultiply,  $\int_{\mathbb{T}} f(x) dx = \lambda_0 f(-1) + \lambda_1 f(x_1) + \lambda_2 f(1) \rightarrow \infty$ 

\nThus,  $\int_{\mathbb{T}} f(x) dx = \lambda_0 f(-1) + \lambda_1 f(x_1) + \lambda_2 f(1) \rightarrow \infty$ 

\nThus,  $\int_{\mathbb{T}} f(x) dx = \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 x_1 + \lambda_2 = \lambda_0$ 

\nThus,  $\int_{\mathbb{T}} f(x) dx = \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 x_1 + \lambda_2 = \lambda_0$ 

\nThus,  $\int_{\mathbb{T}} f(x) dx = \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 x_1^2 + \lambda_2 = \frac{\lambda_0}{2}$ 

\nThus,  $\int_{\mathbb{T}} f(x) dx = \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 x_1^2 + \lambda_2 = \frac{\lambda_0}{2}$ 

\nThus,  $\int_{\mathbb{T}} f(x) dx = \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 x_1^2 + \lambda_2 = \lambda_0$ 

\nThus,  $\int_{\mathbb{T}} f(x) dx = \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 x_1^2 + \lambda_2 = \lambda_0$ 

\nThus,  $\int_{\mathbb{T}} f(x) dx = \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 x_1^2 + \lambda_2 = \lambda_0$ 

\nThus,  $\int_{$ 

It can be hold that the 0 is the simple graph, 
$$
3m\pi
$$
 and  $3m\pi$  and  $3$ 

 $SU(2)$  $\omega$ , pair,  $\omega$ , we get  $\lambda_1$   $(1-x_1^2)^{\nu}$  +  $\lambda_2$   $(1-x_2^2)$  =  $\frac{11}{2}$  $(01)$   $\lambda_1$   $(1-x_1^2) = \frac{3}{3}$   $\rightarrow 6$ subtract (14 forom (20 me get,  $\lambda_1 x_1^2 (1-x_1^2) + \lambda_2 x_2^2 (1-x_2^2) = \frac{2}{3} - \frac{2}{5} = \frac{14}{15}$  $\lambda_1 x_1^2 (1-x_1^2) = \frac{2}{15}$  $(0)$ Dividing the last two egns, we get  $x_1^2 = 1/s$ , Hence we have,  $x_1 = \frac{-1}{\sqrt{5}}$  and  $x_2 = -x_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}$ Forom 19 , we get  $\lambda_1 = \left(\frac{Q}{3}\right)\left(\frac{5}{4}\right) = \frac{5}{1}$ and  $\lambda_2 = \lambda_1 = \frac{5}{L}$ from les ne get  $\lambda_{0} - 1/6 = \lambda_{3}$ . The method is given by,  $\int_{-1}^{1} f(x) dx = \frac{1}{6} \int_{0}^{1} f(-1) + 5f \left(-\frac{\sqrt{5}}{5}\right) + 5f \left(\frac{\sqrt{5}}{5}\right) + f(1) \frac{1}{6}$ livror constant in given by,  $7\frac{1}{10}$  $C = \int_{0}^{1} 2t^{b}dt - \frac{1}{b} \left[1+5\left(\frac{1}{125}\right)+5\left(\frac{1}{125}\right)+1\right]$  $=\frac{2}{7} - \frac{26}{75} = \frac{-32}{525}$ The lorror in the method becomes,  $R_b = \frac{c}{6!} f^{(b)}(\xi) = \frac{-3c}{525(6!)} f^{(b)}(\xi)$ ,  $-1 < \xi_1 < 1 \implies \xi_1$ The nodes and corresponding weights the<br>lobalto integration method for  $h = 2(1)5$  are given in teilble.

